

Name: Sara St. ClairScore = 22.75 /25GitHub repo: <https://github.com/saraostclair/StClair-PS3.git>

Submitted on time?



Y



N

Project element	Value	Pts earned	Comments
Successfully fork a GitHub repository and create a new RStudio project from fork <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project called "Lastname-PS3" 	1	1	thanks for naming project correctly
Set up project and workspace, pull in and examine data, fix mistakes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lastname-PS3.qmd Use at least 2 functions Assign data types Error checking 	2	1	Good but I'd like more commentary - why did you make the chr vars fct? Also, you should have made histograms of numeric data to look for outliers.
Analyze Q1: Does body mass differ b/w these 5 species of bats, and if so, how does body mass differ b/w species? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature of P and R vars Analysis method explained More polished figure Clear, written interpretation 	4	4	VERY NICE! Well explained, easy to follow, and detailed. Good work!
Analyze Q2: Does body length differ b/w species and, if so, how ? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature of P and R vars Analysis method explained More polished figure Clear, written interpretation 	4	4	Again, very nice!
Analyze Q3: Is the number of ticks found on the bats associated with their sex or age? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature of P and R vars Analysis method explained More polished figure Clear, written interpretation 	4	3.75	Putting final results in figure caption is good but not all of caption is printing, so I can't see full interpretation (I can read it in the caption text code, but it should also print if that's what you're going for).

<p>Analyze Q4: Disregarding species, is there a relationship in bats b/w tail length and body length?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nature of P and R vars • Analysis method explained • More polished figure • Clear, written interpretation 	4	3.75	Just the issue about figure caption as per Q3 above.
<p>Thought processes are well documented outside of code blocks, code is well commented, all steps prior to data analysis</p>	4	3.75	Very nice and easy to follow. It would have been good to write a "results statement" outside of the figure captions for all analyses.
<p>Successfully open a pull request to add your changes to the forked repository</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commit changes • Open PR • Link pasted in Canvas 	1	0.5	You did not open a PR on project.
<p>Code represents material we have covered in GSWR Chs 3-5 and not elsewhere</p>	1	1	good
Additional feedback			