

<b>DATE</b>	<b>3Questions ---</b> <b>(1) who can be a member</b> <b>(2) how closely are rules followed</b> <b>(3) accountability to each other</b>	<b>NOTES</b>	<b>ANALYSIS/NOTES</b>
9/14/16		<p>NCNC Meeting for proposed SBD. Thirteen attendees (not including the one child), three of which were non-residents who represented community development resources. The meeting was held in the boardroom from one of the sponsoring institutions of the proposed SBD. The moderator/presenter had multiple posters displayed providing information and illustrations of the proposed SBD.</p> <p>The meeting started off with an introduction of all of the attendees. A brief overview was provided by Ms. Garland (the presenter), whom was not only an urban planner, but also a resident of the proposed SBD neighborhood. She went over the prepared slides and explained the significance to the residents of the community. After the presentation she allowed time for questions regarding the proposed SBD.</p> <p>Residents asked questions regarding who is considered a voter, the number of times a parcel owner can vote (if owning more than one parcel in the neighborhood) and the guidelines that govern the SBD.</p> <p>A couple who lived in the Hyatt neighborhood explained that their block was considered private and that they recently ran into trouble with a water main break and although they paid city taxes since the block was considered private they had to privately come up with the money for repairs. Ms. Garland stated that she would look-in to their particular situation and share with the Hyatt block if they would still receive benefits from the SBD neighborhood funds.</p>	<p>* Used fictitious names and places</p> <p>When the private block tax issue of the Hyatt neighborhood was raised it created apprehension for the residents to incur additional tax when the improvements may not be made to their property.</p> <p>The residents explained how they have been "taken advantage of" before and promised improvement and when it came down to receiving the benefit(s) that they were promised, the ball was dropped.</p> <p>High level of mistrust from past experience from City from majority of neighborhood residents.</p> <p>*Important reminder.* Places take meaning beyond street names and locations. Maybe even more-so for the neighborhoods. Listen for descriptive of places. Loosely termed as "<i>placemaking</i>".</p>
9/15/16		<p>Annual CID meeting. The meeting was hosted at a local library. 17 attendees. Two of the attendees were staff members along with the Chairman of the CID were in the mix. The others attending the meeting were local businesses and property owners with in the CID.</p> <p>The invited speaker from the CJB Health Center provided updates on Aspen Park Avenue and Queenshighway. She shared the closure and proposed detours to re-route pass-thru traffic. The new "T" intersection will be more accommodating to the CJB Health Campus along with providing additional safety</p>	<p>When the young lady gave a marketing report one of the business owners asked if the flyers for the upcoming event were going to be posted in the neighborhoods condos and apartments. An advantage of residing in an urban area is that you can easily share events with-in the community. Residents are with-in closer proximity.</p>

	<p>for the residents and pedestrians of Queens Park. The CID provided an annual report for their first full year of operation. This report provides detail about the CID, its purpose, committee members, businesses, and the programs in which they provide. The report also provides a matrix of their programs and results.</p> <p>Estimates (2) B, 1(A), 14 (W), 4 (Wo).</p>		<p>Another member brought up a good point of how to use analytics from their social media outreach to figure out if people who are attending these events or inquiring these events are residents of people from surrounding neighborhoods.</p>
9/20/16	<p>SBD EWC, Public Mtg. of the Board of Commissioners. The first item on the agenda was public safety. The SBD uses the majority of their proceeds for public safety. In the last 4 months, over 45% of their tax revenue has went to public safety. The meeting had a very lengthy discussion of safety for the majority of their meeting. The outsourced patrol officer (<i>he was the business owner of the patrol service that is used by the SBD</i>) provided a detailed report in collaboration with the representative from the Neighborhood Safety Initiative. Discussion of 8 homicides in their neighborhood in the past year. Three of the robberies resulted in a homicide. Overall, crime has increased over 22% in the last year.</p> <p>Discussion of the three recent major incidents, the homicide at Hannah and Oil Street, rape on Boil Street and the homicide at 4000 Landing Blvd. The group also discussed increase in robberies in the EWC. They suspect a group is the culprit of the majority of the auto theft. The SBD is interested on tactics to reduce violent street crime, not only in their area, but the overall City.</p> <p>The group wants to maximize their SBD tax (&gt; 500K), but does not want to start a CID.</p> <p>Discussion went back to extra security on the streets. The City Chief is cooperating with his department for allowable secondary service of the patrols, but the County will not allow for secondary patrol. The Chief contends that his patrols would not be very effective since they are not familiarly with the area. Discussion of light study by lady whom works with multiple SBDs and CIDs. If conducted by the City approx. 40K, if done locally, roughly 10-15K. Chairman requested a comparative analysis of the study through City and/or third party</p>		<p>The boardroom was relatively small and created a more intimate meeting. Although I was a newcomer, all of the members were welcoming and did not seem out of place with my attendance.</p> <p>The Board is not willing to create a CID as they content that this would not be beneficial to the area. The board would rather maximize their SBD tax (to the max allowed by law) and use proceeds accordingly. This may be a wise decision... but other questions arise.... is this b.c. they would have to get more individuals involved w. a CID? Does this put strain on the community since they are already paying increased property taxes?</p> <p>There is a lady whom is the administrator for multiple SBDs and CIDs. Her position allows for an exchange of what works in some areas and not others.</p> <p>An individual commented on the County Police Chief not willing to let patrols do secondary work ... "That's just Lame"</p>

before board makes a decision. The board is leaning towards an independent study, which would expedite the study and implementation of lights in a more responsive time frame than what the City has accomplished in the past.

Next meeting will discuss - Safety report, review light study comparison, and discussion of legal ramification by maximizing the SBD tax which would put them over the >\$500K threshold and makes them publically accountable for providing financial information.

10 members present. Estimates (2) B, 8(W), 2(Wo).
