Distributions



Session 1: Probability Theory and Lattice Models Tee Chyng Wen

QF620 Stochastic Modelling in Finance



Properties of Distributions

Distributions

•000

The **probability density function** (PDF) f(x) contains all information concerning a random variable X. The **expectation** (or mean) of a function g(X) of the random variable X is given by

Normal & Lognormal

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f(x) \ dx.$$

The expectation operation has the following properties:

- \blacksquare $\mathbb{E}[a] = a$, where a is a constant;
- **2** $\mathbb{E}[aq(X)] = a\mathbb{E}[g(X)]$, where a is a constant;
- **3** Linearity: if q(X) = s(X) + t(X), then

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \mathbb{E}[s(X)] + \mathbb{E}[t(X)].$$

Note that in general

Distributions

If X is a random variable with probability density function f(x), then the mean of X is defined as the following expectation q(x) = x

Normal & Lognormal

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) \ dx.$$

The variance of a random variable X is defined as the following expectation

$$V[X] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu)^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 f(x) dx.$$
 ورنجا

The variance is also written as σ^2 , and has the following properties

- \bullet V[a] = 0, where a is a constant;
- 2 $V[aX + b] = a^2V[X]$, where a and b are constants.

The variance is always positive, and its positive square root is called the standard deviation, denoted as σ . The standard deviation measures the spread of the random variable X around the mean.

Mode and Median

Distributions

Although the mean discussed previously is the most common measure of the "average" of a random variable, two other useful measures exist which do not require the evaluation of an expectation.

The **mode** of a distribution is the value of the random variable X at which the probability density function f(x) is the greatest.


⇒ If there is more than one of such value then each of them may be called the mode of the distribution.

The **median** M of a distribution is the value of the random variable X at which the cumulative distribution function F(x) takes the value of $\frac{1}{2}$.

 \Rightarrow Related to this are the lower and upper quartiles Q_{lower} and Q_{upper} , where

$$F(Q_{lower}) = rac{1}{4}, \quad F(M) = rac{1}{2}, \quad F(Q_{upper}) = rac{3}{4}.$$

- ⇒ So the median and lower and upper quartiles divide the distribution into 4 regions, each containing a quarter of the probability.
- \Rightarrow Smaller subdivisions are also defined, e.g. the n^{th} percentile, P_n , of a PDF is defined as $F(P_n) = \frac{n}{100}$.



Mode and Median

Distributions


000

Example I observed the temperature at a place for 110 days:

Normal & Lognormal

- For the first 100 days, the temperature is 80 degree.
- From day 101 to 105, the temperature is 85 degree.
- From day 106 to 110, the temperature is 90 degree.

What is the median temperature?



The mean of a random variable X is also called the first **moment** of X.

Let us introduce the concept of moments: $\mathbb{E}[X^k]$, where k denotes the order (power) of the moment:

keh moment of
$$\mathbb{E}[X^k] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k f(x) \; dx.$$

Since

$$V[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mu^2,$$

we see that the variance of a random variable can be expressed as its first and second moments.

⇒ In general, it is often a lot easier to calculate the moments of a random variable using the moment generating function (MGF) methodology, especially for higher moments where direct evaluation of the expectation becomes laborious.

Moments

(entrel Moments)

$$I = [x] = J \qquad \qquad I = [x] = 0$$

$$I = [x] \qquad \qquad I = [x$$

Moments

The variance V[X] is also called the second **central moment**.

We call this **central** because we subtract μ from x before squaring it, meaning that we are considering the moment about the mean of the distribution, rather than about the origin at x=0.

Now let us introduce the notation $\nu_k = \mathbb{E}\left[(X-\mu)^k\right]$ where k denotes the order of the central moment:

$$\nu_k = \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mu)^k \right]$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^k f(x) \, dx.$$

Clearly, the first central moment is $\nu_1 = 0$. The second central moment is the variance by definition.

Example Let X be a random variable. Express X's 3^{rd} central moment in terms of its moments.

Solution

Distributions

$$\nu_3 = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu)^3]$$

= $\mathbb{E}[X^3] - 3\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[X^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[X]^3$. \triangleleft

 $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{x}}\right)^{3}\right]$

A normalized and dimensionless central moment is also defined as


Here, γ_3 and γ_4 are known as **skewness** and **kurtosis** of the distribution, respectively.

The skewness γ_3 is 0 if the distribution is symmetrical around the mean. If the distribution is skewed to values of x smaller than the mean then we have $\gamma_3 < 0$ (negative skewed), and vice versa.

The kurtosis of a <u>normal distribution</u> $N(\mu,\sigma^2)$ is given by Add: Exemples

$$\gamma_4 = \frac{v_4}{\sigma^4} = \frac{3\sigma^4}{\sigma^4} = 3.$$

Using normal distribution as a reference, it is common to define the **excess kurtosis** of a distribution as γ_4-3 . A <u>positive</u> excess kurtosis implies a relatively <u>narrower peak</u> and <u>wider tails</u> than the normal distribution with the <u>same mean and variance</u>, and vice versa.



Moment Generating Functions

Distributions

The moment generating function (MGF) of a random variable X following the probability density function f(x) is defined as

$$M_X(\theta) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X}\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta x} f(x) \ dx.$$

It should again be obvious that M(0) = 1. MGF is also useful for obtaining the moments of a random variable. Note that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[1 + \theta X + \frac{\theta^2 X^2}{2!} + \frac{\theta^3 X^3}{3!} + \cdots\right]$$
$$= 1 + \mathbb{E}[X]\theta + \mathbb{E}[X^2]\frac{\theta^2}{2!} + \mathbb{E}[X^3]\frac{\theta^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

Using this expression, it becomes clear that we can derive the moments of a random variable in terms of its MGF by

$$\mathbb{E}[X^n] = \left. \frac{d^n M_X(\theta)}{d\theta^n} \right|_{\theta=0}.$$

$$\frac{10}{100} = 0 + ||\underline{\mathbb{E}}[x] + 0\underline{\mathbb{E}}[x] + \frac{5!}{0}\underline{\mathbb{E}}[x_3] + \cdots$$

Ē[X]

$$\frac{90_{r}}{9_{r}M^{\chi}(0)} = 0 + 0 + 1 \cdot \tilde{F}[\chi_{r}] + 0 \tilde{F}[\chi_{s}] + \cdots$$



Distributions

An important result in integral calculus is that

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-y^2} dy \quad \Rightarrow \quad I^2 = \pi$$
$$\therefore I = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Normal & Lognormal

To derive the probability density function for **normal distribution**, we start with the integral defined above, we substitute $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}$ to obtain the result

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = 1,$$

where $\phi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$ is the standard normal probability density function.

Similarly, if we let $y = \frac{x-\mu}{\sqrt{2}\pi}$, we can obtain the result

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx = 1,$$

where $f(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ is the normal probability density function.

MGF of Normal Distribution

Let $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ denote a random variable following normal distribution with a mean of μ and a variance of σ^2 . The moment generating function is

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (\mathbf{x}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{x}}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[e^{\theta X} \right] = \exp\left(\mu \theta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\theta^{2}\right).$$

$$(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})^{2} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}$$

This can be obtained by the **completing the square** method:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta X}\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta x} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dx \qquad (\chi-\alpha)^2 = \chi-\chi + \chi^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[\theta x - \frac{x^2 - 2\mu x + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dx \qquad (\chi-\alpha)^2 = \chi-\chi + \chi^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{-2\sigma^2\theta x + x^2 - 2\mu x + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dx \qquad (\chi-\alpha)^2 = \chi-\chi + \chi^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 - 2(\mu + \sigma^2\theta)x + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dx \qquad (\chi-\alpha)^2 = \chi-\chi + \chi^2$$


$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 - 2(\mu + \sigma^2\theta)x + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dx \qquad (\chi-\alpha)^2 = \chi-\chi + \chi^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 - 2(\mu + \sigma^2\theta)x + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dx \qquad (\chi-\alpha)^2 = \chi-\chi + \chi^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 - 2(\mu + \sigma^2\theta)x + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dx \qquad (\chi-\alpha)^2 = \chi-\chi^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 - 2(\mu + \sigma^2\theta)x + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dx \qquad (\chi-\alpha)^2 = \chi-\chi^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 - 2(\mu + \sigma^2\theta)x + \mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dx \qquad (\chi-\alpha)^2 = \chi-\chi^2$$



Hence for $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, we have

$$M_X(\theta) = \exp\left(\mu\theta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\theta^2\right).$$

For standard normal random variable $Z \sim N(0,1)$, the MGF simplifies to

$$M_Z(\theta) = \exp\left(\frac{\theta^2}{2}\right).$$

$$X \sim N(\mathcal{D}, \sigma^{1})$$

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{(\lambda - \gamma)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}}$$

$$\gamma \sim N(\gamma + \epsilon^{1} 0)$$

 $f(y) = \int \frac{1}{12\pi 6} e^{-(y+60)^2}$

Lognormal Random Variables

Distributions

Again let $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ denote a normally distributed random variable. We say that

$$Y = e^X \qquad |_{\Lambda}(\Upsilon) = X$$

is a lognormal random variable, because

$$ln(Y) \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2).$$

We can use the MGF we have derived for normal distribution to evaluate expectation of lognormal random variables. For example

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[e^X] = M_X(1) = \exp\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right).$$


Example In QF, we often use normal distribution to model returns, and lognormal distribution to model asset prices. Why this is a sensible choice?

14/22

$$X \sim X(y, \epsilon^2)$$

$$M_{x}(0) = \mathbb{F}[e^{0x}] = e^{y_0 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^20^2}$$

 $Y = e^{X}$ $\int_{\mathbb{F}} \left[Y \right] = \mathbb{F} \left[e^{X} \right] = e^{y + \frac{1}{2} 6^{2}}$




Two-State Model

Distributions

Let us now take a look at the pricing of vanilla options using the concept of a lattice model (i.e. a tree model).

⇒ The aim is to illustrate the concepts of arbitrage, risk-neutrality and replication.

Suppose a stock is worth 100 today, and will be worth either 110 or 90tomorrow. Consider also an at-the-money (ATM) call option struck at K=100. Assume interest rate is 0 for now.



A client wishes to buy this ATM call option from us today. If the stock moves up to 110, the option will be exercised, giving the client a profit of 10. If the stock moves down to 90, the option will expire worthless. So the option value has to be between 0 and 10.

Two-State Model

Distributions

After selling this call option, we should **hedge** by buying some stock. We do not know where the market is heading, but suppose we buy Δ amount of stock today, so that our portfolio is

$$\Delta \times \mathsf{stock} - 1 \times \mathsf{call}$$
.

We hedge by requiring our portfolio to be worth the same whichever way the market ends up at, i.e.

$$\underbrace{\Delta \times 110 - 1 \times 10}_{\text{up}} = \underbrace{\Delta \times 90 - 1 \times 0}_{\text{down}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We see that this can be achieved by holding $\frac{1}{2}$ stock today. If we do exactly that, then our portfolio is worth $110 \times \frac{1}{2} - 10 = 90 \times \frac{1}{2} = 45$ in either states of the market. Since this portfolio is riskfree, and interest rate is 0, then the portfolio must be worth precisely 45 today also, or there will be arbitrage opportunity. In other words, the no-arbitrage condition enforces the price. So the option must be worth

$$100 \times \frac{1}{2} - C_0 = 45 \implies C_0 = 5.$$



Note that we did not have to use any probability in our analysis above—our result is valid regardless of what probability and up or down jump is.

But what if we know for sure that the stock is going up? This is impossible, because this will contradict the **no-arbitrage condition**:

- The value of a stock tomorrow cannot be guaranteed to grow faster than
 the risk-free interest rate, otherwise we will borrow at the risk-free rate
 and invest in stock to arbitrage.
- Hence, the probability of an up jump being 1 is impossible.
- Similarly, the probability of a down jump being 1 is also impossible (why?).
- Hence, the role of the probabilities is just to ensure that both states of the market are possible.

Two-State Model



Can we still use probabilities in our analysis, provided we match the no-arbitrage price obtained in our argument above?


⇒ Definitely. The probabilities that conform to the no-arbitrage price are the risk-neutral probabilities.

Let t=0 and t=1 be the timestamps for today and tomorrow, respectively. Let p^* denote the **risk-neutral probability** for an up jump. The expected value of the stock and option will be

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[S_1] = 110p^* + 90(1 - p^*) = 55 & \text{445} = 100 \\ \mathbb{E}[C_1] = 10p^* = 5 \end{cases}$$

Observe that

- The only probability that gives the correct no-arbitrage option price is $p^* = \frac{1}{2}$.
- This probability gives an expected stock price of 100, same as today's price, since interest rate is taken to be 0.



Two-State Model



Normal & Lognormal



Distributions

Even though the empirical (real-world) probability differs from the risk-neutral probability, arbitrage is still impossible, since the risk-neutral price by no-arbitrage principle only uses zero and non-zero probabilities.

- \Rightarrow This means that if an arbitrage exists for some value of 0 , then itexists for all values of p.
- \Rightarrow Conversely, if there are no arbitrage for some value of p, then there are no arbitrages for all values of p.

Hence, if under our risk-neutral probabilistic approach, we see that:

- ⇒ We do not require a premium for the riskiness of the stock over a risk-free bond.
- \Rightarrow In the real world, one would expect $p \ge \frac{1}{2}$ due to **risk-aversion**, and the expected value of the option and stock should be greater than the arbitrage-free price.
- ⇒ The ability to hedge has removed the risk premium.



$$\frac{\mathbb{E}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[S_{1} \right] = S_{0} = 100$$

$$\Rightarrow p^{*} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$F = 105$$