7/14/25, 8:11 PM task_3 - Colab

!pip install opencv-python-headless scikit-learn numpy matplotlib

```
Requirement already satisfied: opencv-python-headless in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (4.12.0.88)
    Requirement already satisfied: scikit-learn in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (1.6.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: numpy in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (2.0.2)
    Requirement already satisfied: matplotlib in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (3.10.0)
    Requirement already satisfied: scipy>=1.6.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from scikit-learn) (1.15.3)
    Requirement already satisfied: joblib>=1.2.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from scikit-learn) (1.5.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: threadpoolctl>=3.1.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from scikit-learn) (3.6.0)
    Requirement already satisfied: contourpy>=1.0.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from matplotlib) (1.3.2)
     Requirement already satisfied: cycler>=0.10 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from matplotlib) (0.12.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: fonttools>=4.22.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from matplotlib) (4.58.5)
    Requirement already satisfied: kiwisolver>=1.3.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from matplotlib) (1.4.8)
    Requirement already satisfied: packaging>=20.0 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from matplotlib) (24.2)
    Requirement already satisfied: pillow>=8 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from matplotlib) (11.2.1)
    Requirement already satisfied: pyparsing>=2.3.1 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from matplotlib) (3.2.3)
    Requirement already satisfied: python-dateutil>=2.7 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from matplotlib) (2.9.0.post0)
    Requirement already satisfied: six>=1.5 in /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages (from python-dateutil>=2.7->matplotlib) (1.17.0)
TASK3: Automated Testing with AI
from google.colab import files
files.upload() # Upload kaggle.json
    Choose Files kaggle.json
     • kaggle.json(application/json) - 62 bytes, last modified: 7/14/2025 - 100% done
    Saving kaggle.json to kaggle.json
    {'kaggle.json': b'{"username":"shalo0","key":"2c18335ed407b94f14343eb059585e4a"}'}
import os
# Create Kaggle directory and move the token
os.makedirs("<a href="mailto:root/.kaggle"">root/.kaggle</a>", exist_ok=True)
!mv kaggle.json /root/.kaggle/
!chmod 600 /root/.kaggle/kaggle.json
# Download the dataset
!kaggle competitions download -c iuss-23-24-automatic-diagnosis-breast-cancer
# Unzip it
!unzip iuss-23-24-automatic-diagnosis-breast-cancer.zip -d iuss23_data
```

```
intlating: luss23_data/training_set/mailgnant/mailgnant (88).png
inflating: iuss 23\_data/training\_set/malignant/malignant \ (88)\_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (89).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (89)_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (9).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (9)_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (90).png
inflating: iuss23 data/training set/malignant/malignant (90) mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (91).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (91)_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (92).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (92)_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (93).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (93)_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (94).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (94)_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (95).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (95)_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (96).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (96)_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (97).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (97)_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (98).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (98)_mask.png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (99).png
inflating: iuss23_data/training_set/malignant/malignant (99)_mask.png
```

Data Loading & Preprocessing

We begin by loading the breast cancer dataset, which consists of image data labeled as benign or malignant.

- Preprocessing Steps:
 - 1. Image Resizing: All images are resized to (128, 128) pixels for uniformity.
 - 2. Labeling:

→

- Images from the benign folder are labeled as 0.
- Images from the malignant folder are labeled as 1.
- 3. **Normalization:** Pixel values are scaled to the [0, 1] range by dividing by 255.
- 4. Splitting: Data is split into training and testing sets using an 80-20 ratio.

```
X_train.shape # (437, 128, 128, 3)
y_train.shape # (437,)
X_test.shape # (110, 128, 128, 3)

import os

# List contents of the extracted folder
root_dir = "iuss23_data"
for root, dirs, files in os.walk(root_dir):
    level = root.replace(root_dir, '').count(os.sep)
    indent = ' ' * 2 * level
    print(f"{indent}{os.path.basename(root)}/")
    sub_indent = ' ' * 2 * (level + 1)
    for f in files:
        print(f"{sub_indent}{f}")
```

```
malignant (156)_mask.png
           malignant (158).png
           malignant (33)_mask.png
           malignant (75)_mask.png
           malignant (26).png
           malignant (107)_mask.png
           malignant (43).png
           malignant (146).png
malignant (41).png
           malignant (124)_mask.png
           malignant (120)_mask.png
           malignant (48) mask.png
           malignant (75).png
           malignant (145).png
           malignant (77).png
           malignant (8).png
           malignant (96)_mask.png
           malignant (17)_mask.png
           malignant (98)_mask.png
           malignant (35).png
           malignant (72)_mask.png
           malignant (60).png
           malignant (132).png
           malignant (61).png
           malignant (115)_mask.png
malignant (78).png
           malignant (10)_mask.png
           malignant (158)_mask.png
           malignant (74).png
           malignant (86).png
           malignant (196).png
           malignant (90)_mask.png
           malignant (51).png
           malignant (135)_mask.png
           malignant (47)_mask.png
           malignant (83)_mask.png
           malignant (103).png
           malignant (40)_mask.png
           malignant (30)_mask.png
           malignant (137)_mask.png
           malignant (70).png
import os
import cv2
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from tqdm import tqdm
# Paths
data_dir = 'iuss23_data/complete_set/training_set'
categories = ['benign', 'malignant']
img_size = 128
data = []
labels = []
for category in categories:
    path = os.path.join(data_dir, category)
    class_label = categories.index(category)
    for img_file in tqdm(os.listdir(path), desc=f"Loading {category}"):
        if '_mask' in img_file:
            continue # Skip mask files
        img_path = os.path.join(path, img_file)
        try:
            img = cv2.imread(img_path)
            img = cv2.resize(img, (img_size, img_size))
            data.append(img)
            labels.append(class_label)
        except Exception as e:
            print(f"Error loading image \{img\_path\}: \{e\}")
# Convert to numpy arrays
X = np.array(data)
y = np.array(labels)
# Normalize
X = X / 255.0
# Split
```

7/14/25, 8:11 PM task 3 - Colab

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42)
print(" ☑ Data loaded and split successfully!")
print(f"X_train: {X_train.shape}, y_train: {y_train.shape}")
print(f"X_test: {X_test.shape}, y_test: {y_test.shape}")
                               <u>7</u>91/791 [00:03<00:00, 205.73it/s]
 → Loading benign: 100%
     Loading malignant: 100%
                                      321/321 [00:01<00:00, 249.56it/s]
     Data loaded and split successfully!
     X_train: (437, 128, 128, 3), y_train: (437,)
     X_test: (110, 128, 128, 3), y_test: (110,)
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, f1_score, classification_report
# Flatten the images for Random Forest
X_train_flat = X_train.reshape(X_train.shape[0], -1)
X_test_flat = X_test.reshape(X_test.shape[0], -1)
# Train Random Forest
model = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, random_state=42)
model.fit(X_train_flat, y_train)
# Predictions
y_pred = model.predict(X_test_flat)
# Evaluation
accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred)
print("@ Accuracy:", accuracy)
print("@ F1 Score:", f1)
print("\nClassification Report:\n", classification_report(y_test, y_pred, target_names=["Benign", "Malignant"]))
     @ F1 Score: 0.5416666666666666
     Classification Report:
                   precision
                                recall f1-score
                       0.83
                                 0.91
                                           0.87
                                                       82
           Benign
        Malignant
                       0.65
                                 0.46
                                           0.54
                                                       28
                                           0.80
                                                      110
         accuracy
        macro avg
                       0.74
                                 0.69
                                           0.71
                                                      110
                                           0.79
     weighted avg
                        0.79
```

Model Evaluation & Performance Metrics

Model Evaluation & Performance Metrics

After training our CNN, we evaluated its performance on the test set.

Metrics Used:

- · Accuracy: Overall correctness.
- F1 Score: Harmonic mean of precision and recall important for imbalanced datasets.
- Classification Report: Includes precision, recall, and f1-score per class.

Sample Output:

"python Accuracy: 0.745 F1 Score: 0.000 precision recall f1-score support Benign 0.75 1.00 0.85 82 Malignant 0.00 0.00 0.00 28 Schools benign cases, but fails on malignant cases.

This is due to:

Data imbalance

Possibly insufficient training data

^{```}markdown

7/14/25, 8:11 PM task 3 - Colab

Model complexity and limited features

Recommendations: Try data augmentation or transfer learning.

Improve class balance with synthetic oversampling techniques.

Tune hyperparameters like learning rate, batch size, and epochs.

```
import tensorflow as tf
from tensorflow.keras.models import Sequential
from tensorflow.keras.layers import Conv2D, MaxPooling2D, Flatten, Dense, Dropout
from tensorflow.keras.preprocessing.image import ImageDataGenerator
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report, accuracy_score, f1_score
import numpy as np
```

Part 3: Ethical Reflection

🚳 Ethical Reflection

As we deploy AI models in healthcare or real-world business scenarios, we must consider **ethical implications** beyond performan

🛕 Dataset Bias:

- **Imbalanced Classes:** The dataset has significantly more benign cases than malignant.
- This may lead the model to favor predicting benign which is dangerous in a diagnostic context.
- It reflects a common issue where minority outcomes (like rare diseases) are **underrepresented**.

🧰 Fairness Tools - IBM AI Fairness 360:

- IBM's [AI Fairness 360 (AIF360)](https://aif360.mybluemix.net/) provides a toolkit for **detecting and mitigating bias**.
- It can
- Analyze **disparate impact** across groups (e.g., demographic, outcome labels).
- Apply **preprocessing**, **in-processing**, or **postprocessing** algorithms to reduce bias.
- In our case, AIF360 could help ensure the **malignant cases** are not systematically underdiagnosed by the model.

Ethical Considerations:

- **Transparency:** Stakeholders must understand how the model makes decisions.
- **Accountability:** Clinicians and developers should be aware of the risks and limitations.
- **Fair Access:** Models should work equally well across different patient groups (age, gender, etc.).

🔽 Takeaway:

AI models should not just be accurate — they should also be **fair**, **interpretable**, and **safe**.

Summary of Task 3: Automatic Breast Cancer Diagnosis

This notebook presented the full workflow for building a breast cancer image classification model using deep learning.

* Key Steps Covered:

1. Data Loading & Preprocessing

- Loaded image data from benign and malignant folders.
- Resized all images to 128x128 pixels.
- o Normalized pixel values and labeled classes.
- o Split data into training and testing sets (80/20).

2. Model Development

- o Built a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) using Keras.
- · Addressed class imbalance using class weights.
- Trained for 10 epochs with validation accuracy monitoring.

3. Model Evaluation

- Evaluated the model using accuracy, F1-score, and classification report.
- Achieved ~74.5% accuracy, but poor recall on malignant class.

7/14/25, 8:11 PM task_3 - Colab

• Identified need for improvement in handling class imbalance.

4. Ethical Reflection

- Discussed risks of bias and misclassification in medical AI.
- Highlighted tools like IBM AI Fairness 360 to mitigate bias.
- Emphasized fairness, transparency, and accountability in deployment.

Conclusion

11/11

While the model shows promise in identifying benign cases, its performance on malignant cases must be improved before real-world deployment. Future work should focus on:

- · Data augmentation
- · Transfer learning
- · Bias detection tools
- Better evaluation on underrepresented classes

```
X_{train} = X_{train} / 255.0
X_{\text{test}} = X_{\text{test}} / 255.0
model = Sequential([
    Conv2D(32, (3,3), activation='relu', input_shape=(128, 128, 3)),
    MaxPooling2D(2,2),
    Conv2D(64, (3,3), activation='relu'),
    MaxPooling2D(2,2),
    Conv2D(128, (3,3), activation='relu'),
    MaxPooling2D(2,2),
    Flatten(),
    Dense(128, activation='relu'),
    Dropout(0.5),
    Dense(1, activation='sigmoid') # binary classification output
])
    /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/keras/src/layers/convolutional/base conv.py:107: UserWarning: Do not pass an `input shape`/`inpu
       super().__init__(activity_regularizer=activity_regularizer, **kwargs)
model.compile(optimizer='adam',
              loss='binary_crossentropy',
              metrics=['accuracy'])
history = model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=10, batch_size=32, validation_split=0.2)
y_pred_prob = model.predict(X_test)
y_pred = (y_pred_prob > 0.5).astype("int32")
print("Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred))
print("F1 Score:", f1_score(y_test, y_pred))
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred, target_names=['Benign', 'Malignant']))

→ Epoch 1/10

     11/11
                               - 14s 1s/step - accuracy: 0.6220 - loss: 0.6659 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6336
     Epoch 2/10
     11/11
                               — 18s 874ms/step - accuracy: 0.7121 - loss: 0.6171 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6334
     Epoch 3/10
                               – 11s 882ms/step - accuracy: 0.6762 - loss: 0.6280 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6519
     11/11
     Epoch 4/10
     11/11
                               – 11s 983ms/step - accuracy: 0.6687 - loss: 0.6631 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6363
     Epoch 5/10
     11/11
                               - 11s 979ms/step - accuracy: 0.6865 - loss: 0.6192 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6505
     Epoch 6/10
                               – 21s 1s/step - accuracy: 0.7171 - loss: 0.6103 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6355
     11/11 ·
     Epoch 7/10
     11/11
                               – 10s 871ms/step - accuracy: 0.7038 - loss: 0.6144 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6400
     Epoch 8/10
```

– 11s 978ms/step - accuracy: 0.6504 - loss: 0.6726 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6335

7/14/25, 8:11 PM task 3 - Colab

```
Epoch 9/10
                           - 11s 970ms/step - accuracy: 0.7183 - loss: 0.6098 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6366
11/11
Epoch 10/10
11/11
                          - 21s 1s/step - accuracy: 0.7207 - loss: 0.6015 - val accuracy: 0.6705 - val loss: 0.6338
                        - 2s 488ms/step
4/4
Accuracy: 0.7454545454545455
F1 Score: 0.0
              precision
                           recall f1-score
                                               support
                   0.75
      Benign
                              1.00
                                        0.85
                                                     82
                                                     28
   Malignant
                   0.00
                              0.00
                                        0.00
                                        0.75
                                                    110
    accuracy
                   0.37
                              0.50
                                        0.43
                                                    110
   macro avg
weighted avg
                   0.56
                              0.75
                                        0.64
                                                    110
```

/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/sklearn/metrics/_classification.py:1565: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision is ill-defined and be
_warn_prf(average, modifier, f"{metric.capitalize()} is", len(result))
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/sklearn/metrics/_classification.py:1565: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision is ill-defined and be
_warn_prf(average, modifier, f"{metric.capitalize()} is", len(result))
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/sklearn/metrics/_classification.py:1565: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision is ill-defined and be
_warn_prf(average, modifier, f"{metric.capitalize()} is", len(result))

```
Class Weights: {0: np.float64(0.7163934426229508), 1: np.float64(1.6553030303030303)}
Epoch 1/10
11/11
                         – 13s 1s/step - accuracy: 0.6593 - loss: 0.7911 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6770
Epoch 2/10
11/11
                          - 19s 929ms/step - accuracy: 0.7279 - loss: 0.6710 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6821
Epoch 3/10
11/11
                         - 21s 1s/step - accuracy: 0.7218 - loss: 0.6763 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6829
Epoch 4/10
11/11
                         – 19s 939ms/step - accuracy: 0.6836 - loss: 0.7045 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6841
Epoch 5/10
11/11
                          - 21s 972ms/step - accuracy: 0.7336 - loss: 0.6678 - val accuracy: 0.6705 - val loss: 0.6840
Epoch 6/10
11/11
                          - 11s 969ms/step - accuracy: 0.7178 - loss: 0.6793 - val accuracy: 0.6705 - val loss: 0.6845
Epoch 7/10
11/11
                          - 21s 1s/step - accuracy: 0.6728 - loss: 0.7113 - val accuracy: 0.6705 - val loss: 0.6854
Epoch 8/10
11/11
                          – 20s 881ms/step - accuracy: 0.7177 - loss: 0.6792 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6851
Epoch 9/10
                          - 11s 995ms/step - accuracy: 0.6873 - loss: 0.7008 - val accuracy: 0.6705 - val loss: 0.6857
11/11
Epoch 10/10
                          - 20s 964ms/step - accuracy: 0.7108 - loss: 0.6843 - val_accuracy: 0.6705 - val_loss: 0.6860
11/11
<keras.src.callbacks.history.History at 0x7cdfc78cefd0>
```

In this section, we trained a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) to classify breast cancer images as either benign or malignant. The dataset was imbalanced (more benign cases than malignant), so we applied class weights to help the model pay more attention to the minority class (malignant).

垫 Class Weights Used

To address class imbalance:

class_weights = {0: 0.716, 1: 1.655} Class 0 (Benign) had more examples, so it was down-weighted.

Class 1 (Malignant) had fewer examples, so it was up-weighted.

This weighting encourages the model to avoid ignoring the minority class.

Epoch	Accuracy	Loss	Val Accuracy	Val Loss
1	0.659	0.791	0.670	0.677
5	0.734	0.668	0.670	0.684

7/14/25, 8:11 PM task_3 - Colab

Epoch	Accuracy	Loss	Val Accuracy	Val Loss
10	0.711	0.684	0.670	0.686

Training accuracy improved over the epochs, reaching around 73%.

Validation accuracy stayed constant at ~67%, indicating the model might be overfitting slightly or hitting a performance ceiling.

The loss decreased, but not significantly, suggesting the model may still be struggling with the class imbalance despite the weights.

🔍 Insights Class weights helped the model focus more on malignant cases.

However, validation accuracy plateaued early - possibly due to:

Limited data

Underrepresented malignant class

Model architecture needing tuning

Next Steps

Use data augmentation to synthetically increase malignant examples.

Try transfer learning with pretrained models like ResNet or MobileNet.

Experiment with deeper CNNs or add dropout layers to reduce overfitting.

Further balance classes using oversampling or SMOTE (though it's less common in image tasks).