# **Project report**

Team name: M2 Robo

Lee Chun Yin Chi 3035469140 30

Chiu Yu Ying 3035477630 Chan Kwan Yin 3035466978 Team leader

## 1. Introduction

# 1.1. collaborative filtering (CF)

CF is a technique for recommendation system, in which historical feedback data are used to infer connections between users and products [2]. While additional features can be introduced to offset certain bias effects [1], two inputs (user and product) and one output (user rating on the product) are generally sufficient to train a CF model without involving domain-specific data.

Two major approaches for CF include neighbourhood models and latent factor models. Neighbourhood models compare the similarity between users and recommend products positively rated by similar users, while latent factor models perform dimensional reduction on both users and movies to a common, smaller set of feature attributes such that users are recommended with movies of more coherent features.

#### 1.2. The Netflix Prize dataset

The Netflix Prize is a competition for the prediction of users' favour of movies. The dataset provides existing ratings of users on given movies, and models are trained to predict new ratings.

#### 1.2.1 Dataset format

The dataset contains 100480507 rows of data structured in the following format:

User ID	490189 discrete values
Movie ID	17770 discrete values
Rating	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
Date	Dates from 1999 to 2005

# 1.2.2 Distribution of ratings

Ratings are mostly distributed around 3 and 4, as shown in Figure 1.

Except for some extreme cases, the number of ratings per user over the 7 years mostly follow an exponential relation for users from 10 to 1000 ratings, as shown in Figure 2. The top 10 users with the highest number of ratings range from 17651 to 8877.

For movies with at least 100 ratings (which is the case for the majority), their numbers of ratings demonstrate a similar but more concave relationship, as shown in Figure 3.

## 1.2.3 Evaluation process

To evaluate performance, 1425333 rows (about 1.42% of all data) are speified as the standard "probe". We perform analysis in the following procedure:




Figure 1. Frequency of ratings




Figure 2. Number of ratings per user




Figure 3. Number of ratings per movie

- 1. Train the model with the 99055174 non-probe rows.
- 2. Predict user ratings with the 1425333 probe rows.
- 3. Compute the root mean squared error (RMSE) between the predicted data and actual data.

In this project, we evaluate three models, namely:

- k-nearest neighbours (KNN), a simple neighbourhood model
- singular value decomposition (SVD), a latent factor model that accounts for user bias
- neural collaborative filtering (NCF), a latent factor model that represents features with neural network weights

Originally, we proposed three models KNN, SVD and SVD++ (a SVD model with time features). Due to the high similarity between SVD and SVD++, we proposed the use of NCF instead, while the additional time effect bias in SVD++ is left out as a to-do.

#### 1.3. Notations

In this report, we denote variables about the dataset as follows:

- m: number of users
- n: number of movies
- N: number of ratings
- $r_{ij}$ : rating of user i on movie j

# 2. Data preprocessing

Due to performance issues with CSV parsing, we wrote a separate program 'preprocess-dataset', which reformats the input files into a numpy saved array which contains the following columns derived from the original dataset:

- User ID
- Movie ID
- · Rating value
- Year of rating
- Day of year of rating
- · Day of week of rating
- Whether the rating is probed data

During runtime, the data are represented in compressed sparse row (CSR) format for a sparse  $m \times n$  matrix.

## 3. KNN

KNN is an example of neighbourhood models. It seeks to provide recommendations based on the behaviour of similar users.

## 3.1. Algorithm

The training algorithm involves the following steps:

The algorithm first finds Q, the set of q users with the highest number of ratings. The distance d(i,j) for  $i \in [1,m], j \in Q \setminus \{i\}$  is then computed, and the neighbourhood  $K_i \subset Q$  of each user i is evaluated as the k users with the lowest distance. The prediction of the rating  $r_{ij}$  is computed by aggregating the neighbourhood ratings with  $A(\{r_{xj}:x\in K_i\})$ . The memory complexity of these operations (except d and A) is O(qn).

#### 3.1.1 Neighbourhood size k

# 3.1.2 Neighbourhood candidate size q

#### **3.1.3** Distance function d

The distance function  $d: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,1]$  observes the deviation in interests between two different users. d(i,j) = 0 iff i = j, and  $d(i,j) = d(j,i) \forall i = j$ . Although d(i,j) < d(i,k) implies that j is more similar to i than k is, it is not necessary that d follows triangular inequality.

**Cosine** The cosine distance is defined as

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 2 \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) \cdot (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b})}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\| \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}\|} - 1$$

where  $\mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{r}_{i\cdot}$ , i.e. the mean rating of each movie. This metric emphasizes the difference between users who gave ratings better or worse than the average, e.g. the difference between 3 and 5 is more important than the difference between 1 and 3 when the average rating is 4. It can be thought of a metric to determine how "abnormal" a user is. The multiplier is to correct the distance range to [0,1].

 $p ext{-norm}$  The  $p ext{-norm}$  distance is defined as the  $p ext{-norm}$  of two vectors normalized by the maximum possible distance, i.e.

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i - y_i|^p\right)^{1/p}}{4n^{1/p}}$$

- **3.2.** Aggregation function A
- 3.3. Findings
- 4. SVD
- 4.1. Background
- **4.1.1** Learning rate  $\alpha$
- 4.1.2 Regularization  $\lambda$
- 4.1.3 Rank of factorization k
- 4.1.4 Number of epochs  $\xi$
- **4.1.5** Batch size  $\beta$
- 4.2. Findings
- 5. NCF
- 5.1. Background
- 5.2. Findings
- 6. Conclusion

# References

- [1] Robert M Bell, Yehuda Koren, and Chris Volinsky. The bellkor 2008 solution to the netflix prize. *Statistics Research Department at AT&T Research*, 1(1), 2008. 2
- [2] Y. Koren. Factorization meets the neighborhood: a multi-faceted collaborative filtering model. *Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, 2008. 2