Team Assessment

GitHub Group Name:

Group26---Product-Organizer

Members:

Sibi Sabesan 100750081

Osamah Albayati 100782415

Brenden Muldowney 100707148

Team Approach

Question 1: How well to the team members understand the problem?

Through the many labs, tutorials and online meetings we've had as a group, the overall problem given was broken down overtime and made easy to process. It was convenient because of our group's variety of specialties that benefited us during complex multi-person problems.

Question 2: How are the project tasks achieved?

The main reason to our success as a team was our time-management. Each project task was achieved through first creating a schedule that worked for everyone and then delegating a certain amount of time to each task.

Question 3: How did the team approach solving a problem?

To accomplish any task that stood infront of us we implemented the concept called "Separation of Concerns". The method involves breaking a task down to specific parts and connecting to a team member's skills and tool set.

Question 4: What approach was taken to solve the problem?

The "Seperation of Concerns" method was primarily used by split the workload depending on the type of programming language bing used. For example, the HTML/CSS design element of the website would be done by 1 team member and the the javascript portion would be done by the other members.

Overall Comments on team approach:

By the week of the Final Project's submission, everybody was very satisfied with initial approach because the progress made matched the approach's estimated time of completion.

Team Coordination of Task Responsibilities

Question 1: How actively did team members take in interest and supported in terms of individual member responsibilities?

When comparing the type of work each group member was assigned to do, it was expected that the javascript would have more problems ad concerns than any of the other problems. This was solved by all the group members taking the initiative to allocate some of their time (~once a week) to assist another group member. By doing this, the cooperation and communication increased vastly.

Question 2: How well did the completion of tasks require active interchanges and sharing of information among members?

The sharing of information between group members increased proportionally with the difficulty of the task at hand, so when a harder obstacle faced one of our group members, it was a necessity that we collaborated for it to be completed on time.

Question 3: How well did the assignment of tasks to individuals match their expertise?

Throughout the progress of the entire project the assignment of tasks to group members was fairly smooth because each of us were well versed in a different subject. Each member had atleast one primary skillset and a secondary (even third) that would help assist other team members.

Question 4: How well did the team coordinate individual task assignments?

To coordinate the team's assignment of task we appointed a leader that would note skills, level of skills and each member's schedule, so during the weekly meeting the leader is able to allocate workload and minimize confusion.

Overall Comments on team coordination of task responsibilities:

The overall approach of assigning tasks to team members through a leader had a great benefit of optimizing time management, but had possibility of struggling from adaption from stakeholders. Luckily we didnt run into any problems because the only stakeholders were made by us.