Exploration and Presentation

Relational vs Non-Relational Databases

"To be or not to be a relational database? That is the question."

May 7, 2021

Authors

Jonas Valentin Björk Hein Jonatan Magnus Klarskov Bakke Thomas Stevns Nielsen Ebsen

Choosing the correct database for your application, is a crucial element in almost every project that requires a datastore, and the fact that we collect more data than we have ever done in the past, emphasizes the importance of choosing the correct type of database structure.

The internet marked grows rapidly with each passing year, and technology eras such as the switch from web 1.0 to web 2.0, introduced new challenges regarding dynamic web development and database expansion. One of the most popular solution to the aforementioned issues, is the concept of NoSQL, which has become a popular alternative to traditional rdbms based databases.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Relational and Non-Relational database models 2.1 What describes a relational database	
3	Comparison 3.1 Where do relational databases perform well	5 5 5
4	Conclusion	6

1 Introduction

Relational Databases and NoSQL are two competing types of data models, and since the 1970's, the relational database model were the industries dominating storage method, up until the introduction of NoSQL in the early 2000, were NoSQL began replacing it in some applicational contexts [1]. The evolution of new technologies and the era of Web 2.0, changed the way we interact online, which triggered the need for a more versatile and scalable database model[2]. The introduction of NoSQL introduced a new way of dynamically storing data in a database, which led to the development of applications such as social media, e-commerce platforms, messaging software etc. where large amount of data are processed every second.

The shift from static to dynamic, caused many companies to switch part of their applications needs from the old conventional method of using relational databases, to the more easily scalable and versatile type of database, like NoSQL. However, in spite of NoSQL being the new "trend" and applications becoming more and more dynamic, the relational database model are still widely used today, but why is that?

The intent of this paper is to describe what the two different database structures are and weigh them up against each other to form a baseline, for which can then be used when deciding on which one that suits future project the best.

2 Relational and Non-Relational database models

The Rational and Non-Relational database models are two different approaches for storing volumes of data in a datastore. The Relational Database model is unique, and there is only one type of Relational Database [3], whereas NoSQL has multiple. There are many different types of NoSQL databases, but only four models are commonly used: Document Databases, Key-value databases, Wide-column stores and Graph Databases [4].

2.1 What describes a relational database

A relational database utilizes *tables*, *columns*, *rows* and *keys* to create structure and relationships [5]. If you wish to store information about certain people into the database, the first step would be to create a table which contain the persons information as *attributes* in the form of *columns*. Once the *columns* are defined, they may be populated with a persons data, so when a new persons' information is added to the table, a row is created based on the columns.

id	firstname	lastname	
1	Thomas	Ebsen	
2	Jonas	Hein	
3	Jonatan	Bakke	

Figure 1: Figure of a Person Table in a relational database

This makes visualization of the saved data easier for the user to understand. The implementation of a primary key is also a requirement when creating tables and it acts as a rows unique identifier. Figure 1 displays how a database table would look

if the primary key is implemented as an auto incremented integer, however it can be defined by any value as long as it is unique [5]. The primary key is crucial setting up relationships between tables, therefor it is important to choose the right unique identifier when defining it.

When creating tables, it is important to keep normalization in mind. Database Normalization is a technique used to organize and structure the information in the database, in accordance to the so called 'normal-forms'. When applying normalization, a systematic approach is taken, to split the data into tables, as to prevent data redundancy and repetition [6].

An example of applying database normalization can be as followed:

id	firstname	lastname	department	departmentAddress	departmentZip
1	Thomas	Ebsen	Department 1	Dep Add 1	6934
2	Jonas	Hein	Department 1	Dep Add 1	6934
3	lonatan	Bakke	Department 2	Dep Add 2	3469

Figure 2: Figure of a Person Table in a relational database with no normalization

Figure 2 display a databases table with no normalization, and by storing data this way, we quickly run into a data redundancy issue, where Thomas and Jonas' row contains some of the same data. By applying normalization as we explained above, the updated database tables should be structured like this:

People Table							
id	firstname	lastname	departmentId				
1	Thomas	Ebsen	1				
2	Jonas	Hein	1				
3	Jonatan	Bakke	2				
Department Table							
id	name	address	zip				
1	Department 1	Dep add 1	6034				
2	Department 2	Dep Add 2	3469				

Figure 3: Applying normalization to Fig 2 to prevent redundancy

This cleared the issue of reocurring information and reduced redundancy by making the department information reusable.

Aside from Normalization, which is not an actual requirement, ACID is. All database that are built upon the Relational Database Model must adhere to the ACID principles. In layman's terms, ACID (Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability) references a set of standard properties that is used to guarantee that the database transactions are processed without issues [7].

As database guide explains it, the different ACID properties do the following [7]:

"ACID is an acronym that stands for Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability. These are explained below.

- Atomicity means that you guarantee that either all of the transaction succeeds or none of it does. You don't get part of it succeeding and part of it not. If one part of the transaction fails, the whole transaction fails. With atomicity, it's either "all or nothing".
- Consistency ensures that you guarantee that all data will be consistent. All data will be valid according to all defined rules, including any constraints, cascades, and triggers that have been applied on the database.
- Isolation Guarantees that all transactions will occur in isolation. No transaction will be affected by any other transaction. So a transaction cannot read data from any other transaction that has not yet completed.
- Durability means that, once a transaction is committed, it will remain in the system even if there's a system crash immediately following the transaction. Any changes from the transaction must be stored permanently. If the system tells the user that the transaction has succeeded, the transaction must have, in fact, succeeded.
- " See https://database.guide [7]

By complying with the ACID properties, the DBMS (Database Management System) ensures the organization who employs the relational database model database strategy, that their database will maintain data accuracy and consistency, even if a failure occurs during the transaction process [7].

2.2 What describes a non-relational database

A Non-Relational database which is also called NoSQL or "not only SQL" [4], is a type of database that does not use the tabular form to store its data, but instead uses different data models, like the Document Database Model which we will use as a baseline for this section. In the Document Database Model, the storing of data differ from the standard tabular structure, in the way that it can store different types of data inside a document without validating the data, which means it is essentially possible to store any type of data into a document [8]. This type of storage method, is what makes it possible to store large and unstructured volumes of data easily, which means it is also much easier to import data sheets directly into the document, as it's setup is based on the data it received.

If you were to have an extensive CSV file filled with different information, that you wish to add into your database, it would prove difficult to do with traditional relational databases, since you would need to create tables for each different object in the csv and generate unique identifiers. With NoSQL, the task is relatively straight forward, since it's not bound by relational restrictions. By using NoSQL's document based model, we can save the CSV file directly into the database without any prior processing by the help of mongoimport [9]. The command will automatically convert it into a document, take the headers from the csv and convert them into value pairs and then save the data accordingly.

3 Comparison

3.1 Where do relational databases perform well

After storing and categorizing the data into the tables, a view with complex sql queries can then be crated, to easily retrieve from or save to multiple tables at once.

Due to the nature of relational databases, navigating -and joining tables together is relatively uncomplicated, and complex queries are easy for users to carry out, which makes the structure flexible.

Multiple users can access, make changes and create requests simultaneously.

Relational database models are matured and therefore are well-understood adding to the trust behind them. Data in tables within a RDBMS can be restricted to allow access by given users.

By employing normalization, data is only stored once, which eliminates data duplication and redundancy [6].

3.2 Where do non-relational databases perform well

It can easily handle large amounts of complex and unstructured data, which makes the non-relational databases excel in scaling up existing databases. Non-relational databases are designed for horizontal scaling, this means that instead of scaling up by increasing the server's components like RAM, CPU, or SSD, non-relational database scales horizontally, so instead increasing a servers capacity, horizontal scaling is about adding multiple servers to handle the database. [10] This is due to a non-relational database not having the need to unpack the complexity of new data into tables, instead it stores the data into documents as we explained in section 2.2.

3.3 Where do relational databases fail to perform

Horizontal scaling is a huge downfall for relational databases. Relational databases are made to run on a single server maintaining the integrity of the table mappings. If you were to scale horizontal you would ruin the accuracy of the saved data. With the design and properties of relational databases if the system needs to scale you need to scale it vertically to ensure accuracy. [11]

3.4 Where do non-relational databases fail to perform

A disadvantage when it comes to non-relational databases lies in not fully following the ACID properties. There are not many defined standards for NoSQL databases, which may result in inter-connectivity -and cross-integration issues between different NoSQL databases. [11]

This is where Non-Relational databases trade in performances, for the security that ACID brings when handling the data. The security that ACID brings lies in the way that it forces the database from handling new requests, until the data has hit the disk, which secures that data will not be lost in the process of handling large amounts of requests. Whereas non-relational databases they tend to follow BASE with stands for Basically Available, Soft State, and Eventually Consistent. BASE is all about performance and speed but lacks in the security departments this is because unlike ACID, BASE don't have to wait until the data have hit the disk, this

makes a performance advantage but at the cost of risking to lose data you have just written [12] [13].

3.5 What are the differences

When it comes to comparing non-relational databases and relational-databases and when to use them, there will never be a clear cut answer to which one is best. This is of course because there will always be a reason to pick one above the other. Before distributed systems, the relational database with ACID properties did all what we needed, and at that time we only really had data stored in one place.

As technology grew we needed more scalability to deal with the massive amounts of data we wanted to store, and to scale a databases you will have to either go vertical or horizontal. Vertical scaling is harder to achieve because of high cost and cap to speeds on a single system. Horizontal scaling scales better since you have multiple databases running on separate systems. This is where non-relational databases really shine, because of how easy it is to save any kind of data set, and it's horizontal scaling [14]. Scaling is one of the big diverging features between non-relational and relational databases, but a big downfall for non-relational databases "take all" approach, is that it does not have a main query language unlike Relational Databases SQL. Relational databases are excellent at maintaining relationships and consistency due to of the table structure and ACID, whereas, non-relational databases are faster but lack consistency since they do not check if the write persisted which can result in loss of data.

4 Conclusion

Non-relational databases, are not a catch-all replacement for relational database and even though non-relational databases have a lot of good new use cases, there will always be a need for consistency and security when choosing a database. From reading our paper you should now have a broader understanding of the different database structures and you have now gained more insight to which in the future should make your decision between relational or non-relational databases more streamlined.

References

- [1] Keith D. Foote. A brief history of Non-Relational databases. URL: https://www.dataversity.net/a-brief-history-of-non-relational-databases/.
- [2] Knut Haugen. A Brief History of NoSQL. URL: http://blog.knuthaugen. no/2010/03/a-brief-history-of-nosql.html.
- [3] Mohammed O. Ismail Mohamed Ahmed Mohamed Obay G. Altrafi. *Relational Vs. NoSQL databases: A survey.* URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263272704_Relational_Vs_NoSQL_databases_A_survey.
- [4] MongoDB. What is NoSQL? URL: https://www.mongodb.com/nosql-explained.

- [5] IBM Cloud Education. What is a relational database? URL: https://www.ibm.com/cloud/learn/relational-databases.
- [6] Microsoft. Description of the database normalization basics. URL: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/office/troubleshoot/access/database-normalization-description.
- [7] Database.Guide. What does ACID mean in Database Systems? URL: https://database.guide/what-is-acid-in-databases/.
- [8] MongoDB. What is a Non-Relational Database? URL: https://www.mongodb.com/non-relational-database.
- [9] Database.Guide. Import a CSV File into MongoDB with mongoimport. URL: https://database.guide/import-a-csv-file-into-mongodb-with-mongoimport/.
- [10] MongoDB. Advantages of NoSQL Databases. URL: https://www.mongodb.com/nosql-explained/advantages.
- [11] Team LoginRadius. *RDBMS vs NoSQL*. URL: https://www.loginradius.com/blog/async/relational-database-management-system-rdbms-vs-nosql/.
- [12] Bryce Merkl Sasaki. *Graph Databases for Beginners: ACID vs. BASE Explained*. URL: https://neo4j.com/blog/acid-vs-base-consistency-models-explained/.
- [13] Charles Roe. ACID vs. BASE: The Shifting pH of Database Transaction Processing. URL: https://www.dataversity.net/acid-vs-base-the-shifting-ph-of-database-transaction-processing/.
- [14] Evan Tarver. Horizontal vs. Vertical Integration: What's the Difference? URL: https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/051315/what-difference-between-horizontal-integration-and-vertical-integration.asp/.