

Investigating the Use of Evaluation Measures in the Defect Prediction Literature

Jan 28 2022

Below we provide the list of defect prediction papers examined in our study. We report the list of evaluation measures used for binary classification (Measures); the year (Year) and the venue (Venue) a study was published in; whether rationale is given for using certain measures (Justified); whether it is discussed to what extend different evaluation measures can yield different results (Ack); and the proposed possible mitigation (Mitigation).

Study	Measures	Year	Venue	Justified	Ack	Mitigation
67	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, AUC	2010	ICSE			
12	Accuracy, Specificity, Recall	2010	ICSE			
26	Accuracy, Recall, Precision, F-measure	2010	EMSE			
19	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F-measure, AUC	2010	JSS			Using multiple measures
3	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F-measure, AUC	2010	JSS			Using multiple measures
33	Precision, Accuracy	2010	IST			
111	AUC	2010	JSS			
93	Recall, FPR, Balance, AUC	2010	IST			Choosing measures is based on the goal investigated
86	Balance, AUC	2011	TSE			
42	Precision, Recall, F-measures	2011	ICSE			
48	F-measure	2011	EMSE			
52	F-measure	2011	FSE			
78	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2011	ESEM			
77	AUC	2011	ASE			Using a threshold independent measure
15	Precision, Recall, AUC	2011	IST			Using a threshold independent measure
18	AUC	2012	EMSE			
73	F-measure	2012	ICSE			
21	AUC	2012	EMSE			
58	AUC, FPR	2012	ASE			
82	Recall, FPR, Accuracy, Precision	2012	ASE			
16	Precision, Recall, AUC	2012	IST			Using a threshold independent measure
17	Precision, Recall, AUC	2012	JSS			Using a threshold independent measure
59	Recall, FPR, F-measure, AUC	2012	IST			Using a threshold independent measure
39	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F-measure, AUC	2013	TSE			Using multiple measures
74	Recall, FPR, G-measure	2013	TSE			Using G-measure when the data is imbalanced
80	F-measure, AUC	2013	ICSE			
20	AUC, H-measure	2013	TSE			
64	F-measure	2013	ICSE			
28	Recall, FPR, G-measure	2013	ESEM			
34	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2013	ASE			
13	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2013	ICST			
94	FPR, Recall, Balance	2013	IST			
37	FPR, Recall, F-measure	2014	ICSE			
69	AUC	2014	EMSE			
35	Recall rate, FPR, F-measure	2015	FSE			Using G-measure when the data is imbalanced
75	G-measure	2015	ICSE			
87	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2015	ICSE			
41	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2015	FSE			
25	AUC	2015	ICSE			
88	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2015	ICSE			
27	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2015	IST			
14	Recall, FPR, G-measure, MCC	2015	IST			
51	G-mean	2015	IST			Using an unbiased measure
89	AUC	2016	ICSE			Future work should investigate the use of other measures
95	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2016	ICSE			
109	AUC	2016	ICSE			
46	AUC, Recall, Precision	2016	ICSE			
53	F-measure	2016	TSE			
96	F-measure	2016	TSE			
38	AUC, Precision, Recall, F-measure	2016	EMSE			Using a threshold independent measure
31	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2016	EMSE			
108	Precision, Recall, FPR, F-measure, G-measure, MCC, AUC	2016	EMSE			
81	Recall, FPR, AUC, H-measure	2016	EMSE			
84	AUC, Recall, FPR, F-measure, Balance	2016	ESEM			
76	MCC	2016	ESEM			Using an unbiased measure which describes entire confusion matrix
10	MCC	2016	ISSTA			Using an unbiased measure which describes entire confusion matrix
68	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2016	JSS			
24	Recall, Precision, FPR, F-measure	2016	IST			Choosing measures based on the goal investigated
90	Precision, Recall, AUC	2017	TSE			Using both threshold dependent and independent measures
36	Skewed F-measure, Recall, FPR, AUC	2017	TSE			Using multiple measures
107	Precision, Recall, F-measure, Balance, AUC	2017	EMSE			
29	Recall, Precision, F-measure, Accuracy, AUC	2017	EMSE			Using other measures to mitigate the bias coming from using error
106	AUC	2017	TSE			
8	AUC, Recall, FPR, G-mean	2017	ESEM			Other measures have been shown to be biased
57	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2017	ESEM			
9	AUC	2017	ESEM			
104	AUC	2017	JSS			Using AUC as its variance was the lowest among the metrics examined
62	Accuracy, Balanced Accuracy	2017	JSS			
101	F-measure	2017	IST			
70	AUC, G-mean	2017	IST			
30	AUC, Recall, Precision, Accuracy, F-measure, G-measure, MCC	2018	TSE			Using multiple measures
103	F-measure	2018	ICSE			
60	AUC, Brier	2018	TSE			
22	Recall, FPR, Precision, F-measure	2018	ICSE			
65	Precision, Recall, F-measure	2018	ICSE			
43	F-measure	2018	ICSE			
98	F-measure, G-mean, Balance	2018	ICSE			
63	AUC	2018	TSE			
3	Recall, Precision, FPR, AUC	2018	ICSE			Using more than one measures (but not on all as there are too many), by selecting those that are widely used in the literature
7	Recall, FPR	2018	TSE			Other measures have been shown to be biased
79	AUC, F-measure	2018	ASE			
47	Precision, Recall, F-measure, AUC	2018	ASE			
32	Precision, Recall, F-measure, G-mean	2018	IST			
55	Balance, G-measure, F-measure	2018	IST			Future work should investigate the use of other measures
50	Accuracy, F-measure	2018	JSS			
92	F-measure, AUC, MCC	2018	IST			Using MCC when data is imbalanced
85	MCC	2019	TSE			Other measures have been shown to be biased
61	AUC	2019	EMSE			
49	G-measure	2019	TSE			
11	Recall, G-mean	2019	ICSE			
105	Precision, Recall, F-measure, AUC	2019	TSE			
2	Balance	2019	TSE			Using the most prominent measures in the literature
102	AUC, Precision, Recall, F-measure	2019	ICSE			Using a threshold independent measure
54	G-measure, AUC	2019	TSE			Using G-measure when the data is imbalanced
6	AUC, Balance, G-mean	2019	EMSE			
91	Precision, Recall, F-measure, FPR, MCC, AUC, G-mean, G-measure, Balance	2019	TSE			Using multiple measures
23	AUC, F-measure, G-mean	2019	TSE			
14	AUC	2019	EMSE			Future work should investigate the use of other measures
56	F-measure	2019	IST			
66	AUC	2019	JSS			Using a threshold independent measure
83	Precision, Recall, F-measure, mcc	2019	IST			
71	Precision, Recall, F-measure, AUC	2019	JSS			Using a threshold independent measure
110	AUC, Precision, Recall, F-measure	2019	IST			Using a threshold independent measure among multiple measures
99	F-measure, AUC	2019	JSS			Using a threshold independent measure
100	F-measure, G-measure, MCC, AUC	2019	IST			
97	F-measure, MCC, AUC	2019	JSS			Future work should investigate the use of other measures
40	F-measure, AUC	2020	TOSEM			Using the average of two measures as the final accuracy measure
4	AUC, F-measure, G-measure, MCC	2020	EMSE			
45	AUC, MCC	2020	EMSE			Other measures have been shown to be biased
72	Precision, Recall, F-measure, AUC	2020	JSS			Using a threshold independent measure
1	F-measure, Precision, Recall	2020	JSS			

References

- [1] Petar Afric, Lucija Sikic, Adrian Satja Kurdija, and Marin Silic. 2020. REPD: Source code defect prediction as anomaly detection. *JSS* (2020), 110641.
- [2] A. Agrawal, W. Fu, D. Chen, X. Shen, and T. Menzies. 2019. How to "DODGE" Complex Software Analytics. *IEEE TSE* (2019).
- [3] A. Agrawal and T. Menzies. 2018. Is "better data" better than "better data miners"? On the benefits of tuning SMOTE for defect prediction. *Procs. of ICSE* (2018), 1050–1061.
- [4] S. Amasaki. 2020. Cross-version defect prediction: use historical data, cross-project data, or both? *ESE* 25, 2 (2020), 1573–1595.
- [5] Erik Arisholm, Lionel C. Briand, and Eivind B. Johannessen. 2010. A systematic and comprehensive investigation of methods to build and evaluate fault prediction models. *JSS* 83 (1 2010), 2–17. Issue 1.
- [6] K.E. Bennin, J.W. Keung, and A. Monden. 2019. On the relative value of data resampling approaches for software defect prediction. *ESE* 24, 2 (2019), 602–636.
- [7] K.E. Bennin, J. Keung, P. Phannachitta, A. Monden, and S. Mensah. 2018. MAHAKIL: Diversity Based Oversampling Approach to Alleviate the Class Imbalance Issue in Software Defect Prediction. *IEEE TSE* 44, 6 (2018), 534–550.
- [8] Kwabena Ebo Bennin, Jacky Keung, Akito Monden, Passakorn Phannachitta, and Solomon Mensah. 2017. The significant effects of data sampling approaches on software defect prioritization and classification. In *Procs. of ESEM*. 364–373.
- [9] Yi Bin, Kai Zhou, Hongmin Lu, Yuming Zhou, and Baowen Xu. 2017. Training data selection for cross-project defection prediction: which approach is better?. In *Procs. of ESEM*. 354–363.
- [10] David Bowes, Tracy Hall, Mark Harman, Yue Jia, Federica Sarro, and Fan Wu. 2016. Mutation-aware fault prediction. In *Procs. ISSTA*. 330–341.
- [11] G.G. Cabral, L.L. Minku, E. Shihab, and S. Mujahid. 2019. Class Imbalance Evolution and Verification Latency in Just-in-Time Software Defect Prediction. *Procs. of ICSE* (2019), 666–676.
- [12] A.E. Camargo Cruz. 2010. Exploratory study of a UML metric for fault prediction. *Procs. of ICSE* 2 (2010), 361–364.
- [13] Gabriella Carrozza, Domenico Cotroneo, Roberto Natella, Roberto Pietrantuono, and Stefano Russo. 2013. Analysis and prediction of mandelbugs in an industrial software system. In *Procs. of ICST*. 262–271.

- [14] Lin Chen, Bin Fang, Zhaowei Shang, and Yuanyan Tang. 2015. Negative samples reduction in cross-company software defects prediction. *IST* 62 (2015), 67–77. Issue 1.
- [15] Jehad Al Dallal. 2011. Improving the applicability of object-oriented class cohesion metrics. *IST* 53 (9 2011), 914–928. Issue 9.
- [16] Jehad Al Dallal. 2012. Fault prediction and the discriminative powers of connectivity-based object-oriented class cohesion metrics. *IST* 54 (4 2012), 396–416. Issue 4.
- [17] Jehad Al Dallal. 2012. The impact of accounting for special methods in the measurement of object-oriented class cohesion on refactoring and fault prediction activities. *JSS* 85 (2012), 1042–1057.
- [18] M. D’Ambros, M. Lanza, and R. Robbes. 2012. Evaluating defect prediction approaches: A benchmark and an extensive comparison. *ESE* 17, 4-5 (2012), 531–577.
- [19] André B. de Carvalho, Aurora Pozo, and Silvia Regina Vergilio. 2010. A symbolic fault-prediction model based on multiobjective particle swarm optimization. *JSS* 83 (5 2010), 868–882. Issue 5.
- [20] K. Dejaeger, T. Verbraken, and B. Baesens. 2013. Toward comprehensible software fault prediction models using bayesian network classifiers. *IEEE TSE* 39, 2 (2013), 237–257.
- [21] J. Ekanayake, J. Tappolet, H.C. Gall, and A. Bernstein. 2012. Time variance and defect prediction in software projects: Towards an exploitation of periods of stability and change as well as a notion of concept drift in software projects. *ESE* 17, 4-5 (2012), 348–389.
- [22] B. Eken. 2018. Assessing personalized software defect predictors. *Procs. of ICSE* (2018), 488–491.
- [23] Y. Fan, X. Xia, D. Alencar da Costa, D. Lo, A.E. Hassan, and S. Li. 2019. The Impact of Changes Mislabeled by SZZ on Just-in-Time Defect Prediction. *IEEE TSE* (2019).
- [24] Wei Fu, Tim Menzies, and Xipeng Shen. 2016. Tuning for software analytics: Is it really necessary? *IST* 76 (8 2016), 135–146.
- [25] B. Ghotra, S. McIntosh, and A.E. Hassan. 2015. Revisiting the impact of classification techniques on the performance of defect prediction models. *Procs. of ICSE* (2015), 789–800.
- [26] H. Hata, O. Mizuno, and T. Kikuno. 2010. Fault-prone module detection using large-scale text features based on spam filtering. *ESE* 15, 2 (2010), 147–165.

- [27] Peng He, Bing Li, Xiao Liu, Jun Chen, and Yutao Ma. 2015. An empirical study on software defect prediction with a simplified metric set. *IST* 59 (3 2015), 170–190.
- [28] Zhimin He, Fayola Peters, Tim Menzies, and Ye Yang. 2013. Learning from open-source projects: An empirical study on defect prediction. In *Procs. of ESEM*. 45–54.
- [29] S. Herbold, A. Trautsch, and J. Grabowski. 2017. Global vs. local models for cross-project defect prediction: A replication study. *ESE* 22, 4 (2017), 1866–1902.
- [30] S. Herbold, A. Trautsch, and J. Grabowski. 2018. A Comparative Study to Benchmark Cross-Project Defect Prediction Approaches. *IEEE TSE* 44, 9 (2018), 811–833.
- [31] K. Herzig, S. Just, and A. Zeller. 2016. The impact of tangled code changes on defect prediction models. *ESE* 21, 2 (2016), 303–336.
- [32] Seyedrebar Hosseini, Burak Turhan, and Mika Mäntylä. 2018. A benchmark study on the effectiveness of search-based data selection and feature selection for cross project defect prediction. *IST* 95 (3 2018), 296–312.
- [33] Timea Illes-Seifert and Barbara Paech. 2010. Exploring the relationship of a file’s history and its fault-proneness: An empirical method and its application to open source programs. *IST* 52 (5 2010), 539–558. Issue 5.
- [34] Tian Jiang, Lin Tan, and Sunghun Kim. 2013. Personalized defect prediction. In *Procs. of ASE*. 279–289.
- [35] X. Jing, F. Wu, X. Dong, F. Qi, and B. Xu. 2015. Heterogeneous cross-company defect prediction by unified metric representation and CCA-based transfer learning. *Procs. of ESEC/FSE* (2015), 496–507.
- [36] X.-Y. Jing, F. Wu, X. Dong, and B. Xu. 2017. An Improved SDA Based Defect Prediction Framework for Both Within-Project and Cross-Project Class-Imbalance Problems. *IEEE TSE* 43, 4 (2017), 321–339.
- [37] X.-Y. Jing, S. Ying, Z.-W. Zhang, S.-S. Wu, and J. Liu. 2014. Dictionary learning based software defect prediction. *Procs. of ICSE* (2014), 414–423.
- [38] Y. Kamei, T. Fukushima, S. McIntosh, K. Yamashita, N. Ubayashi, and A.E. Hassan. 2016. Studying just-in-time defect prediction using cross-project models. *ESE* 21, 5 (2016), 2072–2106.
- [39] Y. Kamei, E. Shihab, B. Adams, A.E. Hassan, A. Mockus, A. Sinha, and N. Ubayashi. 2013. A large-scale empirical study of just-in-time quality assurance. *IEEE TSE* 39, 6 (2013), 757–773.
- [40] R. Kapur and B. Sodhi. 2020. A Defect Estimator for Source Code. *ACM TOSEM* 29, 2 (2020).

- [41] M. Kim, J. Nam, J. Yeon, S. Choi, and S. Kim. 2015. REMI: Defect prediction for efficient API testing. *Procs. of ESEC/FSE* (2015), 990–993.
- [42] S. Kim, H. Zhang, R. Wu, and L. Gong. 2011. Dealing with noise in defect prediction. *Procs. of ICSE* (2011), 481–490.
- [43] P. Koch, K. Schekotihin, D. Jannach, B. Hofer, F. Wotawa, and T. Schmitz. 2018. Combining spreadsheet smells for improved fault prediction. *Procs. of ICSE* (2018), 25–28.
- [44] M. Kondo, C.-P. Bezemer, Y. Kamei, A.E. Hassan, and O. Mizuno. 2019. The impact of feature reduction techniques on defect prediction models. *ESE* 24, 4 (2019), 1925–1963.
- [45] M. Kondo, D.M. German, O. Mizuno, and E.-H. Choi. 2020. The impact of context metrics on just-in-time defect prediction. *ESE* 25, 1 (2020), 890–939.
- [46] Y. Koroglu, A. Sen, D. Kutluay, A. Bayraktar, Y. Tosun, M. Cinar, and H. Kaya. 2016. Defect prediction on a legacy industrial software: A case study on software with few defects. *Procs. of ICSE* (2016), 14–20.
- [47] Vladimir Kovalenko, Fabio Palomba, and Alberto Bacchelli. [n.d.]. Mining file histories: Should we consider branches?. In *Procs. of ASE, pages=202–213, year=2018*.
- [48] S. Kpodjedo, F. Ricca, P. Galinier, Y.-G. Guéhéneuc, and G. Antoniol. 2011. Design evolution metrics for defect prediction in object oriented systems. *ESE* 16, 1 (2011), 141–175.
- [49] R. Krishna and T. Menzies. 2019. Bellwethers: A Baseline Method for Transfer Learning. *IEEE TSE* 45, 11 (2019), 1081–1105.
- [50] Lov Kumar, Sai Krishna Sripada, Ashish Sureka, and Santanu Ku Rath. 2018. Effective fault prediction model developed using Least Square Support Vector Machine (LSSVM). *JSS* 137 (3 2018), 686–712.
- [51] Issam H Laradji, Mohammad Alshayeb, and Lahouari Ghouti. 2015. Software defect prediction using ensemble learning on selected features. *IST* 58 (2015), 388–402.
- [52] T. Lee, D.G. Han, S. Kim, and H.P. In. 2011. Micro interaction metrics for defect prediction. *Procs. of FSE* (2011), 311–321.
- [53] T. Lee, J. Nam, D. Han, S. Kim, and H. Peter In. 2016. Developer Micro Interaction Metrics for Software Defect Prediction. *IEEE TSE* 42, 11 (2016), 1015–1035.
- [54] Z. Li, X.-Y. Jing, X. Zhu, H. Zhang, B. Xu, and S. Ying. 2019. On the Multiple Sources and Privacy Preservation Issues for Heterogeneous Defect Prediction. *IEEE TSE* 45, 4 (2019), 391–411.

- [55] Nachai Limsettho, Kwabena Ebo Bennin, Jacky W. Keung, Hideaki Hata, and Kenichi Matsumoto. 2018. Cross project defect prediction using class distribution estimation and oversampling. *IST* 100 (8 2018), 87–102.
- [56] Chao Liu, Dan Yang, Xin Xia, Meng Yan, and Xiaohong Zhang. 2019. A two-phase transfer learning model for cross-project defect prediction. *IST* 107 (3 2019), 125–136.
- [57] Jinping Liu, Yuming Zhou, Yibiao Yang, Hongmin Lu, and Baowen Xu. 2017. Code churn: A neglected metric in effort-aware just-in-time defect prediction. In *Procs. of ESEM*. 11–19.
- [58] Huihua Lu, Bojan Cukic, and Mark Culp. 2012. Software defect prediction using semi-supervised learning with dimension reduction. In *Procs. of ASE*. 314–317.
- [59] Ying Ma, Guangchun Luo, Xue Zeng, and Aiguo Chen. 2012. Transfer learning for cross-company software defect prediction. *IST* 54 (3 2012), 248–256. Issue 3.
- [60] S. McIntosh and Y. Kamei. 2018. Are Fix-Inducing Changes a Moving Target? A Longitudinal Case Study of Just-In-Time Defect Prediction. *IEEE TSE* 44, 5 (2018), 412–428.
- [61] T. Mori and N. Uchihira. 2019. Balancing the trade-off between accuracy and interpretability in software defect prediction. *ESE* 24, 2 (2019), 779–825.
- [62] Rebecca Moussa and Danielle Azar. 2017. A PSO-GA approach targeting fault-prone software modules. *JSS* 132 (10 2017), 41–49.
- [63] J. Nam, W. Fu, S. Kim, T. Menzies, and L. Tan. 2018. Heterogeneous Defect Prediction. *IEEE TSE* 44, 9 (2018), 874–896.
- [64] J. Nam, S.J. Pan, and S. Kim. 2013. Transfer defect learning. *Procs. of ICSE* (2013), 382–391.
- [65] M. Nayrolles and A. Hamou-Lhadj. 2018. CLEVER: Combining code metrics with clone detection for just-in-time fault prevention and resolution in large industrial projects. *Procs. of ICSE* (2018), 153–164.
- [66] Chao Ni, Xiang Chen, Fangfang Wu, Yuxiang Shen, and Qing Gu. 2019. An empirical study on pareto based multi-objective feature selection for software defect prediction. *JSS* 152 (6 2019), 215–238.
- [67] A. Nugroho, M.R.V. Chaudron, and E. Arisholm. 2010. Assessing UML design metrics for predicting fault-prone classes in a Java system. *Procs. of ICSE* (2010), 21–30.
- [68] Ahmet Okutan and Olcay Taner Yildiz. 2016. A novel kernel to predict software defectiveness. *JSS* 119 (9 2016), 109–121.

- [69] A. Okutan and O.T. Yıldız. 2014. Software defect prediction using Bayesian networks. *ESE* 19, 1 (2014), 154–181.
- [70] Muhammed Maruf Öztürk. 2017. Which type of metrics are useful to deal with class imbalance in software defect prediction? *IST* 92 (2017), 17–29.
- [71] Luca Pascarella, Fabio Palomba, and Alberto Bacchelli. 2019. Fine-grained just-in-time defect prediction. *JSS* 150 (4 2019), 22–36.
- [72] Luca Pascarella, Fabio Palomba, and Alberto Bacchelli. 2020. On the performance of method-level bug prediction: A negative result. *JSS* 161 (3 2020).
- [73] F. Peters and T. Menzies. 2012. Privacy and utility for defect prediction: Experiments with MORPH. *Procs. of ICSE* (2012), 189–199.
- [74] F. Peters, T. Menzies, L. Gong, and H. Zhang. 2013. Balancing privacy and utility in cross-company defect prediction. *IEEE TSE* 39, 8 (2013), 1054–1068.
- [75] F. Peters, T. Menzies, and L. Layman. 2015. LACE2: Better privacy-preserving data sharing for cross project defect prediction. *Procs. of ICSE* (2015), 801–811.
- [76] Jean Petrić, David Bowes, Tracy Hall, Bruce Christianson, and Nathan Baddoo. 2016. Building an ensemble for software defect prediction based on diversity selection. In *Procs. of ESEM*. 1–10.
- [77] Daryl Posnett, Vladimir Filkov, and Premkumar Devanbu. 2011. Ecological inference in empirical software engineering. In *Procs. of ASE*. 362–371.
- [78] Rahul Premraj and Kim Herzig. 2011. Network versus code metrics to predict defects: A replication study. In *Procs. of ESEM*. 215–224.
- [79] Yu Qu, Ting Liu, Jianlei Chi, Yangxu Jin, Di Cui, Ancheng He, and Qinghua Zheng. 2018. node2defect: using network embedding to improve software defect prediction. In *Procs. of ASE*. 844–849.
- [80] F. Rahman and P. Devanbu. 2013. How, and why, process metrics are better. *Procs. of ICSE* (2013), 432–441.
- [81] D. Ryu, O. Choi, and J. Baik. 2016. Value-cognitive boosting with a support vector machine for cross-project defect prediction. *ESE* 21, 1 (2016), 43–71.
- [82] Lwin Khin Shar and Hee Beng Kuan Tan. 2012. Predicting common web application vulnerabilities from input validation and sanitization code patterns. In *Procs. of ASE*. 310–313.
- [83] Thomas Shippey, David Bowes, and Tracy Hall. 2019. Automatically identifying code features for software defect prediction: Using AST N-grams. *IST* 106 (2 2019), 142–160.

- [84] Behjat Soltanifar, Atakan Erdem, and Ayse Bener. 2016. Predicting defectiveness of software patches. In *Procs. of ESEM*. 1–10.
- [85] Q. Song, Y. Guo, and M. Shepperd. 2019. A Comprehensive Investigation of the Role of Imbalanced Learning for Software Defect Prediction. *IEEE TSE* 45, 12 (2019), 1253–1269.
- [86] Q. Song, Z. Jia, M. Shepperd, S. Ying, and J. Liu. 2011. A general software defect-proneness prediction framework. *IEEE TSE* 37, 3 (2011), 356–370.
- [87] M. Tan, L. Tan, S. Dara, and C. Mayeux. 2015. Online Defect Prediction for Imbalanced Data. *Procs. of ICSE* (2015), 99–108.
- [88] C. Tantithamthavorn, S. McIntosh, A.E. Hassan, A. Ihara, and K. Matsumoto. 2015. The impact of mislabelling on the performance and interpretation of defect prediction models. *Procs. of ICSE* (2015), 812–823.
- [89] C. Tantithamthavorn, S. McIntosh, A.E. Hassan, and K. Matsumoto. 2016. Automated parameter optimization of classification techniques for defect prediction models. *Procs. of ICSE* (2016), 321–332.
- [90] C. Tantithamthavorn, S. McIntosh, A.E. Hassan, and K. Matsumoto. 2017. An Empirical Comparison of Model Validation Techniques for Defect Prediction Models. *IEEE TSE* 43, 1 (2017), 1–18.
- [91] C. Tantithamthavorn, S. McIntosh, A.E. Hassan, and K. Matsumoto. 2019. The Impact of Automated Parameter Optimization on Defect Prediction Models. *IEEE TSE* 45, 7 (2019), 683–711.
- [92] Haonan Tong, Bin Liu, and Shihai Wang. 2018. Software defect prediction using stacked denoising autoencoders and two-stage ensemble learning. *IST* 96 (4 2018), 94–111.
- [93] Aye Tosun, Aye Bener, Burak Turhan, and Tim Menzies. 2010. Practical considerations in deploying statistical methods for defect prediction: A case study within the Turkish telecommunications industry. *IST* 52, 1242–1257. Issue 11.
- [94] Burak Turhan, Ayşe Tosun Misirli, and Ayşe Bener. 2013. Empirical evaluation of the effects of mixed project data on learning defect predictors. *IST* 55, 1101–1118. Issue 6.
- [95] S. Wang, T. Liu, and L. Tan. 2016. Automatically learning semantic features for defect prediction. *Procs. of ICSE* (2016), 297–308.
- [96] X. Xia, D. Lo, S.J. Pan, N. Nagappan, and X. Wang. 2016. HYDRA: Massively compositional model for cross-project defect prediction. *IEEE TSE* 42, 10 (2016), 977–998.

- [97] Zhou Xu, Shuai Li, Xiapu Luo, Jin Liu, Tao Zhang, Yutian Tang, Jun Xu, Peipei Yuan, and Jacky Keung. 2019. TSTSS: A two-stage training subset selection framework for cross version defect prediction. *JSS* 154 (2019), 59–78.
- [98] Z. Xu, S. Li, Y. Tang, X. Luo, T. Zhang, J. Liu, and J. Xu. 2018. Cross version defect prediction with representative data via sparse subset selection. *Procs. of ICSE* (2018), 132–143.
- [99] Zhou Xu, Shuai Li, Jun Xu, Jin Liu, Xiapu Luo, Yifeng Zhang, Tao Zhang, Jacky Keung, and Yutian Tang. 2019. LDFR: Learning deep feature representation for software defect prediction. *JSS* 158 (12 2019).
- [100] Zhou Xu, Jin Liu, Xiapu Luo, Zijiang Yang, Yifeng Zhang, Peipei Yuan, Yutian Tang, and Tao Zhang. 2019. Software defect prediction based on kernel PCA and weighted extreme learning machine. *IST* 106 (2019), 182–200.
- [101] Xinli Yang, David Lo, Xin Xia, and Jianling Sun. 2017. TLEL: A two-layer ensemble learning approach for just-in-time defect prediction. *IST* 87 (7 2017), 206–220.
- [102] S. Yatish, J. Jiarpakdee, P. Thongtanunam, and C. Tantithamthavorn. 2019. Mining Software Defects: Should We Consider Affected Releases? *Procs. of ICSE 2019* (2019), 654–665.
- [103] S. Young, T. Abdou, and A. Bener. 2018. A replication study: Just-in-time defect prediction with ensemble learning. *Procs. of ICSE* (2018), 42–47.
- [104] Qiao Yu, Shujuan Jiang, and Yanmei Zhang. 2017. A feature matching and transfer approach for cross-company defect prediction. *JSS* 132 (10 2017), 366–378.
- [105] T. Yu, W. Wen, X. Han, and J.H. Hayes. 2019. ConPredictor: Concurrency Defect Prediction in Real-World Applications. *IEEE TSE* 45, 6 (2019), 558–575.
- [106] F. Zhang, A.E. Hassan, S. McIntosh, and Y. Zou. 2017. The use of summation to aggregate software metrics hinders the performance of defect prediction models. *IEEE TSE* 43, 5 (2017), 476–491.
- [107] F. Zhang, I. Keivanloo, and Y. Zou. 2017. Data Transformation in Cross-project Defect Prediction. *ESE* 22, 6 (2017), 3186–3218.
- [108] F. Zhang, A. Mockus, I. Keivanloo, and Y. Zou. 2016. Towards building a universal defect prediction model with rank transformed predictors. *ESE* 21, 5 (2016), 2107–2145.
- [109] F. Zhang, Q. Zheng, Y. Zou, and A.E. Hassan. 2016. Cross-project defect prediction using a connectivity-based unsupervised classifier. *Procs. of ICSE* (2016), 309–320.

- [110] Tianchi Zhou, Xiaobing Sun, Xin Xia, Bin Li, and Xiang Chen. 2019. Improving defect prediction with deep forest. *IST* 114 (10 2019), 204–216.
- [111] Yuming Zhou, Baowen Xu, and Hareton Leung. 2010. On the ability of complexity metrics to predict fault-prone classes in object-oriented systems. *JSS* 83 (2010), 660–674.