

# Verification and Validation Techniques for Artificial Intelligence and Cybersecurity An Introduction

Angelo Montanari and Gabriele Puppis

Department of Mathematics, Computer  
Science, and Physics

Università degli Studi di Udine  
Udine, Italy

## Outline of the course

- **Background knowledge** on logic (propositional logic, tableau systems, first-order logic), automata (deterministic and nondeterministic automata, regular expressions, regular languages and their properties), and games (Ehrenfeucht-Fraissé games)
- Fair transition systems, **automata** on infinite objects
- Temporal **logics**
- **Algorithms**: satisfiability checking, model checking, and synthesis
- Verification and Validation techniques for **AI** and **cybersecurity**

## Aim of the course

The course aims at developing

**techniques, methodologies, and tools**

for the

**formal specification and verification/validation**

of

**reactive programs/systems** (and of the **environment** with which they interact / that they control)

by making use of

**temporal logics**

## Key words

- Techniques, methodologies, and tools for **formal specification** and **verification/validation**
  - to verify the consistency of a specification
  - to check the validity of an expected property of a program/system
  - to synthesize a correct-by-construction controller
- **Reactive programs/systems** vs. transformational (input/output) programs
- **Temporal logics** as logical specification formalisms
- **Tools** for satisfiability checking, model checking, synthesis of controllers

## Reactive programs/systems

A **reactive program/system** is a program/system whose goal is to maintain a proper **interaction with the environment** within which it operates over time (that is, indefinitely), instead of terminating its execution returning a suitable final value

### Examples of reactive programs/systems

operating systems, concurrent and real-time programs, programs for process control; embedded programs/systems (programs whose software module is an integrated component of the complete system); reactive control protocols for cyber-physical systems

## Concurrency

**Concurrency** is the distinctive feature of reactive programs/systems

**There two different forms of concurrency** (in fact, they can be reduced to a single one):

- a reactive program/system which operates concurrently with its environment
- a set of processes that are concurrently executed (this is the case with the majority of reactive programs/systems)

We will investigate **formal techniques** for the specification and the analysis of the interactions among the components of programs/systems that operate in a concurrent way

## Process communication - 1

We will analyze the **mechanisms** for the **communication** and the **synchronization** of concurrent processes

There are two fundamental forms of communication:

- via **shared variables**
- via **message passing**

We will present a **uniform approach** to the communication among reactive programs/systems, which does not depend on the specific communication mechanism/modality one adopts

## Process communication - 2

In particular, we will show how some **fundamental paradigms** of concurrent programming, such as

- **mutual exclusion**
- **producer/consumer schema**

can be programmed by means of both communication mechanisms/modalities

We will also investigate the relationships between **true concurrency** and **interleaving**



## True concurrency vs. interleaving

**True concurrency:** **actual** (physical) concurrent execution of processes

**Interleaving:** concurrency modeled as the **alternation** (interleaving) of atomic actions selected once a time from the various concurrent processes (there are some analogies with the management of concurrent transactions in database systems)

We will identify the **syntactic restrictions** on the considered programs and the **fairness requirements** on computations that must be imposed to allow us to assume interleaving as a **faithful model** of true concurrency

## Models and languages

- We will describe a **computational model**
  - first, **fair transition systems** and a simple programming language for reactive programs/systems, and
  - then **automata** on infinite objects (words, trees, graphs)
- We will use **temporal logic** as a formal specification language  
We will analyze the most significant classes of properties of reactive programs/systems: **safety**, **liveness**, and **reactivity**
  - **Safety**: bad situations that must never occur (universal conditions)
  - **Liveness**: good situations that sooner or later must occur (existential conditions)

## Algorithms

- We will describe **algorithms** for satisfiability checking, model checking, and synthesis based on tableau systems, automata, logical games

The case of **model checking**

Model checking for most common temporal logics (*LTL*, *CTL*, *CTL\**,  $\mu$ -calculus)

Computational complexity of model checking: the problem of state explosion

Possible solutions: symbolic model checking, OBDD (Ordered Binary Decision Diagram) and their variants, partial order reduction, automaton-based model checking, advanced model checking techniques

## Tools and applications

- We will present the symbolic model checker **NuSMV**. It exploits a variety of tools and techniques (OBDD, bounded model checking, SAT-based model checking, invariant checking with IC3) and has a number of applications
- **V. &V. techniques for AI**: action-based planning as satisfiability checking / model checking; an automata-theoretic approach to timeline-based planning; pairing monitoring and learning for runtime verification
- **V. &V. techniques for cybersecurity**: symbolic verification of cryptographic protocols (ProVerif, Tamarin)

## Outline of the course

- Background knowledge on logic (propositional logic, tableau systems, first-order logic), automata (deterministic and nondeterministic automata, regular expressions, regular languages and their properties), and games (Ehrenfeucht-Fraissé games)
- Fair transition systems, automata on infinite objects
- Temporal logics
- Satisfiability checking, model checking, and synthesis
- V. & V. techniques for AI and cybersecurity

## Bibliography - 1

Z. Manna, A. Pnueli, The Temporal Logic of Reactive and Concurrent Systems: Specification, Springer, 1992

**Z. Manna, A. Pnueli, Temporal Verification of Reactive Systems: Safety, Springer, 1995**

**W. Thomas, Automata on Infinite Objects, in Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science, Vol B (Capitolo 4), J. van Leeuwen (ed.), Elsevier, 1990**

W. Thomas, Languages, Automata, and Logic, in Handbook of Formal Languages, Vol. III, G. Rozenberg, A. Solomaa (eds.), Springer, 1997, pp. 389-455.

A. Montanari, Sistemi Reattivi: Automi, Logiche e Algoritmi

D. Perrin, J. E. Pin, Infinite Words: Automata, Semigroups, Logic and Games, Pure and Applied Mathematics Series, Elsevier, 2004

## Bibliography - 2

A. Montanari, G. Puppis, Verification of Infinite State Systems, Note al Corso della 18th European Summer School in Logic, Language and Information (ESSLLI), Malaga, Spain, 2006

E. A. Emerson, Temporal and Modal Logic, Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science, Vol B (Capitolo 16), J. van Leeuwen (ed.), Elsevier, 1990, pp. 995-1072

**E.M. Clarke, O. Grumberg, D. Peled, Model Checking, The Mit Press, 2000**

M. Huth, M. Ryan, Logic In Computer Science: Modelling And Reasoning About Systems, Cambridge University Press, 1999

**W. Thomas, Solution of Church's Problem: A Tutorial, RWTH Aachen University Aachen, NRW, Germany, 2008**

**Slides and papers** on selected topics