

## Assessing software protection effectiveness: Experiments with students

Cataldo Basile

< cataldo.basile @ polito.it >

*Politecnico di Torino*  
*Dip. Automatica e Informatica*

## Software needs effective protection

software contains valuable assets

- billions lost every year
- company and user security at stake

software protections mitigate risks

- several techniques proposed in literature
- different level of effectiveness

how to measure actual protections' effectiveness?

- (self claimed) objective methods: software metrics
  - are they good enough?
- empirical analysis: experiments with people
  - currently, the best approximation of the human brain is...
  - ...the human brain

```
int E,L,O,R,G[42][m],h[2][42][m],g[3][8],c
[42][42][2],f[42]; char d[42]; void v( int
b,int a,int j){ printf("\33[4d;\33[4d"
"m ",a,b,j); } void u(){ int T,e; n(42)o(
e,m)if(h[0][T][e]-h[1][T][e]){ v(e+4+e,T+2
,h[0][T][e]+1?h[0][T][e]:0); h[1][T][e]=h[
0][T][e]; } fflush(stdout); } void q(int l
,int k,int p){
int T,e,a; L=0
; O=1; while(O
){ n(4&L)( e=
k+c[1] [T][0];
h[0][L-1+c[1][
T][1]][p?20-e:
```



## Empirical analysis: experiments involving people

- **subjects:** experienced people that “approximate” software crackers / ethical hackers / penetration testers
- **target:** compromise assets in sample C applications / understand what functions written in C do
- **objective:** estimate the effectiveness of protection techniques with statistical methods
- **this experiment?**
  - pre-hacking questionnaire
  - perform your hacking task
  - post-hacking questionnaire

## What to do?

- **register**, you will receive by email a link to a google form
  - <https://bit.ly/2Q2urax>
- **answer** a C programming questionnaire and **send** back answers (about 30 minutes)
  - take your time but be fair
  - configure your programming environment
    - at least compile and debug
- **experiment**
  - pre-questionnaire (about 10 minutes)
  - hacking experiment (about 3h)
    - try to crack programs/explain what you did in a post-questionnaire
- **get**
  - up to 2/30 additional score (Sicurezza dei sistemi informatici)

## When I get the 2 points?

- **receive the reward only for your availability and diligence**
  - honestly answer the C programming questionnaire
  - precisely answer the pre-hacking questionnaire
  - seriously try to crack the application
  - precisely and carefully answer the post questionnaire
    - where we ask you to (meticulously) report the activities you made when you tried to crack the application
      - by selecting a list of keywords from an ontology
    - ...regardless of the fact that you succeeded or not
- **no dependence on successful cracking the app**
  - don't succeed but answer/report carefully → 2 points
  - succeed but too lazy to report very carefully → 0 (maybe 1)
  - just show up, minimum effort, and hope → 0 points

## Bring your own PC

we cannot book labs big enough during the semester

- **1-2 rooms with electric sockets**

use your programming and hacking environment

- **any OS, will be standard C code**

- **software**

- editor, compiler, IDE
- debugger
- software analysis tools
  - IDA pro (demo), radare2 (free), Ghidra (free)
    - decompilers / disassemblers not needed
    - but their plug-ins may
  - static code analysis, dynamic code analysis

## Tentative dates and deadlines

- register by November 18<sup>th</sup>
- send back the answers to the pre-experiment questionnaire by December 6<sup>th</sup>
- experiment
  - December 14<sup>th</sup>
  - rooms to be communicated later

## Some references and interesting readings

### protections

- <https://www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/~cthombor/Pubs/01027797a.pdf>
- <https://www.iacr.org/archive/crypto2001/21390001.pdf>
- <https://eprint.iacr.org/2013/083.pdf>
- <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4362895/>
- [https://www.cerias.purdue.edu/assets/pdf/bibtex\\_archive/2001-49.pdf](https://www.cerias.purdue.edu/assets/pdf/bibtex_archive/2001-49.pdf)

### objective metrics

- [http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F3-540-44456-4\\_7](http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F3-540-44456-4_7)

### experiments

- <http://selab.fbk.eu/ceccato/papers/2016/scam2016.pdf>
- <http://selab.fbk.eu/ceccato/papers/2014/emse2014a.html>
- <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs10664-019-09738-1>

### models

- <https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.02774>
- <https://users.elis.ugent.be/~brdsutte/research/publications/2018EMSEceccato.pdf>

### the ASPIRE project

- <https://aspire-fp7.eu/>