

# Winning Space Race with Data Science

<Name> <Date>



### Outline

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

### **Executive Summary**

- Brief overview of project goals: Predict Falcon 9 first stage landing success.
- Summary of methodologies: Data collection, wrangling, EDA, visualization, predictive modeling.
- Summary of results: Key insights from EDA, geospatial analysis, interactive dashboards, model performance.

### Introduction

- SpaceX reduces launch costs by reusing Falcon 9 first stage.
- Launch cost comparison: \$62M vs.
  \$165M+ for competitors.
- Importance of predicting landing success for cost and competitive bidding.
- Problem: Can we predict if Falcon 9 first stage will land successfully?
- Objectives: Analyze launch data, identify key factors, build classification models.
- Questions: Impact of payload, launch site, orbit, flight number on landing success?



### Methodology

#### **Executive Summary**

- Data collection methodology:
  - Data Collection
  - Data Wrangling
  - Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)
  - Interactive Visual Analytics
  - Predictive Analysis (Classification)

### **Data Collection**

- Data sources: SpaceX REST API and Wikipedia web scraping.
- Tools: Python requests, BeautifulSoup, Pandas.
- Flowchart illustrating data retrieval and dataset creation.
- GitHub URL for API calls notebook.

### Data Collection – SpaceX API

- Steps: GET requests, JSON to DataFrame conversion, filtering Falcon 9 launches, handling missing values.
- Key variables collected: flight number, payload mass, launch site, orbit, landing outcome.
- Flowchart of API data extraction process



### Data Collection - Scraping

- Scraped Falcon 9 launch records from Wikipedia.
- Steps: Request HTML, parse tables with BeautifulSoup, convert to DataFrame.
- Flowchart of scraping and parsing process.
- GitHub URL for scraping notebook.

Place your flowchart of web scraping here

### **Data Wrangling**

- Cleaning: Handling missing values with fillna(), removing duplicates.
- Feature engineering: Creating binary landing outcome label (0 = fail, 1 = success).
- Encoding categorical variables for modeling.

### **EDA** with Data Visualization

- Tools: Pandas, Matplotlib, Seaborn, SQL queries.
- Goals: Understand variable distributions, relationships, and patterns.
- Scatter plot shows launch frequency across sites over time.
- Insight: Some sites have more launches, indicating operational preference.
- Scatter plot of payload mass by orbit type.
- Insight: Payload mass varies significantly with orbit destination.
- Line chart showing average landing success rate per year.
- Insight: Success rate improves over time, indicating technological progress.

### **EDA** with SQL

- Query: List all unique launch sites.
- Result: Names of sites (e.g., CCAFS SLC-40, VAFB SLC-4E).
- Query: Find launch sites starting with 'CCA'.
- Result: Five records matching criteria.
- Query: Sum of payload mass for NASA missions.
- Result: Total payload mass value.
- Query: Average payload for booster version F9 v1.1.
- Result: Average value.
- Query: Date of first successful ground pad landing.
- Result: Specific date.
- Query: Boosters with successful drone ship landings and payload between 4000-6000 kg.
- Result: List of booster names.
- Query: Count of successful vs. failed missions.
- Result: Numbers for each category.
- Query: Boosters that carried maximum payload mass.
- Result: Booster names and payload values.
- Query: Failed drone ship landings in 2015 with booster and site info.
- Result: List of records.
- Query: Count and rank of landing outcomes in date range.
- Result: Ranked list of outcomes.

### Build an Interactive Map with Folium

- Map showing all launch site locations globally.
- Map with color-coded markers for successful and failed landings.
- Map showing distances from launch site to nearby infrastructure: railway, highway, coastline.

### Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

- Pie chart showing success counts for all launch sites.
- Pie chart focusing on site with highest success ratio.
- Scatter plot with interactive payload range slider.

# Predictive Analysis (Classification)

- Models used: Logistic Regression, Decision Trees, SVM, KNN.
- Data preprocessing: Standardization, train-test split.
- Hyperparameter tuning with GridSearchCV.
- Bar chart comparing accuracy of all models.
- Highlight best performing model.
- Confusion matrix visualization.
- Explanation of true positives, false positives, etc.
- Interpretation of model performance.
- Key features influencing landing success (e.g., payload, orbit).
- Model strengths and limitations.
- Potential for improvement with more data.
- Recap: Data collection and wrangling enabled robust analysis.
- EDA revealed important patterns influencing landing success.
- Interactive maps and dashboards enhanced data exploration.
- Predictive models achieved good accuracy for classification.

### Results

- Predicting landing success aids cost estimation and competitive bidding.
- Insights can guide launch planning and risk management.



# Flight Number vs. Launch Site

 Show a scatter plot of Flight Number vs. Launch Site

# Payload vs. Launch Site

 Show a scatter plot of Payload vs. Launch Site

### Success Rate vs. Orbit Type

 Show a bar chart for the success rate of each orbit type

### Flight Number vs. Orbit Type

 Show a scatter point of Flight number vs. Orbit type

# Payload vs. Orbit Type

 Show a scatter point of payload vs. orbit type

### Launch Success Yearly Trend

 Show a line chart of yearly average success rate

### All Launch Site Names

# Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

# **Total Payload Mass**

# Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

# First Successful Ground Landing Date

#### Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

#### Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

# **Boosters Carried Maximum Payload**

### 2015 Launch Records

### Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20



# <Folium Map Screenshot 1>

Replace <Folium map screenshot 1> title with an appropriate title

 Explore the generated folium map and make a proper screenshot to include all launch sites' location markers on a global map

# <Folium Map Screenshot 2>

Replace <Folium map screenshot 2> title with an appropriate title

 Explore the folium map and make a proper screenshot to show the color-labeled launch outcomes on the map

# <Folium Map Screenshot 3>

Replace <Folium map screenshot 3> title with an appropriate title

 Explore the generated folium map and show the screenshot of a selected launch site to its proximities such as railway, highway, coastline, with distance calculated and displayed



### < Dashboard Screenshot 1>

Replace < Dashboard screenshot 1> title with an appropriate title

• Show the screenshot of launch success count for all sites, in a piechart

### < Dashboard Screenshot 2>

Replace < Dashboard screenshot 2> title with an appropriate title

 Show the screenshot of the piechart for the launch site with highest launch success ratio

### <Dashboard Screenshot 3>

Replace < Dashboard screenshot 3> title with an appropriate title

 Show screenshots of Payload vs. Launch Outcome scatter plot for all sites, with different payload selected in the range slider

• Explain the important elements and findings on the screenshot, such as which payload range or booster version have the largest success rate, etc.



### **Classification Accuracy**

 Visualize the built model accuracy for all built classification models, in a bar chart

 Find which model has the highest classification accuracy

### **Confusion Matrix**

Show the confusion matrix of the best performing model with an explanation

# Conclusions

- Point 1
- Point 2
- Point 3
- Point 4

• ...

# Appendix

• Include any relevant assets like Python code snippets, SQL queries, charts, Notebook outputs, or data sets that you may have created during this project

