



SAFE ROADS TO PROSPERITY

ROADS AUTHORITY
Private Bag 12030
Ausspannplatz
Windhoek
NAMIBIA

NOTICE OF AWARD

BID NO: W/ONB/RA-15/2023: CONSTRUCTION FOR THE UPCOMING TO LOW VOLUME SEAL STANDARDS OF DR3605 FROM ONDANGWA UUKWIYUWUUSHONA IN THE OSHANA REGION (15KM)

Award Date	Company/Entity Awarded	Awarded Amount
18 October 2023	Brumar Construction cc	N\$ 26 159 794.82 (inclusive of VAT).



Established in terms of the Roads Authority Act, 1999 (Act 17 of 1999)
Board of Directors: Ms L. Brandt (Chairperson), Mr P. Muluti (Deputy Chairperson), Ms H. Amupolo, Mr T. Hamata,
Mr G. Kadikwa, Mr P. Greeff, Dr. G. Tshopara



ROADS AUTHORITY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

**CONSTRUCTION FOR THE UPGRADING TO LOW VOLUME SEAL STANDARDS
OF DR3605 FROM ONDANGWA – UUKWIYUWUUSHONA IN THE OSHANA
REGION (15 KM)**

Procurement Reference No: **W/ONB/RA - 15/2023**

Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report

Project Title

Reference number of procurement

1. Scope of Contract:

2. Procurement method used: Open National Bidding

3. Date of Invitation of Bids: 15 June 2023

4. Closing date for submission of bids: 20 July 2023

5. Date and place of opening of bids:

20 July 2023 at 10:00

Bids were submitted at the Roads Authority: Head Office, Corner of Mandume Ndemufayo Avenue & David Hosea Meroro Road, Southern Industrial Area, Windhoek

6. Number of bids received by closing date:

A total number of 21 bids were received.

7. Responsiveness of bids:

4.8% bid responsiveness rate as 1 out of 21 received bids were responsive

Table 1: SUMMARY OF RESPONSIVENESS AND REASON'S FOR DISQUALIFICATIONS

Bidder Number	Bidder' s Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
1	Brumar Construction Cc	26,063,194.82	Yes	N/A
2	Nexus Civils Pty Ltd	34,079,958.98	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Excavator: Only one has been listed. The other listed and submitted is a vibrator/compactor. 2. Surveyor qualifications not attached, CV not signed. 3. Contracts Manager has a National Diploma NQF level 6, however the requirement is a NQF level.
3	Alugodhi Engineering & Construction Cc (JV) ENH Civils Cc	25,464,688.80	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Surveyor Has a Diploma in Geomatics NQF Level 6 instead of the required NQF Level 7. 2. Combined Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years. 3. Bidder does not have N\$ 10-Million worth of value of works.
4	Otesa Civil Engineering Pty Ltd	34,739,186.02	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ID for one of the Directors (in Otesa Civils) not provided.
5	Brandberg Construction Cc	62,073,757.59	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bid Submission form not duly reproduced on the Company Stationery letterhead as per the instruction on the provided forms. Clauses of the provided forms were omitted in the Bid Submission form

Bidder Number	Bidder' s Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
				reproduced on the letterhead.
6	Kunene River Construction and Electrical Cc	113,130,250.93	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contracts Manager, has a National Diploma in Civil Engineering & Project Management NQF level 6 instead of NQF Level 8, 2. Site Agent, Has a National Diploma in Civil & Project Management NQF Level 6 instead the required NQF level 7. 3. Surveyor has a National Diploma in Land Surveying NQF 6 instead of the required NQF level 7. 4. Only 3-years Financial Statement submitted. 5. Combined Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years.
7	LuKas Gravestone Construction Cc	38,628,457.00	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bid Submission Form not reproduced on Company Letterhead or stationery as per the instructions on the provided forms.
8	Namibia Welding, Building & Civil Works Cc (NWBC)	28,590,553.85	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contracts Manager Has a National Diploma in Civil Engineering NQF level 6 instead of the required NQF level 8. 2. Combined Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years.

Bidder Number	Bidder' s Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
9	Eponga Trading Cc	27,832,844.53	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contracts Manager has a National Diploma in Civil Engineering & Project Managing NQF level 6 instead of the required NQF level 8. 2. Site Agent has a National Diploma in Civil Engineering & Project Managing NQF level 6 instead of the required NQF level 7. 3. Surveyor has a Diploma in Geomatics NQF level 6 instead of the required NQF level 7 4. Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years.
10	Ndakalimwe JV Etemo Maintenance works CC	26,795,580.90	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bid Submission Form Not Dully filled in; Bid Amount omitted.
11	Odjove Tradding CC JV Royal Contractors	32,343,849.94	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contracts Manager, has a National Diploma in Civil Engineering & Project Management NQF level 6 instead of NQF Level 8, 2. Site Agent, Has a National Diploma in Civil & Project Management NQF Level 6 instead the required NQF level 7. 3. Combined Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years.
12	Divers Investment Cc	29,946,925.98	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Plant & Equipment listed as per BDS 6.3e, via a

Bidder Number	Bidder' s Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
				<p>Lease or Hire letter of intent -as form of acquisition. However, the capacities of these plant and equipment is not explicitly expressed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Site Agent: Requires NQA Level 7, Bidder submitted one with NQA Level 6; 3. Surveyor: Requires NQA Level 7, Bidder submitted one with NQA Level 6; 4. Only 3-years Financial Statement submitted. 5. Combined Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years. 6. One gravel road project worth N\$ 1.8-Million instead of N\$ 10-Million.
13	Shange Civils Cc JV Indigenous Construction Cc	29,728,136.66	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Site Agent: Requires NQA Level 7, Bidder submitted one with NQA Level 6. 2. Surveyor: Requires NQA Level 7, Bidder submitted one with NQA Level 6. 3. Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years.
14	LP Investment Cc	27,901,770.54	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Only 4-years Financial Statement submitted. 2. Combined Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years. 3. No proof of working attached. Only a conditional letter of intent

Bidder Number	Bidder' s Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
				for provision of Bank Guarantee.
15	Vero Group	25,933,732.10	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Indicated intent to purchase one (1) Tipper instead of five (5). In addition, there is no letter from the bank intending to extent credit for the said quotation. 2. Surveyor did not attach NQF Level 7 attached. 3. Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years.
16	Emiraets Trading Cc	25,464,683.74	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Bid Submission Form is not duly filled in. The provisions to whom the Bid Submission Form is Addressed to, has not been filled in.
17.	Urban Engineering Cc	29,830,062.49	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years. 2. Only one related and relevant project with N\$ 6.6-Million in Tamariska. The requirement was N\$ 10-Million worth of a single project in the last 10-years.
18.	Zero Six Five	31,702,283.16	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Surveyor: Requires NQA Level 7, Bidder submitted one with NQA Level 6. 2. Annual Average Turnover of construction less than

Bidder Number	Bidder' s Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
				the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years.
19.	Harobby Investment Cc	28,408,309.55	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Surveyor: Requires NQA Level 7, Bidder submitted one with NQA Level 6. 2. Only 3-years Financial Statement submitted. 3. Combined Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years.
20.	Maperes Investments Cc	31,328,226.42	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contracts Manager has a BTech NQF level 7, however the requirement is a NQF level 8 2. Only 3-years Financial Statement submitted. 3. Combined Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years.
21.	Pisces Investment Holding No. 8 Cc	28,998,719.26	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Annual Average Turnover of construction less than the required N\$ 30-million over 5-years.

8. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive:

After verifying submitted documentary evidence establishing the Bidder's technical qualification it was concluded that except for Bidder 1 the remaining Bidders have not complied with the necessary admin, legal and technical requirement(s).

In respect of the compliance evaluation outcome(s) only one Bidder was found to be responsive for this Bid. As such the Standard Benchmark Price is thus determined by taking the average of the Budget Amount and the arithmetically corrected Bid Price of the Bidder.

Table 2: Standard Benchmark Price, Upper Bid Price Limit and Lower Bid Price Limits.

Standard Benchmark Price (SBP) (N\$)	28,768,853.52
Lower Limit (SBP - 15%)	25,891,968.17
Upper Limit (SBP + 10%)	33,084,181.55

The BEC observed that only one Bidder (Bidder No. 01) was compliant in terms of the Bid Price, as shown in Table 11.

Table 11: Bid Price Range Check

Bidder No	Bidder Name	Bid Price N\$	Within 15% below and 10% above Budget estimate	Overall Evaluation
1	Brumar Construction Cc	26,159,794.82	Yes	Responsive

Bidder No. 01, Brumar Construction Cc is the only responsive bidder for this bid.

9. Best Evaluated Bid per area:

Bidder #	Bidder Name	Bid Price (VAT Incl.) N\$	Comments
1	Brumar Construction Cc	26,159,794.82	Ranked 1 st and thus recommended for Award.