# **Machine Unlearning Summary**

November 15, 2023

Summarizer: Xingjian Zhang

## Contribution | Takeaway

All of the following arguments are based on linear model and convex optimization assumptions.

#### **Certified Removal**

- The author gives the definition of  $\varepsilon$ -certified removal and  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -certified removal, which are (the first to be) inspired by differential privacy.
- The intuition of certified removal is to measure how hard a model unlearned by some algorithm can be distinguished from a model retrained by a dataset removing some data point (gold standard reference).

#### **Newton Updated Removal**

- The author prove how well is the **newton updated removal method** for single data point, batch removal (multiple data points removal), and multiple removal (multiple iterations removal) by **evaluating the gradient residual**. The gradient residual should be close 0.
- The author provides data independent (loose and cheap) and data dependent bound (tight and expensive) for them.

#### **Algorithm: Loss perturbation**

• The author proposes an empirical method to test whether the certified removal can be established by carefully introducing noise into the loss function.

# Strength

- The definition of certified removal is well-motivated under a stochastic learning framework.
- The author provides **strong theoretical supports** for most arguments.
- It is the first paper that extend differential privacy to machine unlearning.

## Weakness

The weakness are provided by Weijie and Pingbang.

- The paper is based on the strong assumption of linear model and convex optimization.
  - Unique global optimal may be the key for such first-order method to work.
- There is **no demo on how the model change after the removal**. Potential ways may include:
  - Inspecting one specific data point to see prediction changes.
  - Testing the uncertainty of data points that are removed.
  - Testing resilience against a membership inference attack.
- There is no demo on the effect of loss perturbation.
- There is a potential risk of data leakage in the upstream (non-linear) encoder in a non-pretrained scenario.
- The proposed method has high compute complexity due to inverse Hessian matrix.

### **Future directions**

- Non linear models and non convex optimization.
- Dynamic system where data are constantly evolving.