Assignment 3

Introduction:

The goal of our assignment was to improve the mutation testing of 4 of our classes by at least 20%. We started by analyzing the classes in our code using pitest, in order to find out which classes perform the worst when it comes to mutation testing.

After performing the tests, we chose the classes with the lowest mutation score, also taking into consideration how useful the improvement of mutation score on that class would be for our codebase. Of course, choosing the classes only by mutation code would not be the smartest choice since some classes (like the once in the config file or some jwt classes from authentication) include standard code and so it has a lower chance of containing bugs. The final classes we chose to improve on after taking all of this into consideration were: the **EquipmentService** class in the Equipment microservice, the **UserController** and **UserService** classes in the User microservice and the **FieldService** class in the Field microservice.

Class mutation score before and after:

EquipmentService

Commit <u>37b902a3</u>



UserController Commit 29b37d57 Before: nl.tue

${\bf nl.tudelft.sem.template.user.controllers}$

| Number of Classes | I | Line Coverage | | Mutation Coverage | | |
|--------------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | 100% | 15/15 | 13% | 1/8 | | |

Breakdown by Class

| Name | Line Coverage | | Mutation Coverage | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------|--------------------------|-----|--|
| <u>UserController.java</u> | 100% | 15/15 | 13% | 1/8 | |

nl.tudelft.sem.template.user.controllers

After:

| Number of Classes | 1 | Line Coverage | | Mutation Coverage | | |
|--------------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | 100% | 15/15 | 50% | 4/8 | | |

Breakdown by Class

| Name | Line Coverage | | Mutation Coverage | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------------|-----|--|
| <u>UserController.java</u> | 100% | 15/15 | 50% | 4/8 | |

FieldService

Commit <u>827a98b7</u>

Before:

${\bf nl.tudel ft.sem.template.field.services}$

| Number of Classes 1 | | Line Coverage | Mutation Coverage | | |
|---------------------|------|---------------|-------------------|-----|--|
| 1 | 100% | 7/7 | 0% | 0/4 | |

Breakdown by Class

| Name | Line Coverage | | Mutation Coverage | |
|-------------------|---------------|-----|-------------------|-----|
| FieldService.java | 100% | 7/7 | 0% | 0/4 |

After: nl.tudelft.sem.template.field.services

| Number of Classes | Line Coverage | | Mutation Coverage | | |
|-------------------|---------------|-----|-------------------|-----|--|
| 1 | 100% | 7/7 | 100% | 4/4 | |

Breakdown by Class

| Name | Line Coverage | | Mutation Coverage | |
|-------------------|---------------|-----|-------------------|-----|
| FieldService.java | 100% | 7/7 | 100% | 4/4 |

UserService

Commit dc0de67d

Before: nl.tudelft.sem.template.user.services

Number of Classes Line Coverage Mutation Coverage
1 100% 22/22 64% 9/14

Breakdown by Class

Name Line Coverage Mutation Coverage
UserService.java 100% 22/22 64% 9/14

After:

nl.tudelft.sem.template.user.services

Number of Classes Line Coverage Mutation Coverage
1 100% 22/22 100% 14/14

Breakdown by Class

 Name
 Line Coverage
 Mutation Coverage

 UserService.java
 100%
 22/22
 100%
 14/14

The images above document the exact increase of mutation coverage in **EquipmentService**, **UserController**, **FieldService** and **UserService** respectively. The changes are made in the commit links underneath the heading with the classes. Through the additional testing applications of mutation testing, all the selected classes have their coverage improved by at least 20%.