

STUDENT NAME: ISHVEER MUDHAI

STUDENT NUMBER: ST10263399

MODULE CODE: XHAW5112

ANNEXURE B – Peer Evaluation



This peer evaluation form must be completed for each member of the group and returned to the lecturer before the final Summative Project submission.

PEER EVALUATION (NOT TO BE SHARED WITH TEAM MEMBERS)

GROUP NAME/NUMBER: F.R.I.E.N.D.S DATE: 16/11/16

Please rate each of your project team members using the following scale detailed in the assessment criteria descriptions provided. Marks received from each member of the team will be added up and then averaged. Each team member must have a separate evaluation form completed.

Name of student being evaluated:		Never	Seldom	Frequently	Always
HIRANYA R		0	1	2	3
The student's personal work					
1.	The student contributed good ideas that added value to the project.				✓
2.	The student performed their tasks in line with what was expected of them.				✓✓
3.	The student produced high quality work.				✓✓✓
4.	The student managed their own time well and met deadlines.				✓✓✓
5.	The student connected their own learning and skills to a real-world problem.				✓
The student's work as part of a team (when relevant)					
6.	The student accepted responsibility for a fair portion of the tasks.				✓
7.	The student was an enthusiastic member of my team.				✓✓
8.	The student helped others to be successful.				✓✓✓
9.	The student worked well with other members of the team.				✓✓✓
10.	The student showcased respect and dignity towards all members of the team.				✓
TOTAL:					/30

Comments:

HIRANYA R has been a good team leader and works well with others.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

NAME: ISHVEER MUDHAI

SIGNATURE: 

This peer evaluation form must be completed for each member of the group and returned to the lecturer before the final Summative Project submission.

PEER EVALUATION
(NOT TO BE SHARED WITH TEAM MEMBERS)

GROUP NAME/NUMBER: F.R.I.E.N.D.S **DATE:** 16/11/16

Please rate each of your project team members using the following scale detailed in the assessment criteria descriptions provided. Marks received from each member of the team will be added up and then averaged. Each team member must have a separate evaluation form completed.

Name of student being evaluated:		Never	Seldom	Frequently	Always
NEHAAR G					
		0	1	2	3
The student's personal work					
1.	The student contributed good ideas that added value to the project.				✓
2.	The student performed their tasks in line with what was expected of them.				✓
3.	The student produced high quality work.				✓
4.	The student managed their own time well and met deadlines.				✓
5.	The student connected their own learning and skills to a real-world problem.				✓
The student's work as part of a team (when relevant)					
6.	The student accepted responsibility for a fair portion of the tasks.				✓
7.	The student was an enthusiastic member of my team.				✓
8.	The student helped others to be successful.				✓
9.	The student worked well with other members of the team.				✓
10.	The student showcased respect and dignity towards all members of the team.				✓
TOTAL:					/30

Comments:

NEHAAR G always contributed to his parts of the tasks allocated to him

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

.....

ISHVEER MUDHAI

NAME:

SIGNATURE: 

ANNEXURE B – Peer Evaluation



This peer evaluation form must be completed for each member of the group and returned to the lecturer before the final Summative Project submission.

PEER EVALUATION (NOT TO BE SHARED WITH TEAM MEMBERS)

GROUP NAME/NUMBER: F.R.I.E.N.D.S **DATE:** 16/11/16

Please rate each of your project team members using the following scale detailed in the assessment criteria descriptions provided. Marks received from each member of the team will be added up and then averaged. Each team member must have a separate evaluation form completed.

Name of student being evaluated:		Never	Seldom	Frequently	Always
		0	1	2	3
SIMONE					
The student's personal work					
1.	The student contributed good ideas that added value to the project.				✓
2.	The student performed their tasks in line with what was expected of them.				✓✓
3.	The student produced high quality work.				✓✓✓
4.	The student managed their own time well and met deadlines.				✓✓✓
5.	The student connected their own learning and skills to a real-world problem.				✓✓
The student's work as part of a team (when relevant)					
6.	The student accepted responsibility for a fair portion of the tasks.				✓✓
7.	The student was an enthusiastic member of my team.				✓✓✓
8.	The student helped others to be successful.				✓✓✓
9.	The student worked well with other members of the team.				✓✓✓
10.	The student showcased respect and dignity towards all members of the team.				✓✓
TOTAL:					/30

Comments:

SIMONE always done the work allocated to her

.....

.....


.....

.....

.....

.....

NAME: ISHVEER MUDHAI

SIGNATURE: 

ANNEXURE B – Peer Evaluation



This peer evaluation form must be completed for each member of the group and returned to the lecturer before the final Summative Project submission.

PEER EVALUATION (NOT TO BE SHARED WITH TEAM MEMBERS)

GROUP NAME/NUMBER: F.R.I.E.N.D.S **DATE:** 16/11/16

Please rate each of your project team members using the following scale detailed in the assessment criteria descriptions provided. Marks received from each member of the team will be added up and then averaged. Each team member must have a separate evaluation form completed.

Name of student being evaluated:		Never	Seldom	Frequently	Always
YASHODHA G					
		0	1	2	3
The student's personal work					
1.	The student contributed good ideas that added value to the project.				✓
2.	The student performed their tasks in line with what was expected of them.				✓✓
3.	The student produced high quality work.				✓✓✓
4.	The student managed their own time well and met deadlines.				✓✓✓
5.	The student connected their own learning and skills to a real-world problem.				✓✓
The student's work as part of a team (when relevant)					
6.	The student accepted responsibility for a fair portion of the tasks.				✓✓
7.	The student was an enthusiastic member of my team.				✓✓✓
8.	The student helped others to be successful.				✓✓✓
9.	The student worked well with other members of the team.				✓✓✓
10.	The student showcased respect and dignity towards all members of the team.				✓✓
TOTAL:					/30

Comments:

YASHODHA G has always done her work on time which was allocated to her

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

.....

.....

NAME: ISHVEER MUDHAI

SIGNATURE: 

ANNEXURE B – Peer Evaluation



This peer evaluation form must be completed for each member of the group and returned to the lecturer before the final Summative Project submission.

PEER EVALUATION (NOT TO BE SHARED WITH TEAM MEMBERS)

GROUP NAME/NUMBER: F.R.I.E.N.D.S **DATE:** 16/11/16

Please rate each of your project team members using the following scale detailed in the assessment criteria descriptions provided. Marks received from each member of the team will be added up and then averaged. Each team member must have a separate evaluation form completed.

Name of student being evaluated:		Never	Seldom	Frequently	Always
		0	1	2	3
LOKESAN M					
The student's personal work					
1.	The student contributed good ideas that added value to the project.				✓
2.	The student performed their tasks in line with what was expected of them.				✓
3.	The student produced high quality work.				✓
4.	The student managed their own time well and met deadlines.				✓
5.	The student connected their own learning and skills to a real-world problem.				✓
The student's work as part of a team (when relevant)					
6.	The student accepted responsibility for a fair portion of the tasks.				✓
7.	The student was an enthusiastic member of my team.				✓
8.	The student helped others to be successful.				✓
9.	The student worked well with other members of the team.				✓
10.	The student showcased respect and dignity towards all members of the team.				✓
TOTAL:					/30

Comments:

LOKESAN M has done a great job with the parts allocated to him

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

.....

.....

NAME: ISHVEER MUDHAI

SIGNATURE: 

SELF EVALUATION

My understanding of WIL is about team building and to see how in the real world how it feels like. Its to teach us how to work as a group in the professional world and to give us a true experience of a group project.

The skills that I have learnt was some coding, graphics and designing and better my communication skills.

I was on the application development side I helped out with the design of the wireframes and with the design of the app.

I have looked at other course websites for inspiration and it has helped a lot with the structure of the designing aspects of the project. I have used a website called Canva to create the logo for our app and website. I have taken ideas from the website and have made it simpler for it to be user friendly. I felt that the designing part have done really well in. Strengths are communication skills, designing, coding, working well with others and solving faults in code. The actual coding structure I was too good in doing it by myself, but we worked in a group and have done it. I need to code more often.

We consulted with our mentor on a weekly basis and that have worked out well.

I conclude by saying that WIL has been a good experience to work in a group and how to communicate to get the best outcome.