## Week Ten Tasks

Peter Boyd 11/25/2018

Need to compare timing of a few aspects within my function. The apply method below is much faster. I had hoped to use the map function, but I don't think that the purr package can do what I would need it to do in this situation (a by column operation for matricies). I found this discussion board that points to this conclusion: https://github.com/tidyverse/purrr/issues/341

As a result I implemented apply in my code.

```
library(tidyverse)
library(here)
library(MASS)
library(broom)
library(ggbeeswarm)
whale <- read_csv(here("data", "sperm_whale_pop.csv"))</pre>
## Parsed with column specification:
## cols(
##
     Year = col_integer(),
##
     Population = col_integer()
## )
ntimes <- 100
# setup needed
  df <- whale
  fit.poi <- glm(df$Population ~ df$Year, data = df, family = "poisson")
  best model <- fit.poi
  n \leftarrow max(df\$Year) - min(df\$Year) +1
  y.hat <- best_model$fitted.values</pre>
  y.rep <- matrix(ncol = ntimes, data = c(rpois(n*ntimes, y.hat)))</pre>
  years <- c(min(df$Year):max(df$Year))</pre>
# using apply
  apply_method <- function(df, best_model, n, y.hat, y.rep){
  y.rep.sort <- -apply(-y.rep, 2, sort)</pre>
  y.coefs <- t(apply(y.rep.sort, 2, function(y.col) lm(y.col~years)$coef))
  end.dates <- -1* y.coefs[,1] / y.coefs[,2]
  return(end.dates)
  }
  apply_method(df, best_model, n, y.hat, y.rep)
##
     [1] 2558.934 2577.318 2569.007 2551.198 2556.999 2577.303 2540.984
     [8] 2562.693 2584.994 2589.143 2576.830 2590.650 2579.324 2574.598
##
    [15] 2556.175 2570.868 2566.836 2577.997 2570.868 2548.303 2575.871
##
   [22] 2567.968 2580.917 2565.659 2582.251 2559.069 2586.048 2562.023
##
   [29] 2571.221 2567.119 2581.335 2575.847 2548.440 2588.201 2557.332
    [36] 2557.611 2570.344 2554.550 2572.000 2589.329 2565.989 2581.891
  [43] 2589.150 2566.711 2606.226 2584.425 2578.575 2565.689 2552.717
```

```
[50] 2583.258 2570.582 2571.976 2573.497 2576.274 2567.430 2592.127
##
   [57] 2560.634 2556.609 2559.147 2572.326 2571.744 2577.679 2581.375
## [64] 2585.476 2581.966 2596.716 2577.086 2579.970 2574.247 2552.709
## [71] 2564.523 2547.613 2556.384 2575.814 2563.710 2562.716 2592.009
   [78] 2576.618 2559.140 2560.477 2563.022 2571.770 2560.645 2566.718
## [85] 2579.679 2558.901 2559.191 2591.224 2585.122 2547.935 2564.124
## [92] 2571.617 2550.982 2582.263 2555.137 2561.210 2581.285 2546.668
## [99] 2569.502 2571.126
  # using for loop
  loop_method <- function(df, best_model, n, y.hat, y.rep){</pre>
    end_dates <- double(ntimes)</pre>
    for (i in 1:ntimes){
      sim_reg <- lm(sort(y.rep[,i], decreasing = TRUE) ~</pre>
                 years)
      end_dates[i] <- -1*summary(sim_reg)$coefficients[1,1] /
         summary(sim_reg)$coefficients[2,1]
   }
    return(end_dates)
  }
  loop_method(df, best_model, n, y.hat, y.rep)
##
     [1] 2558.934 2577.318 2569.007 2551.198 2556.999 2577.303 2540.984
##
     [8] 2562.693 2584.994 2589.143 2576.830 2590.650 2579.324 2574.598
  [15] 2556.175 2570.868 2566.836 2577.997 2570.868 2548.303 2575.871
##
   [22] 2567.968 2580.917 2565.659 2582.251 2559.069 2586.048 2562.023
## [29] 2571.221 2567.119 2581.335 2575.847 2548.440 2588.201 2557.332
## [36] 2557.611 2570.344 2554.550 2572.000 2589.329 2565.989 2581.891
## [43] 2589.150 2566.711 2606.226 2584.425 2578.575 2565.689 2552.717
   [50] 2583.258 2570.582 2571.976 2573.497 2576.274 2567.430 2592.127
## [57] 2560.634 2556.609 2559.147 2572.326 2571.744 2577.679 2581.375
## [64] 2585.476 2581.966 2596.716 2577.086 2579.970 2574.247 2552.709
## [71] 2564.523 2547.613 2556.384 2575.814 2563.710 2562.716 2592.009
   [78] 2576.618 2559.140 2560.477 2563.022 2571.770 2560.645 2566.718
## [85] 2579.679 2558.901 2559.191 2591.224 2585.122 2547.935 2564.124
## [92] 2571.617 2550.982 2582.263 2555.137 2561.210 2581.285 2546.668
## [99] 2569.502 2571.126
  times <- bench::mark(</pre>
  apply_method(df, best_model, n, y.hat, y.rep),
  loop method(df, best model, n, y.hat, y.rep)
## Warning: Some expressions had a GC in every iteration; so filtering is
## disabled.
times
## # A tibble: 2 x 10
    expression
                   min mean median
                                         <chr>>
                <bch:t> <bch: t> <bch:t>
                                                  <dbl> <bch:byt> <dbl> <int>
## 1 apply_met~ 80.1ms 83ms 81.3ms 92.6ms
                                                           563KB
                                                                    14
                                                                           7
                                                  12.1
## 2 loop_meth~ 130.7ms 134ms
                               134ms 136.8ms
                                                  7.47
                                                            470KB
                                                                    12
                                                                           4
## # ... with 1 more variable: total_time <bch:tm>
```



