## **STA 610L: Module 2.9**

# RANDOM EFFECTS ANOVA (BAYESIAN ESTIMATION I)

DR. OLANREWAJU MICHAEL AKANDE



#### INTRODUCTION

Bayesian estimation is often the approach of choice for fitting hierarchical models.

Two major advantages include

- estimation and computation, particularly in complex, highly structured, or generalized linear models; and
- straightforward uncertainty quantification.



#### HIERARCHICAL NORMAL MODEL

Recall our data model:

$$y_{ij} = \mu_j + arepsilon_{ij}$$

where

ullet  $\mu_j=\mu+lpha_j$ , and

$$lacksquare lpha_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N\left(0, au^2
ight) \perp arepsilon_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N\left(0,\sigma^2
ight)$$
 ,

so that 
$$\mu_{j} \overset{iid}{\sim} N\left(\mu, au^{2}\right)$$
 .

In addition to this data model, we will also need to specify a prior distribution for  $(\mu, \tau^2, \sigma^2)$ , which we will write as  $p(\theta) = p(\mu, \tau^2, \sigma^2)$ .

**Note:** this module should be a recap of the derivations you should have covered in STA 360/601/602. Some of the notations might be different so pay attention to those.

#### BAYESIAN SPECIFICATION OF THE MODEL

We will start with a default semi-conjugate prior specification given by

$$p(\mu, au^2,\sigma^2)=p(\mu)p( au^2)p(\sigma^2),$$

where

$$egin{aligned} \pi(\mu) &= \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_0, \gamma_0^2
ight) \ \pi( au^2) &= \mathcal{I}\mathcal{G}\left(rac{\eta_0}{2}, rac{\eta_0 au_0^2}{2}
ight) \ \pi(\sigma^2) &= \mathcal{I}\mathcal{G}\left(rac{
u_0}{2}, rac{
u_0\sigma_0^2}{2}
ight). \end{aligned}$$

#### BAYESIAN SPECIFICATION OF THE MODEL

With this default prior specification, we have nice interpretations of the prior parameters.

- For  $\mu$ ,
  - $\mu_0$ : best guess of average of group averages
  - $\gamma_0^2$ : set based on plausible ranges of values of  $\mu$
- For  $\tau^2$ ,
  - $\tau_0^2$ : best guess of variance of group averages
  - $\eta_0$ : set based on how tight prior for  $\tau^2$  is around  $\tau_0^2$
- For  $\sigma^2$ ,
  - $\sigma_0^2$ : best guess of variance of individual responses around respective group means
  - $\nu_0$ : set based on how tight prior for  $\sigma^2$  is around  $\sigma_0^2$ .



### QUICK REVIEW: INVERSE-GAMMA DISTRIBUTION

If  $\theta \sim \mathcal{IG}(a,b)$ , then the pdf is

$$p( heta)=rac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} heta^{-(a+1)}e^{-rac{b}{ heta}} \;\; ext{for} \;\; a,b>0,$$

with

$$\blacksquare \mathbb{E}[\theta] = \frac{b}{a-1};$$

$$lacksquare \mathbb{V}[ heta] = rac{b^2}{(a-1)^2(a-2)} \ ext{ for } a \geq 2.;$$

• 
$$\operatorname{Mode}[\theta] = \frac{b}{a+1}$$
.

#### MPLICATIONS ON PRIORS

Using an  $\mathcal{IG}\left(\frac{\eta_0}{2},\frac{\eta_0\tau_0^2}{2}\right)$  distribution for  $\tau^2$ , we can now see that  $\tau_0^2$  is

somewhere in the "center" of the distribution (between the mode  $\frac{\eta_0\tau_0^2}{\eta_0+2}$  and the mean  $\frac{\eta_0\tau_0^2}{\eta_0-2}$ ).

As the "prior sample size"  $\eta_0$  increases, the difference between these quantities goes to 0.

We have similar implications on the prior  $\pi(\sigma^2)=\mathcal{IG}\left(\frac{
u_0}{2},\frac{
u_0\sigma_0^2}{2}\right)$  .

#### FULLY-SPECIFIED MODEL

We have now fully-specified our model with the following components.

- 1. Unknown parameters  $(\mu_0, \tau_0^2, \sigma_0^2, \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_J)$
- 2. Prior distributions, specified in terms of prior guesses  $(\mu_0, \tau_0^2, \sigma_0^2)$  and certainty/prior sample sizes  $(\gamma_0^2, \eta_0, \nu_0)$
- 3. Data from our groups.

We can then interrogate the posterior distribution of the parameters using Gibbs sampling, as the full conditional distributions have closed forms.

### FULL CONDITIONALS

- For the full conditionals we will derive here, we will take advantage of results from the regular univariate normal model (from STA 360/601/602). For a refresher, see here.
- Recall that if we assume

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2), \;\; i=1,\dots,n,$$

and set our priors to be

$$\pi(\mu) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_0, \gamma_0^2
ight). \ \pi(\sigma^2) = \mathcal{IG}\left(rac{
u_0}{2}, rac{
u_0\sigma_0^2}{2}
ight),$$

then we have

$$\pi(\mu,\sigma^2|Y) \propto \left\{\prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i|\mu,\sigma^2)
ight\} \cdot \pi(\mu) \cdot \pi(\sigma^2)$$

### Full conditionals

We have

$$\pi(\mu|\sigma^2,Y) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_n,\gamma_n^2
ight)$$
 .

where

$$\gamma_n^2 = rac{1}{rac{n}{\sigma^2} + rac{1}{\gamma_0^2}}; \qquad \mu_n = \gamma_n^2 \left[rac{n}{\sigma^2}ar{y} + rac{1}{\gamma_0^2}\mu_0
ight],$$

and

$$\pi(\sigma^2|\mu,Y) = \mathcal{IG}\left(rac{
u_n}{2},rac{
u_n\sigma_n^2}{2}
ight),$$

where

$$u_n = 
u_0 + n; \qquad \sigma_n^2 = rac{1}{
u_n} \Bigg[ 
u_0 \sigma_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2 \Bigg] \, .$$

#### Posterior inference

Our hierarchical model can be written as

$$egin{aligned} y_{ij} | \mu_j, \sigma^2 &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_j, \sigma^2
ight); & i = 1, \dots, n_j \ \mu_j | \mu, au^2 &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, au^2
ight); & j = 1, \dots, J, \end{aligned}$$

Under our prior specification, we can factor the posterior as follows:

$$egin{aligned} \pi(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J,\mu,\sigma^2, au^2|Y) &\propto p(y|\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J,\mu,\sigma^2, au^2) \ & imes p(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J|\mu,\sigma^2, au^2) \ & imes \pi(\mu,\sigma^2, au^2) \end{aligned} \ = p(y|\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J,\sigma^2) \ & imes p(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J|\mu, au^2) \ & imes \pi(\mu)\cdot\pi(\sigma^2)\cdot\pi( au^2) \end{aligned} \ = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} p(y_{ij}|\mu_j,\sigma^2) 
ight\} \ & imes \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J p(\mu_j|\mu, au^2) 
ight\} \ & imes \pi(\mu)\cdot\pi(\sigma^2)\cdot\pi( au^2) \end{aligned}$$

### FULL CONDITIONAL FOR GRAND MEAN

- The full conditional distribution of  $\mu$  is proportional to the part of the joint posterior  $\pi(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2 | Y)$  that involves  $\mu$ .
- That is,

$$\pi(\mu|\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J,\sigma^2, au^2,Y) \propto \left\{\prod_{j=1}^J p(\mu_j|\mu, au^2)\right\} \cdot \pi(\mu).$$

 This looks like the full conditional distribution from the one-sample normal case, so you can show that

$$\pi(\mu|\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J,\sigma^2, au^2,Y)=\mathcal{N}\left(\mu_n,\gamma_n^2
ight) \quad ext{where}$$
  $\gamma_n^2=rac{1}{\dfrac{J}{ au^2}+\dfrac{1}{\gamma_0^2}}; \qquad \mu_n=\gamma_n^2\left[\dfrac{J}{ au^2}ar{ heta}+\dfrac{1}{\gamma_0^2}\mu_0
ight]$ 

and 
$$ar{ heta} = rac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^J \mu_j$$
 .

### Full conditionals for group means

- Similarly, the full conditional distribution of each  $\mu_j$  is proportional to the part of the joint posterior  $\pi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2 | Y)$  that involves  $\mu_j$ .
- That is,

$$\pi(\mu_j|\mu,\sigma^2, au^2,Y) \propto \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} p(y_{ij}|\mu_j,\sigma^2) 
ight\} \cdot p(\mu_j|\mu, au^2)$$

■ Those terms include a normal for  $\mu_j$  multiplied by a product of normals in which  $\mu_j$  is the mean, again mirroring the one-sample case, so you can show that

$$\pi(\mu_j|\mu,\sigma^2, au^2,Y) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_j^\star,
u_j^\star
ight) \quad ext{where}$$
  $u_j^\star = rac{1}{rac{n_j}{\sigma^2} + rac{1}{ au^2}}; \qquad \mu_j^\star = 
u_j^\star \left[rac{n_j}{\sigma^2}ar{y}_j + rac{1}{ au^2}\mu
ight]$ 

### FULL CONDITIONALS FOR GROUP MEANS

- Our estimate for each  $\mu_j$  is a weighted average of  $\bar{y}_j$  and  $\mu$ , ensuring that we are borrowing information across all levels through  $\mu$  and  $\tau^2$ .
- The weights for the weighted average is determined by relative precisions from the data and from the second level model.
- The groups with smaller  $n_j$  have estimated  $\mu_j^{\star}$  closer to  $\mu$  than schools with larger  $n_j$ .
- Thus, degree of shrinkage of  $\mu_j$  depends on ratio of within-group to between-group variances.



## FULL CONDITIONALS FOR ACROSS-GROUP VARIANCE

- The full conditional distribution of  $\tau^2$  is proportional to the part of the joint posterior  $\pi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2 | Y)$  that involves  $\tau^2$ .
- That is,

$$\pi( au^2|\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J,\mu,\sigma^2,Y) \propto \left\{\prod_{j=1}^J p(\mu_j|\mu, au^2)\right\} \cdot \pi( au^2)$$

 $\blacksquare$  As in the case for  $\mu,$  this looks like the one-sample normal problem, and our full conditional posterior is

$$\pi( au^2|\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J,\mu,\sigma^2,Y)=\mathcal{IG}\left(rac{\eta_n}{2},rac{\eta_n au_n^2}{2}
ight) \quad ext{where}$$

$$\eta_n = \eta_0 + J; \qquad au_n^2 = rac{1}{\eta_n} igg[ \eta_0 au_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (\mu_j - \mu)^2 igg] \, .$$

## FULL CONDITIONALS FOR WITHIN-GROUP VARIANCE

- Finally, the full conditional distribution of  $\sigma^2$  is proportional to the part of the joint posterior  $\pi(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_J, \mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2 | Y)$  that involves  $\sigma^2$ .
- That is,

$$\pi(\sigma^2|\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J,\mu, au^2,Y) \propto \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} p(y_{ij}|\mu_j,\sigma^2) 
ight\} \cdot \pi(\sigma^2)$$

 We can again take advantage of the one-sample normal problem, so that our full conditional posterior (homework) is

$$\pi(\sigma^2|\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_J,\mu, au^2,Y)=\mathcal{IG}\left(rac{
u_n}{2},rac{
u_n\sigma_n^2}{2}
ight) \quad ext{where}$$

$$u_n = 
u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^J n_j; \qquad \sigma_n^2 = rac{1}{
u_n} \Bigg[ 
u_0 \sigma_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (y_{ij} - \mu_j)^2 \Bigg] \, .$$

## WHAT'S NEXT?

MOVE ON TO THE READINGS FOR THE NEXT MODULE!

