

Draft-1

STA 210 - Summer 2022

Team 2 - Alicia Gong, Ashley Chen, Abdel Shehata, Claire Tam

6-8-2022

Setup

Load packages and data:

```
library(tidyverse)
library(tidymodels)
library(dplyr)
library(ggplot2)
library(cowplot)
library(knitr)
library(recipes)
library(caret)
library(InformationValue)
library(ISLR)
library(MASS)
library(nnet)
```

```
redwine <- read.csv("data/winequality-red.csv", sep = ";")
whitewine <- read.csv("data/winequality-white.csv", sep = ";")
redwine<-redwine%>%mutate(color="red")
whitewine<-whitewine%>%mutate(color="white")
wine<-redwine%>%full_join(whitewine)
```

```
Joining, by = c("fixed.acidity", "volatile.acidity", "citric.acid",
"residual.sugar", "chlorides", "free.sulfur.dioxide", "total.sulfur.dioxide",
"density", "pH", "sulphates", "alcohol", "quality", "color")
```

```
wine<- slice(wine, sample(1:n()))
```

Introduction and Data

Introduction

About 234 million hectoliters of wine were consumed in 2020, worldwide, with the US making up approximately 14% of that consumption (Karlsson 2020). Since Wine composition and wine quality varies widely, it raises the question: what makes a good wine?

To answer that question, we will analyze the wine quality dataset from Vinho Verde vineyard in Portugal, and more importantly try to narrow down our question to make it possible for it to be supported by evidence. Below is the introduction to our research:

Project Goal: To identify variables that are important in explaining variation in the response. “Vinho Verde” is the kind of wine exclusively produced in the demarcated region of Vinho Verde in northwestern Portugal. The Vinho Verde wine has its own unique system of production and is only produced from the indigenous grape varieties of the region. Vinho Verde region is one of the largest and oldest wine regions in the world, and is home to thousands of producers, generating a wealth of economic activity and jobs, and strongly contributes to the development of Minho province and the country. The Vinho Verde wine also enjoys high reputation worldwide. It is recurrently awarded in national and international competitions. The goal of this dataset is to model wine quality based on physicochemical tests. We believe that this dataset can also be used to analyze the relationship between different chemical compositions and the ratings of wine quality. We believe that this dataset can also be used to analyze what chemical factors are attributable to the final rating of Vinho Verde wine. Our research may shed light on future research and development directions for improving the quality of Vinho Verde wine, which may also contribute to the competitiveness of Portuguese wine industry.

Our goal is to produce a classification model that best explains how different chemical compositions of the Portuguese “Vinho Verde” wine affects the variation of the wine quality.

Data Introduction

The Wine Quality dataset was collected from Vinho Verde wine Samples, from the North of Portugal. The data was originally donated in 2009 by Professor Cortez. The specific mechanism of the collection of the data was lab work done on different wines to measure their chemical attributes (like acidity etc.). The quality of the wine however was obtained through the average rating of three wine experts. The dataset is divided into two: Red wine and White wine. Red Wine has 1599 observations, and white wine has 4898 observations (each observation being a specific wine). Information about the wine include but are not limited to: PH, Density, Acidity, and alcohol content.

Each observation is a specific wine from the Vinho Verde region. Thus, there might be a little uncertainty in collecting the exact numbers for each numbers. However, since the Vinho Verde region is a vast region spreading 15500 hectares of vineyards in far-north Portugal, this uncertainty shouldn't be significant in our analysis or project. Thus, we will assume that the datas are independent and random ::: {.cell}

```
glimpse(wine)
```

```
Rows: 6,497
Columns: 13
$ fixed.acidity      <dbl> 6.1, 8.8, 5.6, 6.3, 12.7, 6.6, 6.2, 9.4, 6.2, 10.~
$ volatile.acidity   <dbl> 0.380, 0.470, 0.235, 0.240, 0.600, 0.270, 0.320, ~
$ citric.acid        <dbl> 0.15, 0.49, 0.29, 0.22, 0.49, 0.32, 0.16, 0.32, 0~
$ residual.sugar     <dbl> 1.80, 2.90, 1.20, 11.90, 2.80, 1.30, 7.00, 6.50, ~
$ chlorides          <dbl> 0.072, 0.085, 0.047, 0.050, 0.075, 0.044, 0.045, ~
$ free.sulfur.dioxide <dbl> 6.0, 17.0, 33.0, 65.0, 5.0, 18.0, 30.0, 20.0, 22.~
$ total.sulfur.dioxide <dbl> 19, 110, 127, 179, 19, 93, 136, 167, 143, 29, 282~
$ density            <dbl> 0.99550, 0.99820, 0.99100, 0.99659, 0.99940, 0.99~
$ pH                 <dbl> 3.42, 3.29, 3.34, 3.06, 3.14, 3.11, 3.18, 3.08, 3~
$ sulphates          <dbl> 0.57, 0.60, 0.50, 0.58, 0.57, 0.56, 0.47, 0.43, 0~
$ alcohol            <dbl> 9.40, 9.80, 11.00, 9.30, 11.40, 12.25, 9.60, 10.6~
$ quality            <int> 5, 5, 7, 6, 5, 5, 6, 5, 5, 6, 5, 6, 6, 5, 6, 5, 7~
$ color              <chr> "red", "red", "white", "white", "red", "white", "~
```

::: There are 6497 observations and 13 variables (14 if you include the new response variable added later).

```
any(is.na(wine))
```

```
[1] FALSE
```

There are no NAs in our data, so we shouldn't be concerned about missing data.

Data Editing

```
wine<-wine%>%
  mutate(good_wine=if_else(quality >= 7,"1","0"))
wine<-wine%>%
  mutate(good_wine=as.factor(good_wine))
```

```
wine<-wine%>%
  mutate(good_wine_names=if_else(good_wine=="1","Good wine","Bad or subpar wine"))
no1 <- colnames(wine)[1:11]
colnames(wine)[1:11] = paste("c_", no1, sep = "")
```

EDA

Methodology

Logistic Model

```
set.seed(222)
wine_split <- initial_split(wine, prop = 3/4)
wine_train <- training(wine_split)
wine_test <- training(wine_split)
```

```
wine_spec <- logistic_reg() %>%
  set_engine("glm")
```

```
wine_rec1 <- recipe(
  good_wine ~., data = wine_train) %>%
  step_rm(good_wine_names,quality) %>%
  step_center(all_numeric_predictors())%>%
  step_dummy(all_nominal_predictors()) %>%
  step_zv(all_predictors())
```

```
wine_wflow1 <- workflow() %>%
  add_model(wine_spec) %>%
  add_recipe(wine_rec1)
```

```
wine_fit1 <- wine_wflow1 %>%
  fit(data = wine_train)
kable(tidy(wine_fit1), digits = 3)
```

Reduced Model

| term | estimate | std.error | statistic | p.value |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| (Intercept) | -1.195 | 0.205 | -5.818 | 0.000 |
| c_fixed.acidity | 0.528 | 0.077 | 6.864 | 0.000 |
| c_volatile.acidity | -3.492 | 0.433 | -8.058 | 0.000 |
| c_citric.acid | -0.295 | 0.390 | -0.757 | 0.449 |
| c_residual.sugar | 0.236 | 0.030 | 7.910 | 0.000 |
| c_chlorides | -6.019 | 2.718 | -2.214 | 0.027 |
| c_free.sulfur.dioxide | 0.011 | 0.003 | 3.188 | 0.001 |
| c_total.sulfur.dioxide | -0.003 | 0.002 | -2.248 | 0.025 |
| c_density | -437.756 | 75.268 | -5.816 | 0.000 |
| c_pH | 2.620 | 0.417 | 6.289 | 0.000 |
| c_sulphates | 2.350 | 0.328 | 7.158 | 0.000 |
| c_alcohol | 0.461 | 0.091 | 5.064 | 0.000 |
| color_white | -0.889 | 0.271 | -3.283 | 0.001 |

Should we remove Citric Acid, lets do a quick Anova test ::: {.cell}

```
wine_rec2 <- recipe(
  good_wine ~., data = wine_train) %>%
  step_rm(good_wine_names,quality,c_citric.acid) %>%
  step_center(all_numeric_predictors())%>%
  step_dummy(all_nominal_predictors()) %>%
  step_zv(all_predictors())
wine_wflow2 <- workflow() %>%
  add_model(wine_spec) %>%
  add_recipe(wine_rec2)
wine_fit2 <- wine_wflow2 %>%
  fit(data = wine_train)
```

:::

```
fit_engine1<-extract_fit_engine(wine_fit1)
fit_engine2<-extract_fit_engine(wine_fit2)
anova(fit_engine2, fit_engine1, test = "Chisq") %>%
  kable(digits = 3)
```

| Resid. Df | Resid. Dev | Df | Deviance | Pr(>Chi) |
|-----------|------------|----|----------|----------|
| 4860 | 3876.489 | NA | NA | NA |
| 4859 | 3875.914 | 1 | 0.575 | 0.448 |

We should remove citric acid based on those results. (Insert Interruption)

Full Model

```
wine_rec_full <- recipe(
  good_wine ~., data = wine_train) %>%
  step_rm(good_wine_names,quality,c_citric.acid) %>%
  step_dummy(color)%>%
  step_interact(terms = ~starts_with("c_"):starts_with("color")) %>%
  step_dummy(all_nominal_predictors()) %>%
  step_zv(all_predictors())
```

```
wine_flow_model <- workflow() %>%
  add_model(wine_spec) %>%
  add_recipe(wine_rec_full)
```

```
wine_fit_test <- wine_flow_model %>%
  fit(data = wine_train)

tidy(wine_fit_test,conf.int = T) %>%
  kable(digits = 3)
```

| term | estimate | std.error | statistic | p.value | conf.low | conf.high |
|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| (Intercept) | 250.048 | 114.531 | 2.183 | 0.029 | 26.260 | 475.934 |
| c_fixed.acidity | 0.322 | 0.133 | 2.417 | 0.016 | 0.061 | 0.585 |
| c_volatile.acidity | -2.611 | 0.703 | -3.712 | 0.000 | -4.016 | -1.258 |
| c_residual.sugar | 0.255 | 0.079 | 3.230 | 0.001 | 0.096 | 0.408 |
| c_chlorides | -6.772 | 3.328 | -2.035 | 0.042 | -14.455 | -1.191 |
| c_free.sulfur.dioxide | 0.001 | 0.013 | 0.105 | 0.917 | -0.025 | 0.027 |
| c_total.sulfur.dioxide | -0.013 | 0.005 | -2.741 | 0.006 | -0.023 | -0.004 |
| c_density | - | 117.071 | -2.265 | 0.024 | - | -36.451 |
| | 265.185 | | | | 496.086 | |
| c_pH | 0.248 | 1.077 | 0.231 | 0.818 | -1.877 | 2.351 |
| c_sulphates | 3.591 | 0.606 | 5.925 | 0.000 | 2.405 | 4.794 |
| c_alcohol | 0.739 | 0.137 | 5.413 | 0.000 | 0.474 | 1.010 |
| color_white | 457.236 | 159.417 | 2.868 | 0.004 | 145.221 | 770.431 |
| c_fixed.acidity_x_color_white | 0.276 | 0.170 | 1.618 | 0.106 | -0.058 | 0.610 |
| c_volatile.acidity_x_color_white | -0.958 | 0.889 | -1.077 | 0.281 | -2.691 | 0.797 |
| c_residual.sugar_x_color_white | 0.076 | 0.089 | 0.853 | 0.393 | -0.097 | 0.255 |

| term | estimate | std.error | statistic | p.value | conf.low | conf.high |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| c_chlorides_x_color_white | -4.365 | 5.447 | -0.801 | 0.423 | -14.937 | 6.620 |
| c_free.sulfur.dioxide_x_color_white | 0.007 | 0.014 | 0.518 | 0.604 | -0.020 | 0.034 |
| c_total.sulfur.dioxide_x_color_white | 0.014 | 0.005 | 2.666 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.024 |
| c_density_x_color_white | - | 162.305 | -2.874 | 0.004 | - | -148.800 |
| | 466.493 | | | | 785.334 | |
| c_pH_x_color_white | 3.294 | 1.188 | 2.772 | 0.006 | 0.973 | 5.636 |
| c_sulphates_x_color_white | -1.490 | 0.729 | -2.043 | 0.041 | -2.930 | -0.062 |
| c_alcohol_x_color_white | -0.651 | 0.191 | -3.418 | 0.001 | -1.027 | -0.280 |

As we can see from some variables p values and confidence interval, we can drop some of those variables if we were to conduct a hypothesis test since their p value would exceed 0.05, meaning that we would not have enough to reject the null hypothesis. (better wording later)

```
wine_full_reduced <- recipe(
  good_wine ~., data = wine_train) %>%
  step_rm(good_wine_names,quality,c_citric.acid) %>%
  step_dummy(color)%>%
  step_interact(terms = ~starts_with("c_"):starts_with("color")) %>%
  step_rm(c_sulphates_x_color_white,c_free.sulfur.dioxide_x_color_white,c_chlorides_x_color_white,
          c_residual.sugar_x_color_white,c_volatile.acidity_x_color_white,c_sulphates_x_color_white,
          c_fixed.acidity_x_color_white,c_pH)%>%
  step_dummy(all_nominal_predictors()) %>%
  step_zv(all_predictors())
```

```
wine_full_reduced_workflow<- workflow() %>%
  add_model(wine_spec) %>%
  add_recipe(wine_full_reduced)

wine_fit_test <- wine_full_reduced_workflow %>%
  fit(data = wine_train)

tidy(wine_fit_test,conf.int = T) %>%
  kable(digits = 3)
```

| term | estimate | std.error | statistic | p.value | conf.low | conf.high |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| (Intercept) | 303.019 | 80.959 | 3.743 | 0.000 | 144.963 | 462.700 |
| c_fixed.acidity | 0.408 | 0.066 | 6.230 | 0.000 | 0.280 | 0.537 |
| c_volatile.acidity | -3.149 | 0.424 | -7.435 | 0.000 | -3.989 | -2.329 |
| c_residual.sugar | 0.280 | 0.031 | 9.029 | 0.000 | 0.219 | 0.341 |

| term | estimate | std.error | statistic | p.value | conf.low | conf.high |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| c_chlorides | -8.512 | 2.913 | -2.922 | 0.003 | -14.573 | -3.260 |
| c_free.sulfur.dioxide | 0.009 | 0.003 | 2.602 | 0.009 | 0.002 | 0.016 |
| c_total.sulfur.dioxide | -0.013 | 0.003 | -4.046 | 0.000 | -0.020 | -0.007 |
| c_density | - | 81.116 | -3.905 | 0.000 | - | -158.461 |
| | 316.798 | | | | 476.803 | |
| c_sulphates | 2.400 | 0.334 | 7.181 | 0.000 | 1.743 | 3.054 |
| c_alcohol | 0.700 | 0.115 | 6.107 | 0.000 | 0.478 | 0.928 |
| color_white | 269.424 | 75.410 | 3.573 | 0.000 | 121.650 | 417.503 |
| c_total.sulfur.dioxide_x_color_white | -0.013 | 0.003 | 3.714 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.020 |
| c_density_x_color_white | - | 74.987 | -3.695 | 0.000 | - | -130.128 |
| | 277.075 | | | | 424.319 | |
| c_pH_x_color_white | 2.825 | 0.393 | 7.195 | 0.000 | 2.058 | 3.598 |
| c_alcohol_x_color_white | -0.467 | 0.140 | -3.338 | 0.001 | -0.745 | -0.196 |

```
AIC_fit<- logistic_reg() %>%
  set_engine("glm") %>%
  fit(good_wine~.-c_citric.acid-quality-good_wine_names,
      data = wine_train)
AIC_fit<- repair_call(AIC_fit, data = wine_train)
AIC_fit_engine<-AIC_fit %>% extract_fit_engine()
```

```
best_AIC_model<-stepAIC(AIC_fit_engine,direction="forward",trace=FALSE)
```

```
best_AIC_model%>%tidy()
```

Stepwise

A tibble: 12 x 5

| | term | estimate | std.error | statistic | p.value |
|---|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | <chr> | <dbl> | <dbl> | <dbl> | <dbl> |
| 1 | (Intercept) | 420. | 74.1 | 5.67 | 1.42e- 8 |
| 2 | c_fixed.acidity | 0.515 | 0.0750 | 6.87 | 6.50e-12 |
| 3 | c_volatile.acidity | -3.38 | 0.408 | -8.29 | 1.10e-16 |
| 4 | c_residual.sugar | 0.236 | 0.0298 | 7.93 | 2.23e-15 |
| 5 | c_chlorides | -6.14 | 2.70 | -2.27 | 2.30e- 2 |

| | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------|
| 6 | c_free.sulfur.dioxide | 0.0108 | 0.00334 | 3.22 | 1.29e- 3 |
| 7 | c_total.sulfur.dioxide | -0.00350 | 0.00150 | -2.33 | 1.96e- 2 |
| 8 | c_density | -441. | 75.1 | -5.88 | 4.16e- 9 |
| 9 | c_pH | 2.63 | 0.416 | 6.33 | 2.46e-10 |
| 10 | c_sulphates | 2.34 | 0.328 | 7.13 | 9.78e-13 |
| 11 | c_alcohol | 0.451 | 0.0900 | 5.01 | 5.43e- 7 |
| 12 | colorwhite | -0.901 | 0.270 | -3.33 | 8.58e- 4 |

Multnomial Regression

```
full_fit1<- multinom_reg() %>%
  set_engine("nnet") %>%
  fit(as.factor(quality)~.-good_wine_names-good_wine,
    data = wine_train)
full_fit1<- repair_call(full_fit1, data = wine_train)
tidy(full_fit1)
```

Data Editing for Regression

```
# A tibble: 78 x 6
  y.level term          estimate std.error statistic  p.value
  <chr>   <chr>          <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>
1 4      (Intercept)    -2.57    0.104    -24.7    1.39e-134
2 4      c_fixed.acidity   -0.757    0.144     -5.27    1.39e- 7
3 4      c_volatile.acidity -0.209    0.524     -0.398    6.91e- 1
4 4      c_citric.acid      4.49     0.581      7.73    1.11e- 14
5 4      c_residual.sugar   -0.125    0.0636    -1.96    4.99e- 2
6 4      c_chlorides       -19.0     0.0858   -221.    0
7 4      c_free.sulfur.dioxide -0.0748    0.0135    -5.53    3.25e- 8
8 4      c_total.sulfur.dioxide -0.0107    0.00566    -1.90    5.77e- 2
9 4      c_density         31.1     0.104     299.    0
10 4     c_pH           -3.14     0.457     -6.87    6.55e- 12
# ... with 68 more rows
```

```
full_fit1_engine<-full_fit1 %>% extract_fit_engine()
newmodel<-stepAIC(full_fit1_engine,direction="both",trace=FALSE)
```

```
tidy(newmodel)
```

```
# A tibble: 72 x 6
```

| | y.level | term | estimate | std.error | statistic | p.value |
|----|---------|------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| | <chr> | <chr> | <dbl> | <dbl> | <dbl> | <dbl> |
| 1 | 4 | (Intercept) | -18.0 | 0.101 | -178. | 0 |
| 2 | 4 | c_fixed.acidity | -0.374 | 0.142 | -2.63 | 0.00845 |
| 3 | 4 | c_volatile.acidity | -1.29 | 0.502 | -2.58 | 0.00990 |
| 4 | 4 | c_residual.sugar | -0.0905 | 0.0601 | -1.51 | 0.132 |
| 5 | 4 | c_chlorides | -15.0 | 0.0734 | -205. | 0 |
| 6 | 4 | c_free.sulfur.dioxide | -0.0783 | 0.0136 | -5.78 | 0.00000000763 |
| 7 | 4 | c_total.sulfur.dioxide | -0.00555 | 0.00578 | -0.960 | 0.337 |
| 8 | 4 | c_density | 37.4 | 0.101 | 370. | 0 |
| 9 | 4 | c_pH | -1.78 | 0.440 | -4.04 | 0.0000542 |
| 10 | 4 | c_sulphates | 2.85 | 0.574 | 4.97 | 0.000000679 |

```
# ... with 62 more rows
```

Results

```
fit2_aug <- augment(wine_fit2, new_data = wine_test)

fit2_conf<-fit2_aug%>%
  count(good_wine,.pred_class,.drop=FALSE)%>%
  pivot_wider(names_from = .pred_class, values_from = n)
fit2_conf
```

```
# A tibble: 2 x 3
  good_wine   `0`   `1`
  <fct>      <int> <int>
1 0          3709  184
2 1           702  277
```

```
predicted <- predict(wine_fit2, wine_test)
predicted<-predicted%>%mutate(.pred_class=as.numeric(.pred_class))
optimal <- optimalCutoff(as.numeric(wine_test$good_wine), predicted)[1]

mis1<-misClassError(as.numeric(wine_test$good_wine), predicted, threshold=optimal)
accuracy <- mean(as.numeric(wine_test$good_wine)== as.numeric(predicted$.pred_class))
```

```
newmodel$AIC
```

```
[1] 10449.57
```

```
glance(full_fit1)$AIC
```

```
[1] 10460.85
```

```
training_pred <- predict(newmodel,wine_test)
training_pred<-data_frame(training_pred)
```

Warning: `data_frame()` was deprecated in tibble 1.1.0.

Please use `tibble()` instead.

This warning is displayed once every 8 hours.

Call `lifecycle::last_lifecycle_warnings()` to see where this warning was generated.

```
accuracy <- mean(wine_test$quality == training_pred$training_pred)
```