# Lecture 10: More MCMC: Blocked Metropolis-Hastings and Gibbs

**Merlise Clyde** 

**September 27** 



# **Blocked Metropolis-Hastings**

So far all algorithms update all of the parameters simultaneously

 convenient to break problems in to K blocks and update them separately

$$\blacksquare \quad \theta = (\theta_{[1]}, \ldots, \theta_{[K]}) = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_p)$$

At iteration s, for k = 1, ..., K Cycle thru blocks: (fixed order or random order)

- lacksquare propose  $heta^*_{[k]} \sim q_k( heta_{[k]} \mid heta^{(s)}_{[< k]}, heta^{(s-1)}_{[> k]})$
- set  $\theta_{[k]}^{(s)} = \theta_{[k]}^*$  with probability

$$\min \left\{1, \frac{\pi(\theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[k]}^*, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)}) \mathcal{L}(\theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[k]}^*, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)}) / q_k(\theta_{[k]}^* \mid \theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)})}{\pi(\theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[k]}^{(s-1)}, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)}) \mathcal{L}(\theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[k]}^{(s-1)}, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)}) / q_k(\theta_{[k]}^{(s-1)} \mid \theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)})}\right\}$$



## **Gibbs Sampler**

#### special case of Blocked MH

• proposal distribution  $q_k$  for the kth block is the **full conditional** distribution for  $\theta_{[k]}$ 

$$\begin{split} \pi(\theta_{[k]} \mid \theta_{[-k]}, y) &= \frac{\pi(\theta_{[k]}, \theta_{[-k]} \mid y)}{\pi(\theta_{[-k]} \mid y))} \propto \pi(\theta_{[k]}, \theta_{[-k]} \mid y) \\ \pi(\theta_{[k]} \mid \theta_{[-k]}, y) &\propto \mathcal{L}(\theta_{[k]}, \theta_{[-k]}) \pi(\theta_{[k]}, \theta_{[-k]}) \\ \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\pi(\theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[k]}^*, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)}) \mathcal{L}(\theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[k]}^*, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)}) / q_k(\theta_{[k]}^* \mid \theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)})}{\pi(\theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[k]}^{(s-1)}, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)}) \mathcal{L}(\theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[k]}^{(s-1)}, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)}) / q_k(\theta_{[k]}^{(s-1)} \mid \theta_{[< k]}^{(s)}, \theta_{[> k]}^{(s-1)})} \right\} \end{split}$$

- acceptance probability is always 1!
- even though joint distribution is messy, full conditionals may be (conditionally) conjugate and easy to sample from!



## **Univariate Normal Example**

Model

$$egin{aligned} Y_i \mid \mu, \sigma^2 &\stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{N}(\mu, 1/\phi) \ & \mu \sim \mathsf{N}(\mu_0, 1/ au_0) \ & \phi \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(a/2, b/2) \end{aligned}$$

- Joint prior is a product of independent Normal-Gamma
- Is  $\pi(\mu, \phi \mid y_1, \dots, y_n)$  also a Normal-Gamma family?



#### **Full Conditional for the Mean**

The full conditional distributions  $\mu \mid \phi, y_1, \dots, y_n$ 

$$egin{aligned} \mu \mid \phi, y_1, \dots, y_n &\sim \mathsf{N}(\hat{\mu}, 1/ au_n) \ \hat{\mu} &= rac{ au_0 \mu_0 + n \phi ar{y}}{ au_0 + n \phi} \ au_n &= au_0 + n \phi \end{aligned}$$



#### **Full Conditional for the Precision**

$$\phi \mid \mu, y_1, \dots, y_n \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(a_n/2, b_n/2) \ a_n = a + n \ b_n = b + \sum_i (y_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\mathsf{E}[\phi \mid \mu, y_1, \dots, y_n] = rac{(a+n)/2}{(b+\sum_i (y_i-\mu)^2)/2}$$

What happens with a non-informative prior i.e

$$a=b=\epsilon$$
 as  $\epsilon \to 0$ ?



#### **Normal Linear Regression Example**

Model

$$egin{aligned} Y_i \mid eta, \phi \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{N}(x_i^Teta, 1/\phi) \ Y \mid eta, \phi \sim \mathsf{N}(Xeta, \phi^{-1}I_n) \ eta \sim \mathsf{N}(b_0, \Phi_0^{-1}) \ \phi \sim \mathsf{N}(v_0/2, s_0/2) \end{aligned}$$

 $x_i$  is a  $p \times 1$  vector of predictors and X is  $n \times p$  matrix

 $\beta$  is a  $p \times 1$  vector of coefficients

 $\Phi_0$  is a  $p \times p$  prior precision matrix

Multivariate Normal density for  $\beta$ 

$$\pi(eta \mid b_0, \Phi_0) = rac{\left|\Phi_0
ight|^{1/2}}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \mathrm{exp}igg\{ -rac{1}{2}(eta - b_0)^T \Phi_0(eta - b_0) igg\}$$



#### **Full Conditional for** *β*

$$eta \mid \phi, y_1, \dots, y_n \sim \mathsf{N}(b_n, \Phi_n^{-1}) \ b_n = (\Phi_0 + \phi X^T X)^{-1} (\Phi_0 b_0 + \phi X^T X \hat{eta}) \ \Phi_n = \Phi_0 + \phi X^T X$$



#### **Derivation continued**



#### **Full Conditional for** $\phi$

$$\phi \mid eta, y_1, \dots, y_n \sim \mathsf{Gamma}((v_0 + n)/2, (s_0 + \sum_i (y_i - x_i^T eta)))$$



#### **Choice of Prior Precision**

Non-Informative  $\Phi_0 \rightarrow 0$ 

• Formal Posterior given  $\phi$ 

$$eta \mid \phi, y_1, \dots, y_n \sim \mathsf{N}(\hat{eta}, \phi^{-1}(X^TX)^{-1})$$

• needs  $X^TX$  to be full rank for distribution to be unique



# **Invariance and Choice of Mean/Precision**

the model in vector form

$$Y \sim \mathsf{N}_n(Xeta,\phi^{-1}I_n)$$

- What if we transform the X matrix by  $\tilde{X} = XH$  where H is  $p \times p$  and invertible
- obtain the posterior for  $\tilde{\beta}$  using Y and  $\tilde{X}$

$$Y \sim \mathsf{N}_n( ilde{X} ilde{eta},\phi^{-1}I_n)$$

- since  $\tilde{X}\tilde{\beta} = XH\tilde{\beta} = X\beta$  invariance suggests that the posterior for  $\beta$  and  $H\tilde{\beta}$  should be the same
- or the posterior of  $H^{-1}\beta$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  should be the same



• with some linear algebra we can show that this is true if  $b_0 = 0$  and  $\Phi_0$  is  $kX^TX$  for some k (show!)

# Zellner's g-prior

Popular choice is to take  $k=\phi/g$  which is a special case of Zellner's g-prior

$$eta \mid \phi, g \sim \mathsf{N}\left(0, rac{g}{\phi}(X^TX)^{-1}
ight)$$

Full conditional

$$eta \mid \phi, g \sim \mathsf{N}\left(rac{g}{1+g}\hat{eta}, rac{1}{\phi}rac{g}{1+g}(X^TX)^{-1}
ight)$$

one parameter g controls shrinkage

if  $\phi \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(v_0/2,s_0/2)$  then posterior is

$$\phi \mid y_1, \dots, y_n \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(v_n/2, s_n/2)$$

Conjugate so we could skip Gibbs sampling and sample directly from gamma and then conditional normal!



## **Ridge Regression**

If  $X^TX$  is nearly singular, certain elements of  $\beta$  or (linear combinations of  $\beta$ ) may have huge variances under the g-prior (or flat prior) as the MLEs are highly unstable!

**Ridge regression** protects against the explosion of variances and ill-conditioning with the conjugate priors:

$$eta \mid \phi \sim \mathsf{N}(0, rac{1}{\phi \lambda} I_p)$$

Posterior for  $\beta$  (conjugate case)

$$eta \mid \phi, \lambda, y_1, \dots, y_n \sim \mathsf{N}\left((\lambda I_p + X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y, rac{1}{\phi}(\lambda I_p + X^T X)^{-1}
ight)$$



#### **Bayes Regression**

- Posterior mean (or mode) given  $\lambda$  is biased, but can show that there **always** is a value of  $\lambda$  where the frequentist's expected squared error loss is smaller for the Ridge estimator than MLE!
- related to penalized maximum likelihood estimation
- Choice of  $\lambda$
- Bayes Regression and choice of  $\Phi_0$  in general is a very important problem and provides the foundation for many variations on shrinkage estimators, variable selection, hierarchical models, nonparameteric regression and more!
- Be sure that you can derive the full conditional posteriors for  $\beta$  and  $\phi$  as well as the joint posterior in the conjugate case!



#### **Comments**

- Why don't we treat each individual  $\beta_i$  as a separate block?
- Gibbs always accepts, but can mix slowly if parameters in different blocks are highly correlated!
- Use block sizes in Gibbs that are as big as possible to improve mixing (proven faster convergence)
- Collapse the sampler by integrating out as many parameters as possible (as long as resulting sampler has good mixing)
- can use Gibbs steps and (adaptive) Metropolis Hastings steps together
- Introduce latent variables (data augmentation) to allow Gibbs steps (Next class)

