Linear Mixed Effects Models

STA721: Lecture 24

Merlise Clyde
Duke University



Random Effects Regression

• Easy to extend from random means by groups to random group level coefficients:

$$Y_{ij} = oldsymbol{ heta}_j^T \mathbf{x}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij} \ \epsilon_{ij} \stackrel{ ext{iid}}{\sim} \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

- $oldsymbol{ heta}_j$ is a p imes 1 vector regression coefficients for group j
- \mathbf{x}_{ij} is a p imes 1 vector of predictors for group j
- If we view the groups as exchangeable, describe across group heterogeneity by

$$oldsymbol{ heta}_j \overset{ ext{iid}}{\sim} \mathsf{N}(oldsymbol{eta}, oldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

- β , Σ and σ^2 are population parameters to be estimated.
- Designed to accommodate correlated data due to nested/hierarchical structure/repeated measurements: students w/in schools; patients w/in hospitals; additional covariates



Linear Mixed Effects Models

- We can write $m{ heta} = m{eta} + m{lpha}_j$ with $m{lpha}_j \stackrel{ ext{iid}}{\sim} {\sf N}(m{0}, m{\Sigma})$
- Substituting, we can rewrite model

$$egin{aligned} Y_{ij} &= oldsymbol{eta}^T \mathbf{x}_{ij} + oldsymbol{lpha}_j^T \mathbf{x}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}, & \epsilon_{ij} \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma^2) \ oldsymbol{lpha}_j \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0}_p, oldsymbol{\Sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

- Fixed effects contribution $oldsymbol{eta}$ is constant across groups
- Random effects are α_j as they vary across groups
- called mixed effects as we have both fixed and random effects in the regression model



More General Model

No reason for the fixed effects and random effect covariates to be the same

$$egin{aligned} Y_{ij} &= oldsymbol{eta}^T \mathbf{x}_{ij} + oldsymbol{lpha}_j^T \mathbf{z}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}, & \epsilon_{ij} \overset{ ext{iid}}{\sim} \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma^2) \ oldsymbol{lpha}_j \overset{ ext{iid}}{\sim} \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0}_q, oldsymbol{\Sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

- ullet dimension of $\mathbf{x}_{ij}\,p imes 1$
- dimension of $\mathbf{z}_{ij} \, q imes 1$
- may or may not be overlapping
- \mathbf{x}_{ij} could include predictors that are constant across all i in group j. (can't estimate if they are in \mathbf{z}_{ij})
- features of school *j* that vary across schools but are constant within a school



Marginal Distribution of Data



GLS Estimation

Marginal Model

$$\mathbf{Y} \mid oldsymbol{eta}, oldsymbol{\Sigma}, \sigma^2 \overset{ ext{ind}}{\sim} \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta}, \mathbf{Z}oldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{Z}^T + \sigma^2\mathbf{I}_n)$$

- Define covariance of ${f Y}$ to be ${f V}={f Z}{f \Sigma}{f Z}^T+\sigma^2{f I}_n$
- Use GLS conditional on Σ , σ^2 to estimate β :

$$oldsymbol{eta} = (\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$$

- since ${\bf V}$ has unknown parameters, typical practice (non-Bayes) is to use an estimate of ${\bf V}$, and replace ${\bf V}$ by $\hat{{\bf V}}$. (MLE, Methods of Moments, REML)
- frequentist random effects models arose from analysis of variance models so generally some simplification in Σ !



One Way Anova Random Effects Model

- ullet Consider Balance data so that $n_1=n_2=\dots=n_J=r$ and n=rJ
- design matrix $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{1}_n$
- ullet covariance for random effects is $oldsymbol{\Sigma} = \sigma_{lpha}^2 \mathbf{I}_J$
- matrix ${f Z}$ is n imes J

$$\mathbf{Z} = egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_r & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_r & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{1}_r \end{pmatrix}$$

Covariance

$$\mathbf{V} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{Z}^T = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n + \sigma_{lpha}^2 \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}^T = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n + \sigma_{lpha}^2 r \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Z}}$$



MLEs for One-Way Random Effects Model

- Model $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{1}_n \beta + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V})$
- Since $C(\mathbf{VX}) \subset C(\mathbf{X})$, the GLS of $m{\beta}$ is the same as the OLS of $m{\beta}$ in this case

$$\hat{eta}=ar{y}_{\cdot\cdot}=\sum_{j=1}^J\sum_{i=1}^ry_{ij}/n$$

- ullet We need the determinant and inverse of ${f V}$ to get the MLEs for σ^2 and σ^2_lpha
- note that ${f V}$ is block diagonal with blocks $\sigma^2{f I}_r+\sigma^2_{\alpha}r{f P}_{{f I}_r}$ (use eigenvalues based on svd of ${f P}_{{f I}_r}$ and ${f I}_r$)
- ullet determinant of ${f V}$ is the product of determinants of blocks ${\sigma^2}^n(1+r\sigma_lpha^2/\sigma^2)^J$
- find inverse of \mathbf{V} via Woodbury identity (or svd of projections/eigenvalues)

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1} = rac{1}{\sigma^2}igg(\mathbf{I}_n - rac{r\sigma_lpha^2}{\sigma^2 + r\sigma_lpha^2}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{Z}igg)$$



Log likelihood

• plug in $\hat{\beta}$

$$egin{aligned} \log L(\sigma^2,\sigma_{lpha}^2) &= -rac{1}{2} \log |V| - rac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}_n ar{y})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}_n ar{y}) \ &= -rac{1}{2} \log |V| - rac{1}{2} \mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}_n}) \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}_n}) \mathbf{Y} \ &= -rac{J(r-1)}{2} \log \sigma^2 - rac{J}{2} \log (\sigma^2 + r \sigma_{lpha}^2) \ &- rac{1}{2\sigma^2} igg(\mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}_n}) (\mathbf{I}_n - rac{r \sigma_{lpha}^2}{\sigma^2 + r \sigma_{lpha}^2} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Z}}) (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}_n}) \mathbf{Y} igg) \ &= -rac{J(r-1)}{2} \log \sigma^2 - rac{J}{2} \log (\sigma^2 + r \sigma_{lpha}^2) \ &- rac{1}{2\sigma^2} igg(\mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}_n}) \left(rac{\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n + r \sigma_{lpha}^2 (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Z}})}{\sigma^2 + r \sigma_{lpha}^2}
ight) (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}_n}) \mathbf{Y} igg) \end{aligned}$$



MLEs

- Simplify using Properties of Projections; ie $(\mathbf{I}_n \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{I}_n})(\mathbf{I}_n \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Z}})$ to rewrite in terms of familiar $\mathsf{SSE} = \mathbf{Y}^T(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Z}})\mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathsf{SST} = \mathbf{Y}^T(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{I}_n})\mathbf{Y}$ based on the fixed effects one-way anova model
- take derivatives and solve for MLEs (some alegebra involved!)
- MLE of σ^2 is $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \mathsf{MSE} = \mathsf{SSE}/(n-J)$
- MLE of σ_{lpha}^2 is

$$\hat{\sigma}_{lpha}^2 = rac{rac{\mathsf{SST}}{J} - \mathsf{MSE}}{n}$$

but this is true only if $\mathsf{MSE} < \mathsf{SST}/J$ otherwise the mode is on the boundary and $\hat{\sigma}_\alpha^2 = 0$



Comments

For the One-Way model (and HW) we can find MLEs in closed form - but several approaches to simplify the algebra

- steps outlined here (via the stacked approach more general)
- treating the response as a matrix and using the matrix normal distribution with the mean function and covariance via Kronecker transformations (lab)
 - extends to other balanced ANOVA models
- simplify the problem based on summary statistics i.e. the distributions in terms of SSE. (Gamma) and the sample means (Normal) and integrate out random effects (Approach in Box & Hill for Bayesian solution)
 - easiest imho for the one-way model

For more general problems we may need iterative methods to find MLEs (alternating between conditional MLE of β and MLE of Σ) (Gauss-Siedel optimization)



Best Linear Prediction

Given a linear model with $\mathbf{E}[Y^*] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ with or without correlation structure, we can predict a new observation Y^* at \mathbf{x} as $\mathbf{x}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is the OLS or GLS of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

but if Y* and Y are correlated we can do better!

▼ Theorem: Christensen 6.3.4; Sec 12.2

Let \mathbf{Y} and Y^* be random variables with the following moments

$$egin{aligned} \mathsf{E}[\mathbf{Y}] &= \mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta} & \mathsf{E}[\mathbf{Y}^*] &= \mathbf{x}^Toldsymbol{eta} \ \mathsf{Var}[\mathbf{Y}] &= \mathbf{V} & \mathsf{Cov}[\mathbf{Y},Y^*] &= \psi \end{aligned}$$

Then the best linear predictor of Y^* given $\mathbf Y$ is

$$\mathsf{E}[Y^* \mid \mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{x}^T \hat{oldsymbol{eta}} + \delta(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{oldsymbol{eta}})$$

where $\delta = \mathbf{V}^{-1}\psi$



Best Linear Unbiased Prediction

To go from BLPs to BLUPs we need to estimate the unknown parameters in the model $oldsymbol{eta}$



Mixed Model Equations via Bayes Rule

The mixed model equations are the normal equations for the mixed effects model and provide both BLUEs and BLUPs

Consider the model

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{Y} &\sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{W}oldsymbol{ heta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I}_n) \ \mathbf{W} &= [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}] \ oldsymbol{ heta} &= [oldsymbol{eta}^T, oldsymbol{lpha}^T] \end{aligned}$$

- estimate $m{ heta}$ using Bayes with the prior $m{ heta}\sim \mathsf{N}(m{0},\Omega)$ where $\Omega=egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_p/\kappa & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\Sigma} \end{pmatrix}$
- posterior mean of $oldsymbol{ heta}$

$$\hat{m{ heta}} = egin{pmatrix} \hat{m{eta}} \ \hat{m{lpha}} \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}/\sigma^2 + \kappa\mathbf{I}_p & \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{Z}/\sigma^2 \ \mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{X}/\sigma^2 & \mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{Z} + m{\Sigma}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{Y}/\sigma^2 \ \mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{Y}/\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$



BLUEs and BLUPs via Bayes Rule

- ullet take the limiting prior with $\kappa o 0$ and $oldsymbol{\Sigma} o oldsymbol{0}$ to get the mixed model equations
- ullet The BLUE of $oldsymbol{eta}$ and BLUP of $oldsymbol{lpha}$ satisfy the limiting form of the posterior mean of $oldsymbol{ heta}$

$$\hat{m{ heta}} = egin{pmatrix} \hat{m{eta}} \ \hat{m{lpha}} \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}/\sigma^2 & \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{Z}/\sigma^2 \ \mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{X}/\sigma^2 & \mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{Y}/\sigma^2 \ \mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{Y}/\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- see Christensen Sec 12.3 for details
- the mixed model equations have computational advantages over the usual GLS espression for $m{\beta}$ as it avoids inverting ${f V}\,n imes n$ and instead we are inverting p+q matrix!
- related to spatial kriging and Gaussian Process Regression



Other Questions

- How do you decide what is a random effect or fixed effect?
- Design structure is often important
- What if the means are not normal? Extensions to Generalized Linear Models
- what if random effects are not normal? (Mixtures of Normals, Bayes...)
- more examples in Case Studies next semester!
- for more in depth treatment take STA 610

