

Generalized Least Squares, BLUES & BUES

STA 721: Lecture 6

Merlise Clyde (clyde@duke.edu)

Duke University



Outline

- General Least Squares and MLEs
- Gauss-Markov Theorem & BLUEs
- MVUE

Readings:

- Christensen Chapter 2 and 10 (Appendix B as needed)
- Seber & Lee Chapter 3



Other Error Distributions

Model:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \mathbf{E}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \mathbf{0}_n$$
$$\text{Cov}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}$$

where σ^2 is a scalar and \mathbf{V} is a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix

Examples:

- Heteroscedasticity: \mathbf{V} is a diagonal matrix with $[\mathbf{V}]_{ii} = v_i$
 - $v_i = 1/n_i$ if y_i is the mean of n_i observations
 - survey weights or propagation of measurement errors in physics models
- Correlated data:
 - time series; first order auto-regressive model with equally spaced data
 $\text{Cov}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}$, where $v_{ij} = \rho^{|i-j|}$.
- Hierarchical models with random effects



OLS under a General Covariance

- Is it still unbiased? What's its variance? Is it still the BLUE?

- Unbiasedness of $\hat{\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\beta}] &= E[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}] \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T E[\mathbf{Y}] = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T E[\mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon] \\ &= \beta + \mathbf{0}_p = \beta \end{aligned}$$

- Covariance of $\hat{\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[\hat{\beta}] &= \text{Cov}[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}] \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \text{Cov}[\mathbf{Y}] \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

- Not necessarily $\sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ unless \mathbf{V} has a special form



GLS via Whitening

Transform the data and reduce problem to one we have solved!

- For $\mathbf{V} > 0$ use the Spectral Decomposition

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{U}^T$$

- define the symmetric square root of \mathbf{V} as

$$\mathbf{V}^{1/2} \equiv \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{U}^T$$

- transform model:

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$$

- Since $\text{Cov}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^{-1/2} = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}_n$, we know that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathbf{V}} \equiv (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T\tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ is the BLUE for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ based on $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ (\mathbf{X} full rank)



GLS

- If \mathbf{V} is known, then $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ and \mathbf{Y} are known linear transformations of each other
- any estimator of β that is linear in \mathbf{Y} is linear in $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ and vice versa from previous results
- $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$ is the BLUE of β based on either $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ or \mathbf{Y} !
- Substituting back, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}} &= (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{Y} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}\end{aligned}$$

which is the **Generalized Least Squares Estimator** of β

▼ Exercise: Weighted Regression

Consider the model $\mathbf{Y} = \beta \mathbf{x} + \epsilon$ where $\text{Cov}[\epsilon]$ is a known diagonal matrix \mathbf{V} . Write out the GLS estimator in terms of sums and interpret.

<https://sta721-f24.github.io/website/>



GLS of μ (Full Rank Case)[†]

- the OLS/MLE of $\mu \in C(\mathbf{X})$ with transformed variables is

$$\mathbf{P}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$$

- since \mathbf{V} is positive definite, multiple thru by $\mathbf{V}^{1/2}$, to show that $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$ is a GLS/MLE estimator of β iff

$$\mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$$

- Is $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}} \equiv \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1}$ a projection onto $C(\mathbf{X})$? Is it an orthogonal projection onto $C(\mathbf{X})$?



Projections

We want to show that $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}} \equiv \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1}$ is a projection onto $C(\mathbf{X})$



Oblique Projections

▼ Proposition: Projection

The $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}} \equiv \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1}$ is a projection onto the $C(\mathbf{X})$

- Show that $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}}^2 = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ (idempotent)
- every vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ may be written as $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}$ where $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{m}$ and $(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}}) \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{n}$ where $\mathbf{m} \in C(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}})$ and $\mathbf{u} \in N(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}})$
- Is $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ an orthogonal projection onto $C(\mathbf{X})$ for the inner product space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{u})$?

▼ Definition: Oblique Projection

For the inner product space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{u})$, a projection \mathbf{P} that is not an orthogonal projection is called an *oblique projection*



Loss Function

The GLS estimator minimizes the following generalized squared error loss:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 &= (\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{\mathbf{V}^{-1}}^2\end{aligned}$$

where we can change the inner product to be

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^{-1}} \equiv \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{v}$$



Orthogonality in an Inner Product Space

▼ Definition: Orthogonal Projection

For an inner product space, $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. The projection \mathbf{P} is an orthogonal projection if for every vector \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\langle \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}, (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$$

Equivalently:

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$$

▼ Exercise

Show that $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ is an orthogonal projection under the inner product $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}^{-1}} \equiv \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$



Variance of GLS

- Variance of the GLS estimator $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$ is much simpler

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Cov}[\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}] &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \text{Cov}[\mathbf{Y}] \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}) (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

▼ Theorem: Gauss-Markov-Aitkin

Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be a linear unbiased estimator of β and $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the GLS estimator of β in the linear model $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon$ with $\mathbf{E}[\epsilon] = \mathbf{0}_n$ and $\text{Cov}[\epsilon] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}$ with \mathbf{X} and $\mathbf{V} > 0$ known. Then $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$ is the BLUE where

$$\text{Cov}[\tilde{\beta}] \geq \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} = \text{Cov}[\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}]$$



When will OLS and GLS be Equal?

- For what covariance matrices \mathbf{V} will the OLS and GLS estimators be the same?
- Figuring this out can help us understand why the GLS estimator has a lower variance in general.

▼ Theorem

The estimators $\hat{\beta}$ (OLS) and $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$ (GLS) are the same for all $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ iff

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Psi}\mathbf{X}^T + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{H}^T$$

for some positive definite matrices $\mathbf{\Psi}$ and $\mathbf{\Phi}$ and a matrix \mathbf{H} such that $\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$.



Outline of Proof

We need to show that $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$ are the same for all \mathbf{Y} . Since both \mathbf{P} and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ are projections onto $C(\mathbf{X})$, $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}}$ will be the same iff

1. Show that $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ iff $C(\mathbf{X}) = C(\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})$
2. Show that $C(\mathbf{X}) = C(\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})$ iff $C(\mathbf{X}) = C(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{X})$
3. Show that $C(\mathbf{X}) = C(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{V} can be written as

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{X}\Psi\mathbf{X}^T + \mathbf{H}\Phi\mathbf{H}^T$$

See Proposition 2.7.5 and Proof in Christensen

▼ Corollary

Suppose $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{X}\Psi\mathbf{X}^T + \mathbf{\Omega}$. Then $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{V}} = \hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{\Omega}}$



Some Intuition

For the linear model $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ with $\mathbf{E}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \mathbf{0}_n$ and $\text{Cov}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}$, we can always write

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} &= \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_X + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_N\end{aligned}$$

- we can recover $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_N$ from the data \mathbf{Y} but not $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_X$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_X + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_N) \\ &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_X = \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{Y} &= \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_N = \hat{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{e}\end{aligned}$$

- Can $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_N$ help us estimate $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$? What if $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_N$ could tell us something about $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_X$?
- Yes if they were highly correlated! But if they were independent or uncorrelated then knowing $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_N$ doesn't help us!



Intuition Continued

- For what matrices are ϵ_X and ϵ_N uncorrelated?
- Under $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}_n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[\epsilon_X \epsilon_N] &= \mathbf{P} \mathbf{E}[\epsilon \epsilon^T] (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \\ &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{P} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

so they are uncorrelated

- For the \mathbf{V} in the theorem, introduce
 - \mathbf{Z}_X where $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Z}_X] = \mathbf{0}_n$ and $\text{Cov}[\mathbf{Z}_X] = \Psi$
 - \mathbf{Z}_N where $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Z}_N] = \mathbf{0}_n$ and $\text{Cov}[\mathbf{Z}_N] = \Phi$
 - \mathbf{Z}_X and \mathbf{Z}_N are uncorrelated, $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Z}_X \mathbf{Z}_N] = \mathbf{0}$
 - $\epsilon = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Z}_X + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Z}_N$ so that ϵ has the desired mean and covariance \mathbf{V} in the theorem



Intuition Continued

As a consequence we have

- $\epsilon_X = \mathbf{P}\epsilon = \mathbf{XZ}_X - \epsilon_N = (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P})\epsilon = \mathbf{HZ}_N - \epsilon_X$ and ϵ_N are uncorrelated

$$\begin{aligned} E[\epsilon_X \epsilon_N] &= E[\mathbf{XZ}_X \mathbf{Z}_N^T \mathbf{H}^T] \\ &= \mathbf{X} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{H}^T \\ &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

- so that ϵ_X and ϵ_N are uncorrelated with $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{X}\Psi\mathbf{X}^T + \mathbf{H}\Phi\mathbf{H}^T$
- Alternative Statement of Theorem: $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_V$ for all \mathbf{Y} under $\text{Cov}[\mathbf{Y}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}$ iff $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{Y}$ and $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{Y}$ are uncorrelated

