

Questionnaire II

* Required

1. Email address *

2. The cognitive effort required to understand the JavaMOP spec and the lightweight specification are the same *

Mark only one oval.

1 2 3 4 5

Strongly Disagree ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ Strongly Agree

3. The specification in JavaMOP is easier to read/understand when compared with the lightweight specification *

Mark only one oval.

1 2 3 4 5

Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree

4. The lightweight specification is easier to read/understand when compared with the specification in JavaMOP *

Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree

5. After reading the formal specification in JavaMOP for the properties assigned to me I consider that my specification in the lightweight language will be able to reveal the same warnings during Runtime Verification *

Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree

6. After reading the formal specification in JavaMOP for the properties assigned to me I noticed aspects that were not covered by my specification in the lightweight language *

Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree

7. After reading the specifications in JavaMOP I consider that the lightweight language requires the addition of new features *

Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree

8. If you answered Agree (4) or Strongly Agree (5) in the previous question, please explain which features need to be added to the lightweight language and why *
