25. 5. 21. 오전 12:33 우창균 한국 장기 기사

## **Introduction: A Fundamental Question Rarely Asked**

It is a well-established consensus in modern astrophysics that the universe is expanding. Space itself is stretching, and every galaxy is moving away from the others. Yet, a stunning truth lies unnoticed: **no major observational effort has focused on measuring whether the quantum density per unit volume of space changes as the universe expands.** 

This seemingly basic question cuts to the very essence of the universe's trend-based structure. If we use non-expanding structural scales (such as meters, atoms, or wavelengths) to measure space and observe the frequency or density of free quanta over time, we might arrive at three major outcomes:

- **Decreasing Density**: Indicates the universe is heading toward trend dissipation, supporting theories like heat death or entropy extremity.
- **Increasing Density**: Suggests ongoing injection of trend information into the universe, supporting the theory of black holes manufacturing trend factors.
- **Constant Density**: Implies that the trend system remains self-balanced amid expansion, reflecting a stable dynamic equilibrium.

Any one of these outcomes would significantly impact prevailing cosmological models. Yet, this measurement remains largely absent from mainstream astrophysical priorities.

## Why Is This Question So Important?

- 1. **Our units of measurement are structural; the universe's expansion is spatial.** Units like meters, hertz, or electron-volts are based on fixed physical structures (e.g., atomic transitions, material oscillations). These standards do **not** expand with the universe.
- 2. Without measuring density change, we cannot determine whether trend factors are finite or injected. If trend factors—the basis of quanta—are finite, expansion will dilute them. If not, then a source must exist. In Trend Cosmology, this source is black holes.
- 3. This is a feasible, philosophically rich, and theoretically catalytic experiment. It is more observable than quantum gravity and more practical than tracing the universe's origin. It is a continuous, data-driven line of investigation with the potential to spark theoretical breakthroughs.

# A Challenge to the Current Scientific Framework

Despite over a century of advancements in astronomy—including spectroscopy, CMB analysis, redshift data, expansion rate calculations, and dark matter modeling—no research program has focused on:

- Continuously measuring the free quantum density in fixed volumes of space;
- Establishing a long-term database of "spatial tension vs. structural fluctuation";
- Estimating trend density across various redshift zones;

25. 5. 21. 오전 12:33 우창균 한국 장기 기사

Or even questioning the assumption that "background density is stable."

This is not due to lack of capacity, but due to **systemic intellectual inertia.** Science is stuck reinforcing its internal models instead of testing them against inconvenient truths.

## My Proposal: A Long-Term Trend Density Monitoring Program

I propose a dedicated observational initiative using stable structural reference units (such as interferometry with fixed wavelengths, atomic clock-coupled quantum counters, etc.) to monitor:

- 1. Statistical variation in "quantum events" per unit of vacuum space;
- 2. Frequency changes in spontaneous structural perturbations over time;
- 3. Long-term shifts in spatial tension density or background energy spectra.

This experiment does **not** require reaching the universe's edge or inventing wormhole navigation. It only requires us to **seriously, and consistently, measure whether space is becoming emptier or fuller**.

#### **Conclusion:**

The depth of a cosmological theory is not judged by the complexity of its equations, but by whether it asks the questions most others ignore.

The universe is expanding. Is the trend density within each unit of space decreasing, increasing, or staying constant?

This is not a philosophical toy. It should be a real, well-funded experiment.

Because only by daring to measure what has long been assumed can we discover that:

Perhaps the universe is not dying, But instead being **continually infused with structure** by an unseen trend mechanism.