Main Content

In traditional scientific processes, early validation of ideas relies heavily on formal models, equations, or empirical simulations. But for many creators, especially those working alone, such resources may not be available. This essay proposes a substitute:

> **"If two intelligent minds can explain, understand, and agree upon a concept through language alone—without ambiguity or contradiction—then the concept is logically valid enough to proceed."**

This is not a claim of truth, but a **test of internal coherence**.

We introduce this standard as a **linguistic validation framework**, which can act as an initial filter for determining whether a conceptual idea is worthy of deeper investigation by a research community or DAO.

This method emphasizes **linguistic clarity**, **mutual comprehension**, and **logical traceability**—three elements which often precede the creation of mathematical formalism.

Conclusion

This framework empowers individual theorists and thinkers to validate their ideas before formal modeling, and enables decentralized groups to create a standard for accepting and expanding new proposals without relying on traditional academic infrastructure.

We invite others to build on this principle and refine it further as a lightweight philosophical tool for collective intelligence systems.