# STAT 471/571/701 Modern Data Mining - HW 1

Group Member 1 Group Member 2 Group Member 3

Due: 11:59PM February 3, 2019

# Overview / Instructions

Homeworks can be done through a group, consisting of up to three members. Please find your group members as soon as possible from our canvas site.

All the works submitted should be done through r markdown format. Find RMarkdown cheat sheet here. For those who have never used it before we urge you to start this homework as soon as possible.

Submit a zip file containing the Rmd file, a PDF or HTML version, and all data files necessary with only 1 submission per HW team. You can directly edit this file to add your answers. If you intend to work on separate problems separately, compile your answers into 1 Rmd file before submitting. Additionally, ensure that you can 'knit' or compile your Rmd file. It is also likely that you need to configure Rstudio to properly convert files to PDF. These instructions should be helpful.

In general, be as concise as possible while giving a fully complete answer. All necessary data is available in the Data folder on Canvas. Make sure to document your code so the teaching fellows can follow along. R Markdown is particularly useful because it follows a 'stream of consciousness' approach: as you write code in a code chunk, make sure to explain what you are doing outside of the chunk.

A few good homework submitted will used as solutions. Make sure to compare your answers to and understand the solutions.

# Question 0

Review the code and concepts covered during lecture.

# Simple Regression

# Question 1

This exercise is designed to help you understand the linear model and see everything through simulations. We will generate  $(x_i, y_i)$  through a linear model set up. So that all linear model assumptions are met. Make sure to have clear labels in your plots together with sensible titles.

Presume that x and y are linearly related with a normal error, such that  $y = 1 + 1.2x + \epsilon$ . The standard deviation of the error is  $\sigma = 2$ .

Note: we can create a sample input vector (n = 40) for x with the following code:

```
x \leftarrow seq(0, 1, length = 40)
```

#### Q1.1 Generate data

Create a corresponding output vector for y according to the equation given above. Use set.seed(1). Then, create a scatterplot with (x, y) pairs. Base R plotting is acceptable, but if you can, attempt to use ggplot2 to create the plot.

#### Q1.2 Understand the model

- i. Find the LS estimates of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , using the lm() function. What are the true  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ ? Are the estimates seem to be good?
- ii. What is your RSE for this linear model fit? Is it close to  $\sigma = 2$ ?
- iii. What is the 95% confidence interval for  $\beta_1$ ? Does this confidence interval capture the true  $\beta_1$ ?
- iv. Overlay the LS estimates and the true lines of the mean function onto a copy of the scatterplot you made above.

### Q1.3 Model diagnoses

- i. Provide residual plot of x= fitted y, y = residuals.
- ii. Also provide a QQ-Normal plot of the residuals
- iii. Comment on how well the model assumptions are met for the sample you used.

#### Q1.4 Understand sampling distribution and confidence intervals

This part aims to help understand the notion of sampling statistics, confidence intervals. Let's concentrate on estimating the slope only.

Generate 100 samples of size n = 40, and estimate the slope coefficient from each sample. We include some sample code below, which should aim you in setting up the simulation. Note: this code is written clearly but suboptimally; see the appendix for a more R-like way to do this simulation.

```
x \leftarrow seq(0, 1, length = 40)
n sim <- 100
b1 <- numeric(n sim)
                        # nsim many LS estimates of beta1 (=1.2)
upper_ci <- numeric(n_sim) # upper bound</pre>
lower_ci <- numeric(n_sim) # lower bound</pre>
t_star <- qt(0.975, 38)
# Carry out the simulation
for (i in 1:n_sim){
  y \leftarrow 1 + 1.2 * x + rnorm(40, sd = 2)
  lse <-lm(y ~x)
  lse_out <- summary(lse)$coefficients</pre>
  se <- lse_out[2, 2]
  b1[i] <- lse_out[2, 1]
  upper ci[i] <- b1[i] + t star * se
  lower_ci[i] <- b1[i] - t_star * se
results <- cbind(se, b1, upper_ci, lower_ci)
rm(se, b1, upper ci, lower ci, x, n sim, b1, t star, lse, lse out)
```

- i. Summarize the LS estimates of  $\beta_1$  (in the above, results\$b1). Does the sampling distribution agree with the theory?
- ii. How many times do your 95% confidence intervals cover the true  $\beta_1$ ? Display your confidence intervals graphically.

# Question 2

This question is about Major League Baseball (MLB) and payrolls - how do salaries paid affect wins? How could we model win propensity?

We have put together a data set consisting of the winning records and the payroll of all 30 MLB teams from 1998 to 2014. The variables include the aggregated percentage of wins over the 17-year period, total payroll (in billions), winning percentage and payroll (in millions) broken down for each year. The data is stored as MLPayData\_Total.csv on Canvas.

```
# salary <- ...
```

## Q2.1 Exploratory questions

For each of the following questions, there is a dplyr solution that you should try to answer with.

- i. Which 5 teams spent the most total money between in years 2000 through 2004?
- ii. Between 1999 and 2000, which team(s) "improved" the most? That is, had the biggest percentage gain in wins.
- iii. Using ggplot, pick a single year, and plot the games won vs payroll for that year (payroll on x-axis). You may use any 'geom' that makes sense, such as a scatterpoint or a label with the point's corresponding team name.

#### Q2.2

For a given year, is payroll a significant variable to predict the winning percentage of that year? Choose a single year and run a regression to examine this. You may try this for a few different years. You can do this pro grammatically (i.e. for every year) if you are interested, but it is not required.

## Q2.3

With this aggregated information, use regression to analyze total payroll and overall winning percentage. Run appropriate model(s) to answer the following questions:

- i. In this analysis do the Boston Red Sox perform reasonably well given their total payroll? [Use a 95% interval.]
- ii. In view of their winning percentage, how much payroll should the Oakland A's have spent? [Use a 95% interval.]

# Multiple Regression

# Question 3:

Auto data from ISLR. The original data contains 408 observations about cars. It has some similarity as the data CARS that we use in our lectures. To get the data, first install the package ISLR. The data Auto should be loaded automatically. We use this case to go through methods learnt so far.

You can access the necessary data with the following code:

```
auto_data <- ISLR::Auto
```

Get familiar with this dataset first. You can use ?ISLR::Auto to view a description of the dataset.

#### Q3.1

Explore the data, with particular focus on pairwise plots and summary statistics. Briefly summarize your findings and any peculiarities in the data.

#### Q3.2

What effect does time have on MPG?

- i. Start with a simple regression of mpg vs. year and report R's summary output. Is year a significant variable at the .05 level? State what effect year has on mpg, if any, according to this model.
- ii. Add horsepower on top of the variable year. Is year still a significant variable at the .05 level? Give a precise interpretation of the year effect found here.
- iii. The two 95% CI's for the coefficient of year differ among i) and ii). How would you explain the difference to a non-statistician?
- iv. Do a model with interaction by fitting lm(mpg ~ year \* horsepower). Is the interaction effect significant at .05 level? Explain the year effect (if any).

### Q3.3

Remember that the same variable can play different roles! Take a quick look at the variable cylinders, try to use this variable in the following analyses wisely. We all agree that larger number of cylinder will lower mpg. However, we can interpret cylinders as either a continuous (numeric) variable or a categorical variable.

- i. Fit a model, that treats cylinders as a continuous/numeric variable: lm(mpg ~ horsepower + cylinders, ISLR::Auto). Is cylinders significant at the 0.01 level? What effect does cylinders play in this model?
- ii. Fit a model that treats cylinders as a categorical/factor variable: lm(mpg ~ horsepower + as.factor(cylinders), ISLR::Auto). Is cylinders significant at the .01 level? What is the effect of cylinders in this model? Use anova(fit1, fit2) and Anova(fit2)to help gauge the effect. Explain the difference betweenanova()andAnova'.
- iii. What are the fundamental differences between treating cylinders as a numeric and or a factor models?

#### Q3.4

Final modelling question: we want to explore the effects of each feature as best as possible. You may explore interactions, feature transformations, higher order terms, or other strategies within reason. The model(s) should be as parsimonious (simple) as possible unless the gain in accuracy is significant from your point of view.

- i. Describe the final model. Include diagnostic plots with particular focus on the model residuals and diagnoses.
- ii. Summarize the effects found.
- iii. Predict the mpg of a car that is: built in 1983, in US, red, 180 inches long, 8 cylinders, 350 displacement, 260 as horsepower and weighs 4000 pounds. Give a 95% CI.

# **Appendix**

This is code that is roughly equivalent to what we provide above in Question 2 (simulations).

```
simulate_lm <- function(n) {
    # note: `n` is an input but not used (don't worry about this hack)
    x <- seq(0, 1, length = 40)
    y <- 1 + 1.2 * x + rnorm(40, sd = 2)
    t_star <- qt(0.975, 38)
    lse <- lm(y ~ x)
    lse_out <- summary(lse)$coefficients
    se <- lse_out[2, 2]
    b1 <- lse_out[2, 1]
    upper_CI = b1 + t_star * se
    lower_CI = b1 - t_star * se
    return(data.frame(se, b1, upper_CI, lower_CI))
}

# this step runs the simulation 100 times,
# then matrix transposes the result so rows are observations
sim_results <- data.frame(t(sapply(X = 1:100, FUN = simulate_lm)))</pre>
```