

P0361R1

Invoking Algorithms Asynchronously

Hartmut Kaiser, Thomas Heller, Bryce Adelstein Lelbach,
John Biddiscombe, Michael Wong

Motivation

- Parallel algorithms in C++17 are synchronous
 - Fork/join, imposes implicit barrier
 - Introduces implicit barrier impeding parallel efficiency
- No means of controlling when and how this barrier is imposed
- This paper introduces asynchronous algorithms
 - Algorithms return a future
 - Does not remove barrier, but allows to overlap the ‘tapering off’ with other work

New Execution Policies

- Extensions: asynchronous execution policies
 - `parallel_task_execution_policy` (asynchronous version of `parallel_execution_policy`), generated with `par(task)`
 - `sequential_task_execution_policy` (asynchronous version of `sequential_execution_policy`), generated with `seq(task)`
 - `parallel_unsequenced_task_execution_policy` (asynchronous version of `parallel_unsequenced_execution_policy`), generated with `par_unseq(task)`
- In all cases the formerly synchronous functions return a `future<>` representing the overall result
- Instruct the parallel construct to be executed asynchronously
- Allows integration with asynchronous control flow

Example

```
using namespace std::experimental::parallel::v1;
std::vector<int> data = { ... };

// NEW: asynchronous, sequential execution
std::future<void> f1 = sort(seq(task), data.begin(), data.end());
// ... perform other work
f1.get(); // synchronize with the asynchronous sequential sort()

// NEW: asynchronous execution, allow for parallelization of the algorithm
std::future<void> f2 = sort(par(task), data.begin(), data.end());
// ... perform other work
f2.get(); // synchronize with the asynchronous parallel sort()

// NEW: asynchronous execution, allow for parallelization and vectorization
// of the algorithm
std::future<void> f3 = sort(par_unseq(task), data.begin(), data.end());
// ... perform other work
f3.get(); // synchronize with the asynchronous parallel vectorized sort()
```

Extending Parallel Algorithms

- New algorithm: gather

```
template <typename BiIter, typename Pred>
pair<BiIter, BiIter> gather(BiIter f, BiIter l, BiIter p, Pred pred)
{
    BiIter it1 = stable_partition(f, p, not1(pred));
    BiIter it2 = stable_partition(p, l, pred);
    return make_pair(it1, it2);
}
```

Sean Parent: C++ Seasoning, Going Native 2013


Extending Parallel Algorithms (await)

- New algorithm: `gather_async`

```
template <typename BiIter, typename Pred>
future<pair<BiIter, BiIter>> gather_async(BiIter f, BiIter l, BiIter p, Pred pred)
{
    future<BiIter> f1 = parallel::stable_partition(par(task), f, p, not1(pred));
    future<BiIter> f2 = parallel::stable_partition(par(task), p, l, pred);
    co_return make_pair(co_await f1, co_await f2);
}
```

Implementation

- Implementation experience in HPX
- Use experience for 2 years in large scientific applications
- Has shown to help improving parallel efficiency of applications by factor of 2
 - Figure shows the utilization of cores in a time-step based stencil code (~2000 distributed nodes), comparing synchronous and asynchronous operation
 - Nice overlap of computation and communication, etc.



Discussion

- Use separate overloads for asynchronous algorithms instead of new execution policies
- Rationale:
 - Asynchronous algorithms may need different set of algorithms
- This is certainly a possible solution
 - Prevents generic programming
 - In our implementation we have seen no need for different set of arguments

Discussion

- Do not introduce asynchronous algorithms now as those may be subsumed by core language functionalities (such as suspendable functions, see [P0071R2]) which are currently proposed and under discussion.
 - Asynchronous algorithms are a feature requested by several people in SG1 and SG14.
 - We have solid implementation and usage experience
- The suspendable functions proposal without any doubt has merit and may partially or fully subsume the features proposed
 - Requires compiler support
 - Unclear if and when available
 - No implementation experience
- We would rather move forward with an existing and proven solution now to give users more experience with possible implementations

Discussion

- Align asynchronous algorithms with networking TS
 - Additional parameter to decide whether to return a future or directly pass a continuation function
- Asynchrony is much more than just attaching one continuation
 - Building dependency graphs for better resource utilization
- Very limited added functionality with significant implementation overhead

