

Computational Statistics 732A90 – Fall 2023 Computer Lab 2

Krzysztof Bartoszek, Bayu Brahmantio, Frank Miller, Héctor Rodriguez Déniz Department of Computer and Information Science (IDA), Linköpings University

November 7, 2023

This computer laboratory is part of the examination for the Computational Statistics course. Create a group report, (that is directly presentable, if you are a presenting group), on the solutions to the lab as a .PDF file. Be concise and do not include unnecessary printouts and figures produced by the software and not required in the assignments.

All R code should be included as an appendix into your report.

A typical lab report should 2-4 pages of text plus some amount of figures plus appendix with codes. In the report reference all consulted sources and disclose all collaborations.

The report should be handed in via LISAM (or alternatively in case of problems by email), by **23:59 14** November **2023** at latest. Notice there is a deadline for corrections **23:59 21 January 2024** and a final deadline of **23:59 11 February 2024** after which no submissions nor corrections will be considered and you will have to redo the missing labs next year. The seminar for this lab will take place **22 November 2023**.

The report has to be written in English.

Question 1: Optimisation of a two-dimensional function

Consider the function

$$g(x,y) = -x^2 - x^2y^2 - 2xy + 2x + 2.$$

It is desired to determine the point $(x, y), x, y \in [-3, 3]$, where the function is maximized.

- a. Derive the gradient and the Hessian matrix in dependence of x, y. Produce a contour plot of the function g.
- b. Write an own algorithm based on the Newton method in order to find a local maximum of g.
- c. Use different starting values: use the three points (x,y) = (2,0), (-1,-2), (0,1) and a fourth point of your choice. Describe what happens when you run your algorithm for each of those starting values. If your algorithm converges to points (x,y), compute the gradient and the Hessian matrix at these points and decide about local maximum, minimum, saddle point, or neither of it. Did you find a global maximum for $x, y \in [-3, 3]$?
- d. What would be the advantages and disadvantages when you would run a steepest ascent algorithm instead of the Newton algorithm?

Question 2

Three doses $(0.1, 0.3, \text{ and } 0.9 \ g)$ of a drug and placebo $(0 \ g)$ are tested in a study. A dose-dependent event is recorded afterwards. The data of n = 10 subjects is shown in Table 1; x_i is the dose in gram; $y_i = 1$ if the event occurred, $y_i = 0$ otherwise.

$\overline{x_i}$	in g	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.9	0.9
y_i		0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1

Table 1: Data for Question 2

You should fit a simple logistic regression

$$p(x) = P(Y = 1|x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\beta_0 - \beta_1 x)}$$

to the data, i.e. estimate β_0 and β_1 . One can show that the log likelihood is

$$g(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i \log\{ (1 + \exp(-\beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i))^{-1} \} + (1 - y_i) \log\{ (1 - (1 + \exp(-\beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i))^{-1} \} \right]$$

where $\mathbf{b} = (\beta_0, \beta_1)^T$ and the gradient is

$$\mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ y_i - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

- a. Write a function for an ML-estimator for (β_0, β_1) using the steepest ascent method with a step-size reducing line search (back-tracking). For this, you can use and modify the code for the steepest ascent example from the lecture. The function should count the number of function and gradient evaluations.
- b. Compute the ML-estimator with the function from a. for the data (x_i, y_i) above. Use a stopping criterion such that you can trust five digits of both parameter estimates for β_0 and β_1 . Use the starting value $(\beta_0, \beta_1) = (-0.2, 1)$. The exact way to use backtracking can be varied. Try two variants and compare number of function and gradient evaluation done until convergence.
- c. Use now the function optim with both the BFGS and the Nelder-Mead algorithm. Do you obtain the same results compared with b.? Is there any difference in the precision of the result? Compare the number of function and gradient evaluations which are given in the standard output of optim.
- d. Use the function glm in R to obtain an ML-solution and compare it with your results before.