

Machine Learning for Industry

Lecture 1 - Introduction to ML and Regression

Mattias Villani

Department of Computer and Information Science
Linköping University

Department of Statistics
Stockholm University



Course overview

- Topic 1 - Basic ML and Regularized regression
- Topic 2 - Classification and Unsupervised learning
- Topic 3 - Neural networks and Deep learning
- Topic 4 - Reinforcement learning

Topic 1 - Basic ML and regularized regression

■ Lecture block 1 - Basic ML and Regularized regression

- ▶ Intro to Machine Learning
- ▶ Linear and Nonlinear Regression
- ▶ Bias-Variance trade-off
- ▶ Regularization

■ Lecture block 2 - Regression trees and extensions

- ▶ Regression trees
- ▶ Random forest
- ▶ Boosted tree ensembles

Topic 2 - Classification and unsupervised learning

■ Lecture block 3 - Classification

- ▶ Likelihood and Bayesian learning
- ▶ Discriminative classification models
- ▶ Generative classification models

■ Lecture block 4 - Unsupervised learning

- ▶ Clustering methods
- ▶ Mixture models
- ▶ Visualizing data using t-SNE

What is machine learning?

- **Machine learning** is the scientific study of algorithms and statistical models that computer systems use to perform a specific task without using explicit instructions, relying on patterns and inference instead.
- It is seen as a subset of **artificial intelligence**. Machine learning algorithms build a mathematical model based on sample data to make predictions or decisions.
- Machine learning is closely related to **computational statistics**.
- **Data mining** is a field of study within machine learning, and focuses on exploratory data analysis through unsupervised learning.
- In its application across business problems, machine learning is also referred to as **predictive analytics**.
- **Data science?**

Wikipedia (Nov 2, 2019).



Labeling distinctions

■ Availability of labels

- ▶ **Supervised learning**: labeled training data.
- ▶ **Unsupervised learning**: unlabeled training data.
- ▶ **Semi-supervised learning**: labels for a subset of training data
- ▶ **Reinforcement learning**: sequential learning with incremental rewards for good behavior.

■ Types of labels

- ▶ **Regression** - labels are real numbers
- ▶ **Classification** - labels are discrete



Data distinctions

- **Regression** data
 - ▶ Real valued
 - ▶ Counts
 - ▶ Proportions
- **Categorical** data (binary, multi-class)
- **Time series** (sensor data over time)
- **Spatial data** (sensors at different locations, images)
- **Survival data** (lifetime of hard drives)
- **Longitudinal data** (one short time series for many objects)
- Specialized structure
 - ▶ **Images**
 - ▶ **Text**
 - ▶ **Sound**
 - ▶ ...

Modeling distinctions

■ Approach

- ▶ Probabilistic
- ▶ Algorithmic

■ Type of probability model

- ▶ Generative
- ▶ Discriminative


Why probability models?

- Probability models and statistical inference is a **framework**.
- Principled **way to think** about any problem in ML.
- Can better **evaluated** and critiqued.
- **Quantify uncertainties**. Crucial for **Decision making**.

*As robotics is now moving into the open world, the issue of **uncertainty** has become a major stumbling block for the design of capable robot systems. Managing uncertainty is possibly the most important step towards robust real-world robot systems.*

from the book Probabilistic Robotics by Thrun et al.

The machine learning work flow



Regression

- **Continuous response** data: y .
- Explanatory variables, **features**, covariates: x_1, \dots, x_p
- **Linear regression** with Gaussian (normal) errors

$$y = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_p x_p + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2).$$

- For a sample of n data points

$$\underset{(n \times 1)}{\mathbf{y}} = \underset{(n \times (p+1))}{\mathbf{X}} \underset{((p+1) \times 1)}{\mathbf{w}} + \underset{(n \times 1)}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}},$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$, $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_p)^T$ etc.


- The **Least Squares (LS)** estimator

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$


minimizes the sum of squared errors (**SSE**)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{w})^2 = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}).$$

Bike share data




Bike share data



Linear regression in scikit-learn

```
from sklearn import linear_model
regModel = linear_model.LinearRegression()
regModel.fit(X = X, y = y)
print(regModel.coef_)
```



Prediction in Regression

- **Prediction** for a new set of features: $\mathbf{x}^* = (x_1^*, \dots, x_p^*)^T$

$$\hat{y}_* = \hat{w}_0 + \hat{w}_1 x_1^* + \dots + \hat{w}_p x_p^*.$$

- Also possible to derive 95% **prediction intervals**

$$\hat{y}_* \pm 1.96 \cdot s_{\hat{y}_*},$$

where the formula for **prediction standard error**, $s_{\hat{y}_*}$, is given in standard statistics textbooks.

- In more complex models: use simulation to get intervals (see also Bayesian learning later in the course).
- Prediction method for `sklearn` model objects

```
regModel.predict(Xnew)
```

where `Xnew` is a $n_{\text{pred}} \times (p + 1)$ matrix with n_{pred} new examples.


Polynomial regression in scikit-learn

Polynomial regression

$$y = w_0 + w_1x + w_2x^2 + \dots + w_px^p + \varepsilon, \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2).$$

Linear in the weights. LS applies. Features: $(1, x, x^2, \dots, x^p)$.

```
from sklearn import linear_model
from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures
regModel = linear_model.LinearRegression()
poly = PolynomialFeatures(degree=3, include_bias=False)
XBasis = poly.fit_transform(X)
regModel.fit(X = XBasis, y = y)
```



Feature engineering

- Not all data comes as a nice $n \times p$ matrix.
- Image, text, sound.
- Categorical variables. 'man'/'woman'. seasons.
- `sklearn.preprocessing.OneHotEncoder()`
- Basis functions.
 - ▶ Polynomial x^2, x^3, \dots
 - ▶ Splines (local polynomials)
 - ▶ Interactions, $x_1 x_2$.
- Transformations.
 - ▶ $\log(y)$ for positive data
 - ▶ $\text{logit}(y) = \log(y/(1 - y))$ for proportions.
- Missing data.
- See PDSH - Feature construction.

Bike share data - categorical variables

	dteday	weekday	workingday	weathersit	feelTemp	hum	windspeed	nRides
0	2011-01-01	6	0	2	0.363625	0.805833	0.160446	985
1	2011-01-02	0	0	2	0.353739	0.696087	0.248539	801
2	2011-01-03	1	1	1	0.189405	0.437273	0.248309	1349
3	2011-01-04	2	1	1	0.212122	0.590435	0.160296	1562
4	2011-01-05	3	1	1	0.229270	0.436957	0.186900	1600
5	2011-01-06	4	1	1	0.233209	0.518261	0.089565	1606
6	2011-01-07	5	1	2	0.208839	0.498696	0.168726	1510
7	2011-01-08	6	0	2	0.162254	0.535833	0.266804	959
8	2011-01-09	0	0	1	0.116175	0.434167	0.361950	822
9	2011-01-10	1	1	1	0.150888	0.482917	0.223267	1321

Bike share data - one-hot

	weekday_1	weekday_2	weekday_3	weekday_4	weekday_5	weekday_6	weathersit_2	weathersit_3	feelTemp	hum	windspeed	nRides
0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.363625	0.805833	0.160446	985
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.353739	0.696087	0.248539	801
2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.189405	0.437273	0.248309	1349
3	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.212122	0.590435	0.160296	1562
4	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.229270	0.436957	0.186900	1600
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.233209	0.518261	0.089565	1606
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.208839	0.498696	0.168726	1510
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.162254	0.535833	0.266804	959
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.116175	0.434167	0.361950	822
9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.150888	0.482917	0.223267	1321

Generalization and Training-Test splits

- **Generalization**: how well will the model predict unseen data?
- Split the data examples $\mathcal{S} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ into two parts:

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{\text{training}} \cup \mathcal{S}_{\text{test}}$$

- ▶ **Training data** to estimate the model parameters (e.g. \mathbf{w})
- ▶ **Test data** to estimate the generalization performance.

- **Evaluation metrics**:

- ▶ Regression: $\text{RMSE}_{\text{test}} = \sqrt{n_{\text{test}}^{-1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{test}}} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$
- ▶ Classification: Percentage of misclassified examples.

- How to make the split:

- ▶ Randomly
- ▶ Systematic (time series: most recent data in test set).

```
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
xTrain, xTest, yTrain, yTest = train_test_split(x, y, test_size = 0.5)
```

Bias and Variance

- True relationship (ex. $\sin(x)$)

$$y = f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon.$$

- Estimated model $\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})$ (ex. linear regression $\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}$).

- Bias**

$$\text{Bias}\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})] - f(\mathbf{x})$$

- Bias = how correct **on average**, over all possible datasets?

- Variance**

$$\mathbb{V} [\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})] = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E} [\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})])^2 \right].$$

- Mean Squared Error (MSE)**

$$\text{MSE} = \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}))^2 \right] = \mathbb{V} [\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})] + (\text{Bias}\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}))^2$$

- MSE = expected squared deviation from $f(\mathbf{x})$, in a given dataset.

Bias-Variance trade-off


- More complex models always fit better:

$$\text{RMSE}_{\text{training}}(\text{simple model}) > \text{RMSE}_{\text{training}}(\text{complex model})$$





- But complex models may **overfit** the training data

$$\text{RMSE}_{\text{training}} \ll \text{RMSE}_{\text{test}}$$


- Too complex models **overfit** the data. **High variance**.
- Too simple models will **underfit** the data. **Large bias**.



Bias-Variance trade-off



Bias-Variance trade-off



■ See `BiasVarianceIllustration.ipynb` for the code.

Model complexity

- **Fitted values** for linear models

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X} \hat{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{y}.$$

- **Hat matrix**

$$\underset{n \times n}{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T.$$

- The i th row of \mathbf{H} : how \hat{y}_i depends on the n data points.
- A **linear smoother** is any fitting method of the form

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{y}.$$

- Ex: poly reg, splines, nearest neighbor, ridge regression ...
- **Degrees of freedom**, $\text{tr} \mathbf{H}$, measures complexity of smoother.
- Sanity check: linear regression with p features: $\text{tr} \mathbf{H} = p$.

Ridge regression

- Many features $\Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{LS} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ has high variance.
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X} \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{LS}$ can **overfit** the data. Poor prediction on test data.
- **Regularization**: “soft restrictions” on the estimated weights.
- Ridge estimator $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{ridge}}(\lambda)$ minimizes **L_2 -penalized SSE**

$$(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$


where $\|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} = \sum_{j=1}^p w_j^2$ is **L_2 -regularization**.

- Ridge regression estimator


$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{ridge}}(\lambda) = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_n)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}.$$

- $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{ridge}}(\lambda)$ **shrinks** $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ toward zero as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.
- Trades **bias** against variance.

Polynomial regression without regularization



Polynomial regression with L_2 -regularization



Lasso regression


- Lasso estimator $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{lasso}}(\alpha)$ minimizes L_1 -penalized SSE

$$(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}) + \alpha \|\mathbf{w}\|_1$$

where $\|\mathbf{w}\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^p |w_j|$ is L_1 -regularization.

- Lasso can shrink weights all the way to zero \Rightarrow variable selection.
- No explicit formula for $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\text{lasso}}(\alpha)$.
- **LARS** is a super-fast algorithm for computing the entire Lasso path.

Lasso regression - bike sharing data




Local-Global Regularization

- Ideal shrinkage:
 - ▶ hard shrinkage of weights on noise features
 - ▶ leave weights on signal features untouched
- Ridge: shrinks all weights similarly.
- Lasso: can allow some signal features to have larger weights.
- Both Ridge and Lasso apply **global shrinkage**.
- Better variable selection with **global-local shrinkages**
 - ▶ **Global shrinkage**, α , is baseline shrinkage for all features
 - ▶ **Local shrinkage**, τ_1, \dots, τ_p , for each feature.
 - ▶ Total shrinkage on j th feature: $\alpha\tau_j$.
- Example: **Horseshoe regularization**.
- More on this in the Bayesian learning part of Lecture Block 2.

Hyperparameter learning by Cross-validation


- How to estimate the **hyperparameter** α in Lasso regression?
- Bad idea: Find α that minimizes prediction errors on test data.
- Cross-validation**: estimate generalization from training data.



```
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
score = cross_val_score(regModel, X = XTrain, y = yTrain, cv=5, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error')
```

- 5-10 folds is common, but depends on model complexity.
- Leave-one-out CV** uses n_{training} folds.

Hyperparameter learning for α in Lasso



■ See `VariableSelection.ipynb` for the data and code.