



Master of Science in Informatics at Grenoble
Master Mathématiques Informatique - spécialité Informatique
option Graphics Vision and Robotics

Scalable Image Reconstruction Methods for Large Data: Application to Synchrotron CT of Biological Samples

Claude Goubet

Friday May 23rd, 2017

Research project performed at CREATIS

Under the supervision of:

Juan F. P. J. Abascal, Francoise Peyrin, Max Langer

Defended before a jury composed of:

James Crowley

Jury member 1

Jury member 2

Abstract

Your abstract goes here...

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to .. for his invaluable assistance and comments in reviewing this report... Good luck :)

Résumé

Your abstract in French goes here...

Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	i
Résumé	i
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	1
1.3 Scientific Approach and Investigative Method and Results	2
1.4 Contents of this report	2
2 Problem Statement, Analysis and State of the Art	3
2.1 Problem Statement	3
2.2 Introduction to Low Dose CT	3
2.2.1 Dose reduction in Micro-CT	4
CS on SR micro-CT	4
2.2.2 SR Nano-CT	4
2.3 Proposed solution to dose reduction	4
3 Theoretical Foundations for the Solution	5
3.1 Computational Tomography	5
3.2 Synchrotron Radiation Nano-CT reconstruction	5
3.3 Compressed sensing	5
4 Practical implementation	7
4.1 Compressed Sensing Formulation	7
4.2 Split Bregman iterative reconstruction	7
4.2.1 Split Bregman iteration	7
4.2.2 L1 regularization problem	8
4.3 SB-TV-2D reconstruction	8
4.4 SB-TV-3D	9
4.5 Scalability	9
5 Experimental Performance Evaluation or validation of solution	11

5.1	Hypothesis	11
5.2	Data-set description	11
5.3	Experiments description	11
5.4	Results	11
6	Discussion of Results	13
7	Summary of results, Conclusions, Expected Impact	15
A	Appendix	17
	Bibliography	19

Introduction

Write this chapter LAST. Should be 5 to 10 pages. This chapter provides a quick summary of the essential contents of the research project, principal results and contents of the report. The target audience is members of the jury who do NOT have time to completely read all 21 reports, as well academic members of other juries who wish to compare this work to other works.

1.1 Background

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Short 1/2 page summary of the technological context of the work and why it is interesting or important

- Osteoporosis study
- Need to study nano scale bone structure
- Nano CT scan in ESRF

1.2 Problem Statement

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Approx ½ to 1 page description of the research problems that was addressed and what was required to address it.

- High radiation dose — > changing sample composition, sample motion...
- Need to use compress sensing for low dose reconstruction, not so much done in nano scale and on biological samples

1.3 Scientific Approach and Investigative Method and Results

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Approx 1 to 2 page description of the scientific approach or approaches to a solution and how it was investigated and evaluated. Present a summary of the principal results obtained.

- Use of iterative reconstruction methods, presentation of SB-TV-2D, SB-TV-3D
- Evaluation : metrics description (metrics proposed in 2nd meeting) (mean squared error, psnr, SIFT detection? All in 3D, 2D (xy, yz, xz))
- Description of main results

1.4 Contents of this report

Approx ½ page per chapter. Summarize the contents of the subsections of each chapter. Give the topics addressed and summarize what is written in each chapter.

Problem Statement, Analysis and State of the Art

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Give a clear statement of the research problem, and the current scientific state of the art on this problem. Use the state of the art to analyze the problem. Use the analysis to develop a proposal for a possible solution to the problem (or multiple possible solutions).

2.1 Problem Statement

- Goal: Microscopic imaging technique; isotropic resolution of 10 nm the theoretical limit.
- Current: State of the art beam-line ID16 [17] with a lateral spacial resolution around 50 nm, using an energy range of 5 to 70 KeV. Not yet evaluated for bones.
- Two axis in nano-CT : Phase retrieval and image reconstruction. We will focus in this thesis on the image reconstruction, the phase retrieval phase retrieval was answered by [10]
- Technical constraints in radiation dose and time constraint, 3000 projections takes multiple hours to acquire. High dose and long exposition causes the sample to change its composition.
- Problem: dose reduction implies reconstruction from less data: compressed sensing

2.2 Introduction to Low Dose CT

intro about CT and importance for osteoporosis diagnosis + use of SR + low dose problem CS ([5, 2, 14])

2.2.1 Dose reduction in Micro-CT

Multiple CS algorithm were developed for Micro-CT. In respect to the ALARP principle which aims to keep the radiation doses "As Low As Reasonably practicable" and in order to reduce the acquisition time and effect on the sample Alternative reconstruction methods for FBP are necessary. These methods need to be able to reduce the number of projections used for the reconstruction, without affecting the output image quality. Iterative regularized algorithms are used.

Studies of alternative clinical CT reconstruction are review by Pan et al. in [20]. Our focus is on Synchrotron Radiation computational tomography (SR-CT) image reconstruction with less projection. One commonly used method for data reduction is Compressed Sensin (CS). First proposed by Candes et al in 2006 [3] it has been used since in many Signal Processing Fields. CS techniques have been developed for tomography such as Split-Bregman reconstruction [8] used by Abascal et al. for Fluorescence diffuse optical tomography [1]. But in particular CS has been used in Micro-CT.

CS on SR micro-CT

multiple iterative methods using CGTV ([21]) ART with multiple denoising (TV [19]; L1 minimisation [12]; Discrete packet shrinkage [18]) SART ([15] with TV [16]) OS-SART [9]) EST [6, 22] PCCT [7] define resolution for each solution (maybe more details?)

2.2.2 SR Nano-CT

Few research has been done yet in Nano-CT. Most of them focus on the Phase Retrieval such as Langer who reconstructed images in a spacial resolution of 120-150 nm [11]. Nano-CT general ref: [4] less CS reconstruction experimented

The little research on Low dose nano concerns the OS-SART L1 norm TV algorithm [13] not experimented on bone data

2.3 Proposed solution to dose reduction

If a state of the art acquisition allows to reconstruct images with a spacial resolution of 50 nm at the ESRF, no studies have been done on the feasibility of using of compressed sensing for bone SR-CT. We propose here to use split bregman algorithm for compressed sensing to reduce the number of projection.

Theoretical Foundations for the Solution

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Describe in abstract (theoretical) terms how the proposed approach can be implemented and how to solve related sub problems. Use the state of the art as an analysis tool.

3.1 Computational Tomography

- brief description of basics of CT reconstruction, Define Radon Transform
- show an image and output sinogram explain...

3.2 Synchrotron Radiation Nano-CT reconstruction

- brief mention of phase retrieval, Max thesis
- Wave monochromatique incoherent
- 1 formula refractive index
- Attenuation: wave/shift
- Description of tomographic reconstruction (focus on, apply CS)

3.3 Compressed sensing

- Candes more details that state of the art
- CS in nano CT: iterative SB reconstruction proposed (Juan ART-TV SB, Pesquet Scalable splitting)

Practical implementation

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Give a concrete discussion of how the proposed solution was (or could be) implemented or evaluated.

explain method to validate low dose / LD protocol / Acquisition

4.1 Compressed Sensing Formulation

$$\min_u ||Wu||_1 \text{ s.t. } Fu = f$$

3 conditions: u sparse, Wu ..., incoherence.

u sparse in domain W

CS in $||Wu||_p$ with $p \leq 1$ -> Bone details, $p \leq 1$ preserves borders.

TV non differentiable: $(TV)' = \frac{1}{\nabla u}$

4.2 Split Bregman iterative reconstruction

We propose to use iterative reconstruction with the algorithm described by [8]. Split Bregman algorithm gives a solution to an L1 constrained problem. We will here describe the bregman iteration and it's application to L2 minimisation reconstructions which will be used in our algorithm.

4.2.1 Split Bregman iteration

Using Split Bregman we wish to solve the constrained reconstruction optimization problem described in the section ?? and set $W = \nabla$ as it has been shown to be efficient:

$$\min_u ||\nabla u||_1 \text{ such that } Fu = f \quad (4.1)$$

Such constrained problem problems are difficult to solve directly. For this reason we need to define a new unconstrained problem. Luckily it is possible to approximate (4.1) as:

$$\min_u ||\nabla u||_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||Fu - f||_2^2 \quad (4.2)$$

The Bregman iteration allows us to reduce 4.1 in even shorter unconstrained problems using Bregman distances. These constrained problem can be resolved iteratively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u^{k+1} &= \min_u ||\nabla u||_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||Fu - f^k||_2^2 \\ f^{k+1} &= f^k + f - Fu^k \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

4.2.2 L1 regularization problem

Our compressed sensing reconstruction method is based on L1 regulation and gradient. It is hence difficult to minimize. A splitting technique must be formulated and we will see how to solve it iteratively with split Bregman.

The idea is to "de-couple" the L1 and L2 parts of our original problem. We wish to minimize the Total Variation ∇u of the image and a weight function $H(\cdot)$. Splitting can be done by introducing new variable and define a new constraint:

$$\min_{u,d} ||d||_1 + H(u) \text{ such that } d = \nabla u \quad (4.4)$$

Using Bregman on it again we get other problems that can be splitted:

$$\begin{aligned} (u^{k+1}, d^{k+1}) &= \min_{u,d} ||d||_1 + H(u) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||d - \nabla u - b^k||_2^2 \\ b^{k+1} &= b^k + \nabla_{u^{k+1}} - d^{k+1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

4.3 SB-TV-2D reconstruction

isotropic TV denoising pbl:

$$\min_u ||\nabla u||_1 \text{ such that } ||Fu - f||_2^2 < \sigma^2 \quad (4.6)$$

where $\nabla u = (\nabla_x, \nabla_y)u$, f represents the projection space, F the projection operator, u the image domain and σ represents the variance of the signal noise.

$$\begin{aligned} u^{k+1} &= \min_u ||\nabla u||_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||Fu - f^k||_2^2 \\ f^{k+1} &= f^k + f - F_u^k \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

We fall here into an unconstrained problem which is not steight forwardly solved. In order to get a constrained problem we will insert a variable d such that $d = \nabla u$.

We can now use the Split Bregman iteration in order to solve our new problem:

$$\begin{aligned} u^{k+1} &= \min_{u,d} ||d||_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||Fu - f^k||_2^2 \text{ such that } d = \nabla u \\ f^{k+1} &= f^k + f - F_u^k \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

And get to a solution where L1 and L2 elements of our original problem are splited into two equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
u^{k+1} &= \min_u \frac{\mu}{2} \|Fu - f^k\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|d^k - \nabla u - b^k\|_2^2 \\
d^{k+1} &= \min_u \|d\|_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|d - \nabla u - b^k\|_2^2 \\
b^{k+1} &= b^k + \nabla u^{k+1} - d^{k+1} \\
f^{k+1} &= f^k + f - F_u^k
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Now is left to sole the minimization on the u^{k+1} and d^{k+1} operations. **Solution for u**

The definition of u^{k+1} in 4.9 is differentiable. We can hence get the minimum using the derivative. We the get:

$$(\mu F^T F + \lambda \nabla^T \nabla) u^{k+1} = \mu F^T f^k + \lambda \nabla^T (d_x^k - b_x^k) K u^{k+1} = rhs^k \tag{4.10}$$

Solution for d

The expression of d^{k+1} in 4.9 is not coiples. Hence the solution will be computed thanks to the shrinkage thresholding function:

$$shrink(x, \gamma) = \frac{x}{|x|} \times \max(|x| - \gamma, 0) \tag{4.11}$$

so that:

$$d^{k+1} = shrink(\nabla u^{k+1} + b^k, 1/\lambda) \tag{4.12}$$

4.4 SB-TV-3D

pbl: $\alpha \|(\nabla_x, \nabla_y, \nabla_z)u\|_1$ such that $\|Fu - f\|_2^2 < \delta^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= \\
d &=
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

4.5 Scalability

- ESRF -> Cluster, method, call projection, retro-projection explain what to change where in the algorithm

Experimental Performance Evaluation or validation of solution

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Describe the performance metrics, experimental hypotheses, experimental conditions, test data, and expected results. Provide the test data. Interpret the results of the experiments. Pay special attention to cases where the experiments give no information or did not come out as expected. Draw lessons and conclusions from the experiments. Explain how additional experiments could validate or confirm results.

5.1 Hypothesis

- CS can be used to preserve image quality
- Can reduce dose and make a scalable algorithm
- SB can be implemented at ESRF
- Can use it on Bonse data

5.2 Data-set description

5.3 Experiments description

5.4 Results

- show images compare to FBP
- computation time
- error rates
- compare coronal sagittal and axial views

- 3D not as good as expected? Why? How to make further tests to understand why?

Discussion of Results

*This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work.
Discussion lessons learned from the experiments, and new problems that are raised.*

- Discuss results and compare to previous work
- Technical issues and limitations

Summary of results, Conclusions, Expected Impact

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Give a summary of the problem, approach, implementation and evaluation. Discuss the principal results in abstract terms. Discuss expected impact and further research directions. Explain how the project satisfies the evaluation criteria for a Masters Research project.

- State Goals
- summary of results
- Further work
- Conclusion (final phrase + impact (may be use in ESRF?))

— A —

Appendix

Appendix goes here...

Bibliography

- [1] JFP-J Abascal, Judit Chamorro-Servent, Juan Aguirre, Simon Arridge, Teresa Correia, Jorge Ripoll, Juan José Vaquero, and Manuel Desco. Fluorescence diffuse optical tomography using the split bregman method. *Medical physics*, 38(11):6275–6284, 2011.
- [2] Joy C Andrews, Eduardo Almeida, Marjolein CH van der Meulen, Joshua S Alwood, Chialing Lee, Yijin Liu, Jie Chen, Florian Meirer, Michael Feser, Jeff Gelb, et al. Nanoscale x-ray microscopic imaging of mammalian mineralized tissue. *Microscopy and Microanalysis*, 16(03):327–336, 2010.
- [3] Emmanuel J Candes, Justin K Romberg, and Terence Tao. Stable signal recovery from incomplete and inaccurate measurements. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 59(8):1207–1223, 2006.
- [4] Yu-Tung Chen, Tsung-Yu Chen, Jaemock Yi, Yong S Chu, Wah-Keat Lee, Cheng-Liang Wang, Ivan M Kempson, Y Hwu, Vincent Gajdosik, and G Margaritondo. Hard x-ray zernike microscopy reaches 30 nm resolution. *Optics letters*, 36(7):1269–1271, 2011.
- [5] Martin Dierolf, Andreas Menzel, Pierre Thibault, Philipp Schneider, Cameron M Kewish, Roger Wepf, Oliver Bunk, and Franz Pfeiffer. Ptychographic x-ray computed tomography at the nanoscale. *Nature*, 467(7314):436–439, 2010.
- [6] Benjamin P Fahimian, Yu Mao, Peter Cloetens, and Jianwei Miao. Low-dose x-ray phase-contrast and absorption ct using equally sloped tomography. *Physics in medicine and biology*, 55(18):5383, 2010.
- [7] T Gaass, G Potdevin, M Bech, PB Noël, M Willner, A Tapfer, F Pfeiffer, and A Haase. Iterative reconstruction for few-view grating-based phase-contrast ct: An in vitro mouse model. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 102(4):48001, 2013.
- [8] Tom Goldstein and Stanley Osher. The split bregman method for l1-regularized problems. *SIAM journal on imaging sciences*, 2(2):323–343, 2009.
- [9] Peng He, Hengyong Yu, James Bennett, Paul Ronaldson, Rafidah Zainon, Anthony Butler, Phil Butler, Biao Wei, and Ge Wang. Energy-discriminative performance of a spectral micro-ct system. *Journal of X-ray Science and Technology*, 21(3):335–345, 2013.
- [10] Max Langer. *Phase retrieval in the Fresnel region for hard X-ray tomography*. PhD thesis, Villeurbanne, INSA, 2008.

- [11] Max Langer, Alexandra Pacureanu, Heikki Suhonen, Quentin Grimal, Peter Cloetens, and Françoise Peyrin. X-ray phase nanotomography resolves the 3d human bone ultrastructure. *PloS one*, 7(8):e35691, 2012.
- [12] Xueli Li and Shuqian Luo. A compressed sensing-based iterative algorithm for ct reconstruction and its possible application to phase contrast imaging. *Biomedical engineering online*, 10(1):73, 2011.
- [13] Zhiting Liang, Yong Guan, Gang Liu, Rui Bian, Xiaobo Zhang, Ying Xiong, and Yangchao Tian. Reconstruction of limited-angle and few-view nano-ct image via total variation iterative reconstruction. In *SPIE Optical Engineering+ Applications*, pages 885113–885113. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2013.
- [14] Y Liu, J Nelson, C Holzner, JC Andrews, and P Pianetta. Recent advances in synchrotron-based hard x-ray phase contrast imaging. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 46(49):494001, 2013.
- [15] R Longo, F Arfelli, R Bellazzini, U Bottigli, A Brez, F Brun, A Brunetti, P Delogu, F Di Lillo, D Dreossi, et al. Towards breast tomography with synchrotron radiation at elettra: first images. *Physics in medicine and biology*, 61(4):1634, 2016.
- [16] Yang Lu, Zhenyu Yang, Jun Zhao, and Ge Wang. Tv-based image reconstruction of multiple objects in a fixed source-detector geometry. *Journal of X-ray science and technology*, 20(3):277–289, 2012.
- [17] Gema Martinez-Criado, Julie Villanova, Rémi Tucoulou, Damien Salomon, J-P Suuronen, Sylvain Labouré, Cyril Guilloud, Valentin Valls, Raymond Barrett, Eric Gagliardini, et al. Id16b: a hard x-ray nanoprobe beamline at the esrf for nano-analysis. *Journal of synchrotron radiation*, 23(1):344–352, 2016.
- [18] S Ali Melli, Khan A Wahid, Paul Babyn, David ML Cooper, and Varun P Gopi. A sparsity-based iterative algorithm for reconstruction of micro-ct images from highly undersampled projection datasets obtained with a synchrotron x-ray source. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 87(12):123701, 2016.
- [19] Seyed Ali Melli, Khan A Wahid, Paul Babyn, James Montgomery, Elisabeth Snead, Ali El-Gayed, Murray Pettitt, Bailey Wolkowski, and Michal Wesolowski. A compressed sensing based reconstruction algorithm for synchrotron source propagation-based x-ray phase contrast computed tomography. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 806:307–317, 2016.
- [20] Xiaochuan Pan, Emil Y Sidky, and Michael Vannier. Why do commercial ct scanners still employ traditional, filtered back-projection for image reconstruction? *Inverse problems*, 25(12):123009, 2009.
- [21] Xiaoli Yang, Ralf Hofmann, Robin Dapp, Thomas Van de Kamp, Tomy dos Santos Rolo, Xianghui Xiao, Julian Moosmann, Jubin Kashef, and Rainer Stotzka. Tv-based conjugate gradient method and discrete l-curve for few-view ct reconstruction of x-ray in vivo data. *Optics express*, 23(5):5368–5387, 2015.

- [22] Yunzhe Zhao, Emmanuel Brun, Paola Coan, Zhifeng Huang, Aniko Sztrókay, Paul Claude Diemoz, Susanne Liebhardt, Alberto Mittone, Sergei Gasilov, Jianwei Miao, et al. High-resolution, low-dose phase contrast x-ray tomography for 3d diagnosis of human breast cancers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(45):18290–18294, 2012.