



Master of Science in Informatics at Grenoble Master Mathématiques Informatique - spécialité Informatique option Graphics Vision and Robotics

Scalable Image Reconstruction Methods for Large Data: Application to Synchrotron CT of Biological Samples Claude Goubet

Friday May 23rd, 2017

Research project performed at CREATIS

Under the supervision of: Juan F. P. J. Abascal

Defended before a jury composed of:
Head of the jury
Jury member 1
Jury member 2

June 2017

Abstract

Your abstract goes here...

Acknowledgement

Résumé

Your abstract in French goes here...

Contents

Al	ostrac	et e	i
A	know	vledgement	i
Ré	ésumé	§	i
1	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	1
	1.3	Scientific Approach and Investigative Method and Results	2
	1.4	Contents of this report	2
2	2 Pr	oblem Statement, Analysis and State of the Art	3
	2.1	Problem Statement	3
	2.2	State of the Art	3
		2.2.1 Dose reduction in SR Micro-CT	3
		No SR	3
		CS on SR micro-CT	4
		2.2.2 SR Nano-CT	4
		2.2.3 Wrap-up	4
3	The	oretical Foundations for the Solution	5
	3.1	Computational Tomography	5
	3.2	Syncrothron Radiation Nano-CT reconstruction	5
	3.3	Compressed sensing	5
4	Prac	ctical implementation	7
	4.1	Compressed Sensing Formulation	7
	4.2	Split Bregman iterative reconstruction	7
		4.2.1 Split Bregman iteration	7
		4.2.2 L1 regularization problem	8
	4.3	SB-TV-2D reconstruction	8
	4.4	SB-TV-3D	9
5	Exp	erimental Performance Evaluation or validation of solution	11

6	Discussion of Results	13
7	Summary of results, Conclusions, Expected Impact	15
A	Appendix	17
Bi	bliography	19

__1_

Introduction

Write this chapter LAST. Should be 5 to 10 pages. This chapter provides a quick summary of the essential contents of the research project, principal results and contents of the report. The target audience is members of the jury who do NOT have time to completely read all 21 reports, as well academic members of other juries who wish to compare this work to other works.

1.1 Background

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Short 1/2 page summary of the technological context of the work and why it is interesting or important

- Osteoporosis study
- Need to study nano scale bone structure
- Nano CT scan in ESRF

1.2 Problem Statement

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Approx $\hat{A}^{1/2}$ to 1 page description of the research problems that was addressed and what was required to address it.

- High radiation dose -> changing sample composition, sample motion...
- Need to use compress sensing for low dose reconstruction, not so much done in nano scale and on biological samples

1.3 Scientific Approach and Investigative Method and Results

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Approx 1 to 2 page description of the scientific approach or approaches to a solution and how it was investigated and evaluated. Present a summary of the principal results obtained.

- Use of iterative reconstruction methods, presentation of SB-TV-2D, SB-TV-3D
- Evaluation : metrics description (metrics proposed in 2nd meeting) (mean squared error, psnr, SIFT detection? All in 3D, 2D (xy, yz, xz))
- Description of main results

1.4 Contents of this report

Approx $\hat{A}^{1/2}$ page per chapter. Summarize the contents of the subsections of each chapter. Give the topics addressed and summarize what is written in each chapter.

2 Problem Statement, Analysis and State of the Art

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Give a clear statement of the research problem, and the current scientific state of the art on this problem. Use the state of the art to analyse the problem. Use the analysis to develop a proposal for a possible solution to the problem (or multiple possible solutions).

2.1 Problem Statement

- Goal: isotropic resolution of 10 nm
- Technical constraints in radiation dose and data size
- Problem: dose reduction implies reconstruction from less data: compressed sensing

2.2 State of the Art

intro about CT and importance for osteoporosis diagnosis + use of SR + low dose problem CS ([3, 1, 11])

2.2.1 Dose reduction in SR Micro-CT

Multiple CS algorithm were developed for Micro-CT allowing to generate different spacial resolutions. Alternative methods then FBP necessary to recover missing projections. Iterative algorithms are used.

No SR

SART-L1 [18, 16] ASD-POCS TV [7]

CS on SR micro-CT

multiple iterative methods using CGTV ([17]) ART with multiple denoising (TV [15]; L1 minimisation [9]; Discrete packet shrinkage [14]) SART ([12] with TV [13]) OS-SART [8]) EST [4, 19] PCCT [5] define resolution for each solution (maybe more details?)

2.2.2 SR Nano-CT

Nano-CT general ref: [2] (I can have other references but are mostly about the hardware side, new materials and acquisition methodology, or image post-processing without having used low dose)

less CS reconstruction experimented Low dose nano OS-SART L1 norm TV [10]

2.2.3 Wrap-up

A lot of research these past few years of CSCT going toward a improvement of spacial resolution and dose reduction. Yet not so much has been done on Nano scale. In the context of osteoporosis nano scale is mandatory for a accurate diagnosis. Present our objective.

Theoretical Foundations for the Solution

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Describe in abstract (theoretical) terms how the proposed approach can be implemented and how to solve related sub problems. Use the state of the art as an analysis tool.

3.1 Computational Tomography

• brief descrition of basics of CT reconstrution, (projections, retro projections...)

3.2 Syncrothron Radiation Nano-CT reconstruction

- brief mention of phase retriavle, Max thesis
- Description of tomographique reconstruction (focus on, apply CS)

3.3 Compressed sensing

- ref to original work
- CS in nano CT: iterative SB reconstruction

Practical implementation

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Give a concrete discussion of how the proposed solution was (or could be) implemented or evaluated.

4.1 Compressed Sensing Formulation

• formulation of the compressed sensing equation Fu = d

4.2 Split Bregman iterative reconstruction

We propose to use iterative reconstruction with the algorithm described by [6]. Split Bregman algorithm gives a solution to an L1-L2 constrained problem. We will here describe the bregman iteration and it's application to L2 minimisation reconstructions which will be used in our algorithm.

4.2.1 Split Bregman iteration

Using Split Bregman we wish to solve the constrained reconstruction optimization problem described in the section ??:

$$\min_{u} ||\nabla_{u}||_{1} \text{ such that } Fu = f \tag{4.1}$$

Such constrained problem problems are difficult to solve directly. For this reason we need to define a new unconstrained problem. Luckily it is possible to approximate (4.1) as:

$$\min_{u} ||\nabla_{u}||_{1} + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||Fu - f||_{2}^{2}$$
(4.2)

The Bregman iteration allows us to reduce 4.1 in even shorter unconstrained problems using Bregman distances. These constrained problem can be resolved iteratively as follows:

$$u^{k+1} = \min_{u} ||\nabla_{u}||_{1} + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||Fu - f^{k}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$f^{k+1} = f^{k} + f - Fu^{k}$$
(4.3)

4.2.2 L1 regularization problem

Our compressed sensing reconstruction method is based on L1 regulation. A more faithful reconstruction problem must be formulated and we will see how to solve it iteratively with split Bregman.

The idea is to "de-couple" the L1 and L2 parts of our original problem. We wish to minimize the Total Variation ∇_u of the image and a weight function H(). We write the problem as follows:

$$\min_{u \neq d} ||d||_1 + H(u) \text{ such that } d = \nabla_u$$
(4.4)

Which can be computed iteratively using Split Bregman iteration as:

$$(u^{k+1}, d^{k+1}) = \min_{u, d} ||d||_1 + H(u) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||d - \nabla_u - b^k||_2^2$$

$$b^{k+1} = b^k + \nabla_{u^{k+1}} - d^{k+1}$$
(4.5)

4.3 SB-TV-2D reconstruction

isotropic TV denoising pbl:

$$\min_{u} ||\nabla_{u}||_{1} \text{ such that } ||Fu - f||_{2}^{2} < \sigma^{2}$$

$$\tag{4.6}$$

where $\nabla_u = (\nabla_x, \nabla_y)u$, f represents the projection space, F the projection operator, u the image domain and σ represents the variance of the signal noise.

$$u^{k+1} = \min_{u} ||\nabla_{u}||_{1} + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||Fu - f^{k}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$f^{k+1} = f^{k} + f - F_{u}^{k}$$
(4.7)

We fall here into an unconstrained problem which is not steight forwardly solved. In order to get a constrained problem we will insert a variable d such that $d = \nabla_u$.

We can now use the Split Bregman iteration in order to solve our new problem:

$$\begin{aligned} u^{k+1} &= \min_{u,d} ||d||_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||Fu - f^k||_2^2 \text{ such that } d = \nabla_u \\ f^{k+1} &= f^k + f - F_u^k \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

And get to a solution where L1 and L2 elements of our original problem are spleted into two equations:

$$u^{k+1} = \min_{u} \frac{\mu}{2} ||Fu - f^{k}||_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||d^{k} - \nabla_{u} - b^{k}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$d^{k+1} = \min_{u} ||d||_{1} + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||d - \nabla_{u} - b^{k}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$b^{k+1} = b^{k} + \nabla_{u}^{k+1} - d^{k+1}$$

$$f^{k+1} = f^{k} + f - F_{u}^{k}$$

$$(4.9)$$

Now is left to sole the minimization on the u^{k+1} and d^{k+1} operations. **Solution for u** The definition of u^{k+1} in 4.9 is differentiable. We can hence get the minimum using the derivative. We the get:

$$(\mu F^T F + \lambda \nabla^T \nabla) u^{k+1} = \mu F^T f^k + \lambda \nabla^T (d_x^k - b_x^k) K u^{k+1} = rhs^k$$
(4.10)

Solution for d

The expression of d^{k+1} in 4.9 is not coiples. Hence the solution will be computed thanks to the shrinkage thresholding function:

$$shrink(x, \gamma) = \frac{x}{|x|} \times max(|x| - \gamma, 0)$$
 (4.11)

so that:

$$d^{k+1} = shrink(\nabla_u^{k+1} + b^k, 1/\lambda)$$
(4.12)

4.4 SB-TV-3D

pbl: $\alpha ||(\nabla_x, \nabla_y, \nabla_z)u||_1$ such that $||Fu - f||_2^2 < \delta^2$

$$u = d = d = (4.13)$$

Experimental Performance Evaluation or validation of solution

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Describe the performance metrics, experimental hypotheses, experimental conditions, test data, and expected results. Provide the test data. Interpret the results of the experiments. Pay special attention to cases where the experiments give no information or did not come out as expected. Draw lessons and conclusions from the experiments. Explain how additional experiments could validate or confirm results.

- show images compare to FBP
- computation time
- · error rates
- compare corronal sagital and axial views
- 3D not as good as expected? Why? How to make ferther tests to understand why?

— 6 —

Discussion of Results

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Discussion lessons learned from the experiments, and new problems that are raised.

- Discuss results and compare to previous work
- Technical issues and limitations

Summary of results, Conclusions, Expected Impact

This is a generic title. Replace it with an actual title that describes the context of the work. Give a summary of the problem, approach, implementation and evaluation. Discuss the principal results in abstract terms. Discuss expected impact and further research directions. Explain how the project satisfies the evaluation criteria for a Masters Research project.

- State Goals
- · sumary of results
- Further work
- Conclusion (final phrase + impact (may be use in ESRF?))

A —Appendix

Appendix goes here...

Bibliography

- [1] Joy C Andrews, Eduardo Almeida, Marjolein CH van der Meulen, Joshua S Alwood, Chialing Lee, Yijin Liu, Jie Chen, Florian Meirer, Michael Feser, Jeff Gelb, et al. Nanoscale x-ray microscopic imaging of mammalian mineralized tissue. *Microscopy and Microanalysis*, 16(03):327–336, 2010.
- [2] Yu-Tung Chen, Tsung-Yu Chen, Jaemock Yi, Yong S Chu, Wah-Keat Lee, Cheng-Liang Wang, Ivan M Kempson, Y Hwu, Vincent Gajdosik, and G Margaritondo. Hard x-ray zernike microscopy reaches 30 nm resolution. *Optics letters*, 36(7):1269–1271, 2011.
- [3] Martin Dierolf, Andreas Menzel, Pierre Thibault, Philipp Schneider, Cameron M Kewish, Roger Wepf, Oliver Bunk, and Franz Pfeiffer. Ptychographic x-ray computed tomography at the nanoscale. *Nature*, 467(7314):436–439, 2010.
- [4] Benjamin P Fahimian, Yu Mao, Peter Cloetens, and Jianwei Miao. Low-dose x-ray phase-contrast and absorption ct using equally sloped tomography. *Physics in medicine and biology*, 55(18):5383, 2010.
- [5] T Gaass, G Potdevin, M Bech, PB Noël, M Willner, A Tapfer, F Pfeiffer, and A Haase. Iterative reconstruction for few-view grating-based phase-contrast ct: An in vitro mouse model. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 102(4):48001, 2013.
- [6] Tom Goldstein and Stanley Osher. The split bregman method for 11-regularized problems. *SIAM journal on imaging sciences*, 2(2):323–343, 2009.
- [7] Xiao Han, Junguo Bian, Diane R Eaker, Timothy L Kline, Emil Y Sidky, Erik L Ritman, and Xiaochuan Pan. Algorithm-enabled low-dose micro-ct imaging. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 30(3):606–620, 2011.
- [8] Peng He, Hengyong Yu, James Bennett, Paul Ronaldson, Rafidah Zainon, Anthony Butler, Phil Butler, Biao Wei, and Ge Wang. Energy-discriminative performance of a spectral micro-ct system. *Journal of X-ray Science and Technology*, 21(3):335–345, 2013.
- [9] Xueli Li and Shuqian Luo. A compressed sensing-based iterative algorithm for ct reconstruction and its possible application to phase contrast imaging. *Biomedical engineering online*, 10(1):73, 2011.

- [10] Zhiting Liang, Yong Guan, Gang Liu, Rui Bian, Xiaobo Zhang, Ying Xiong, and Yangchao Tian. Reconstruction of limited-angle and few-view nano-ct image via total variation iterative reconstruction. In *SPIE Optical Engineering+ Applications*, pages 885113–885113. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2013.
- [11] Y Liu, J Nelson, C Holzner, JC Andrews, and P Pianetta. Recent advances in synchrotron-based hard x-ray phase contrast imaging. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 46(49):494001, 2013.
- [12] R Longo, F Arfelli, R Bellazzini, U Bottigli, A Brez, F Brun, A Brunetti, P Delogu, F Di Lillo, D Dreossi, et al. Towards breast tomography with synchrotron radiation at elettra: first images. *Physics in medicine and biology*, 61(4):1634, 2016.
- [13] Yang Lu, Zhenyu Yang, Jun Zhao, and Ge Wang. Tv-based image reconstruction of multiple objects in a fixed source-detector geometry. *Journal of X-ray science and technology*, 20(3):277–289, 2012.
- [14] S Ali Melli, Khan A Wahid, Paul Babyn, David ML Cooper, and Varun P Gopi. A sparsity-based iterative algorithm for reconstruction of micro-ct images from highly undersampled projection datasets obtained with a synchrotron x-ray source. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 87(12):123701, 2016.
- [15] Seyed Ali Melli, Khan A Wahid, Paul Babyn, James Montgomery, Elisabeth Snead, Ali El-Gayed, Murray Pettitt, Bailey Wolkowski, and Michal Wesolowski. A compressed sensing based reconstruction algorithm for synchrotron source propagation-based x-ray phase contrast computed tomography. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 806:307–317, 2016.
- [16] Li Mengjie, Li Jing, and Sun Yi. Sparse angular differential phase-contrast computed tomography reconstruction using l[~] 1-norm and curvelet constraints. *Acta Optica Sinica*, 1:015, 2014.
- [17] Xiaoli Yang, Ralf Hofmann, Robin Dapp, Thomas Van de Kamp, Tomy dos Santos Rolo, Xianghui Xiao, Julian Moosmann, Jubin Kashef, and Rainer Stotzka. Tv-based conjugate gradient method and discrete l-curve for few-view ct reconstruction of x-ray in vivo data. *Optics express*, 23(5):5368–5387, 2015.
- [18] Li Jing Sun Yi. L_1-norm-based differential phase-contrast computerized tomography reconstruction algorithm with sparse angular resolution [j]. *Acta Optica Sinica*, 3:014, 2012.
- [19] Yunzhe Zhao, Emmanuel Brun, Paola Coan, Zhifeng Huang, Aniko Sztrókay, Paul Claude Diemoz, Susanne Liebhardt, Alberto Mittone, Sergei Gasilov, Jianwei Miao, et al. Highresolution, low-dose phase contrast x-ray tomography for 3d diagnosis of human breast cancers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(45):18290–18294, 2012.