1-ExploringTheAutomobileMpgDataset

October 17, 2021

```
[1]: import sklearn
     import pandas as pd
     import numpy as np
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     import seaborn as sns
     import datetime
[2]: print(sklearn.__version__)
    0.24.1
[3]: print(np.__version__)
    1.20.1
[4]: print(pd.__version__)
    1.2.4
[5]: 111
     This is a dataset that contains a number of different automobile features,
     ⇒which we use to predict how many
     miles that automobile runs per gallon of fuel.
     automobile_df=pd.read_csv('data/auto-mpg.csv')
[6]: '''
      If you want to view a sample of records in your data frame so that you can u
      \hookrightarrow explore the dataset,
      you can call the df.sample function. The parameter 5 indicates that five \sqcup
      →records should be displayed.
      And here are five records chosen at random from our dataset.
     automobile_df.sample(5)
```

```
[6]:
           mpg cylinders displacement horsepower weight
                                                                 acceleration \
                                      85.0
                                                                          18.6
     247 39.4
                          4
                                                    70
                                                          2070
     74
          13.0
                          8
                                     302.0
                                                   140
                                                          4294
                                                                          16.0
     233 29.0
                          4
                                      97.0
                                                    78
                                                          1940
                                                                          14.5
     327 36.4
                          5
                                     121.0
                                                    67
                                                          2950
                                                                          19.9
     129 31.0
                          4
                                      79.0
                                                    67
                                                          1950
                                                                          19.0
          model year origin
                                                  car name
     247
                   78
                             3
                                           datsun b210 gx
     74
                   72
                             1
                                   ford gran torino (sw)
     233
                   77
                             2
                               volkswagen rabbit custom
     327
                   80
                             2
                                      audi 5000s (diesel)
     129
                             3
                   74
                                              datsun b210
[7]: '''
     The columns at the very right make up the features of our machine learning \Box
      \hookrightarrow model.
     The regression models that we're going to build will use these columns in order_{\sqcup}
      \hookrightarrow to make predictions
     about the miles per gallon for that car.
     There are features such as the number of cylinders the car has, the 
      \hookrightarrow displacement of the car from the bottom,
     the horsepower, the weight, the acceleration, model, year, the origin of the
      \hookrightarrow car, and the name of the car.
     The first column off to the left, the mpg column, gives us the miles per gallon\sqcup
      ⇔ for that particular car,
     and this is what we'll try and predict using regression.
     111
     automobile_df.sample(5)
```

[7]: mpg cylinders displacement horsepower weight acceleration \ 131 32.0 4 71.0 65 1836 21.0 121 15.0 8 318.0 150 3399 11.0 318 29.8 4 134.0 15.5 90 2711 204 32.0 4 85.0 70 1990 17.0 238 33.5 98.0 2075 15.9 83 model year origin car name 74 toyota corolla 1200 131 3 121 73 1 dodge dart custom 318 80 3 tovota corona liftback 204 76 3 datsun b-210 238 77 1 dodge colt m/m

[8]:

The shape variable for any dataset gives us how many records are in the dataset

→ and how many columns.

So we have 398 records and 9 columns of data.

These 9 columns include 8 columns of features and 1 column that forms our

→ machine learning target,

the value we are trying to predict, the mpg.

[8]: (398, 9)

automobile_df.shape

[9]: '''

Now, datasets that we work with in the real world often contain missing fields \neg or values, and these records need to be handled and cleaned in some way. This is part of the data wrangling or preprocessing that will apply to our data.

Now this particular dataset contains question marks(?) in place of missing → fields;

we'll replace all of those question marks with NaNs, or not a numbers. Call the automobile_df.replace function in order to perform this replacement.

automobile_df=automobile_df.replace("?",np.nan)

[10]: '''

And once you have NaNs in place of missing values, it's very easy to clean your $_{\hookrightarrow}$ data frame.

The drop any function on your pandas DataFrame will simply drop all of those \hookrightarrow records which have any fields missing.

automobile_df=automobile_df.dropna()

[11]: '''

And if you take a look at the shape of your data frame now, you see that we \rightarrow have 392 records.

We originally had 398 records, and now it's 392. 6 records had missing fields, they were dropped.

automobile_df.shape

[11]: (392, 9)

[12]: '''

While we are building up the features for our linear regression model, it's pretty clear that the origin of the car and the name of the car has no \sqcup \hookrightarrow impact on its mileage.

This is something that we can determine just by a cursory look at the columns $_{\sqcup}$ \hookrightarrow in our data frame,

so go ahead and drop the origin and car name columns in place.

These features, we know by using our common sense and logic, have no predictive $_{\!\sqcup}$ $_{\!\hookrightarrow\!powers}.$

111

automobile_df.drop(['origin','car name'],axis=1,inplace=True)

[13]: '''

I'm going to call automobile_df.sample to sample five records from our data \hookrightarrow frames.

And here are the features that we're going to work with: cylinders, $\Box \Rightarrow displacement$, horsepower, weight,

acceleration, and model year, and the miles per gallon is our target, what $_{\sqcup}$ $_{\hookrightarrow}$ you're going to try and predict.

automobile_df.sample(5)

from IPython.display import Image

[13]:

_ [
_	mpg	cylinders	displacement	horsepower	weight	acceleration	model year
104	12.0	8	400.0	167	4906	12.5	73
270	21.1	4	134.0	95	2515	14.8	78
190	14.5	8	351.0	152	4215	12.8	76
373	24.0	4	140.0	92	2865	16.4	82
318	29.8	4	134.0	90	2711	15.5	80

[14]: '''

Now this dataset is from the '90s, and you can see that all of the model years \rightarrow are basically 1973, 78, 82, and so on.

Now the model year by itself is just an object. Let's make this useful by \rightarrow converting this to be the age of the car.

It's quite possible that we don't know for sure that the age of the car might \rightarrow have some impact on its mileage.

Before we get to the age, let's convert the year to its full form, 1973, 1980, $_{\sqcup}$ $_{\to}$ and so on,

so I'm going to prepend the string 19 to the model year. So 19 + model year as $\hookrightarrow string$,

will give us the resultant model year.

Assign this new format to the model year column and let's sample our data \hookrightarrow frame and take a look at the result.

The model year now has the full year, 1982, 1972, and so on.

automobile_df['model year'] = '19' + automobile_df['model year'].astype(str)

[15]: '''

Assign this new format to the model year column and let's sample our data \rightarrow frame and take a look at the result.

The model year now has the full year, 1982, 1972, and so on.

Now with this, we can calculate how old this particular car is.

automobile_df.sample(5)

datomobile_di.bdmpie(0)

[15]: mpg cylinders displacement horsepower weight acceleration model year 108 20.0 97.0 2279 19.0 1973 4 88 222 17.0 8 260.0 4060 19.0 1977 110 329 44.6 4 91.0 13.8 1980 67 1850 129 31.0 79.0 67 1950 19.0 1974 191 22.0 6 225.0 100 3233 15.4 1976

[16]: '''

You can choose any reference date to calculate the age, as long as it's later \rightarrow than the last year that the car was made.

In order to keep things simple, we'll calculate each field by subtracting from the current year.

I'll use the datetime library to access the current year we're at; this year will be in numeric form.

And I'll convert the data in the model year column to numeric form by calling to pd. to_numeric.

The result will be a number that will represent the age of a particular car.

I''

automobile_df['age']=datetime.datetime.now().year-pd.

to_numeric(automobile_df['model year'])

[17]: '''

Go ahead and drop the original model year field, we no longer needed because ω we have the age column.

automobile_df.drop(['model year'], axis=1, inplace=True)

[18]: '''

Let's view a sample of this data frame.

Once again, you can see we now have each column which tells you how old this \Box \rightarrow particular car is.

The absolute values for these ages don't really matter so much.

It is their relative values that are more significant.

If a car is older than another, it's possible that its mileage goes down.

automobile_df.sample(5)

[18]:	mpg	cylinders	displacement	horsepower	weight	acceleration	age
252	19.2	6	231.0	105	3535	19.2	43
104	12.0	8	400.0	167	4906	12.5	48
72	15.0	8	304.0	150	3892	12.5	49
267	27.5	4	134.0	95	2560	14.2	43
8	14.0	8	455.0	225	4425	10.0	51


[19]: '''

```
Take a look at the data types of the different columns.
       You'll find that all of them are numeric except for one, that is the horsepower _{\!\scriptscriptstyle \perp}
       \hookrightarrow column.
       The horsepower is a numeric field, but its data type in our data frame is_{\sqcup}
       \hookrightarrow object.
       We need to fix this. This is very easily done using pandas.
       , , ,
      automobile_df.dtypes
[19]: mpg
                        float64
      cylinders
                           int64
                        float64
      displacement
      horsepower
                         object
      weight
                           int64
      acceleration
                         float64
      age
                           int64
      dtype: object
[20]:
      Simply call pd.to\_numeric to convert horsepower to a numeric field and assign_{\sqcup}
       \hookrightarrow it to the horsepower column once again.
      automobile_df['horsepower']=pd.
       →to numeric(automobile df['horsepower'],errors='coerce')
[21]: '''
      Let's now call describe on our dataset in order to get a few statistical bits\sqcup
       →of information about all of our
      numerical features.
       You can see that all of the features in our dataset are now numeric.
       We have mean value, standard deviations, and the different percentiles_{\sqcup}
       \hookrightarrow displayed here.
       The describe function in pandas is an easy way for you to get a quick feel for ...
       \hookrightarrow your numeric data.
       111
```

```
[21]:
                    mpg
                           cylinders
                                      displacement
                                                     horsepower
                                                                       weight \
                          392.000000
                                         392.000000
                                                     392.000000
             392.000000
                                                                   392.000000
      count
              23.445918
                            5.471939
                                         194.411990
                                                     104.469388 2977.584184
      mean
      std
               7.805007
                            1.705783
                                         104.644004
                                                      38.491160
                                                                   849.402560
      min
               9.000000
                            3.000000
                                          68.000000
                                                      46.000000 1613.000000
      25%
                            4.000000
                                                      75.000000
                                                                  2225.250000
              17.000000
                                         105.000000
      50%
              22.750000
                            4.000000
                                         151.000000
                                                      93.500000
                                                                  2803.500000
      75%
              29.000000
                            8.000000
                                         275.750000
                                                     126.000000
                                                                  3614.750000
              46.600000
                            8.000000
                                         455.000000
                                                     230.000000 5140.000000
      max
             acceleration
                                   age
      count
               392.000000
                            392.000000
                15.541327
                             45.020408
      mean
      std
                 2.758864
                              3.683737
      min
                             39.000000
                 8.000000
      25%
                13.775000
                             42.000000
      50%
                15.500000
                             45.000000
      75%
                17.025000
                             48.000000
                24.800000
                             51.000000
      max
[22]: '''
      Understanding the features of our dataset and what we're trying to predict is_{\sqcup}
       \hookrightarrow the first step.
      The next step is to explore the data using visualizations.
      I'm going to use Matplotlib to plot a few scatter plots in order to understand \sqcup
      pairwise relationships that exists in my data.
       here I'm going to plot age versus the automobile's miles per gallon.
       We thought it might be possible that the older car is, the lower its mileage.
       Let's see if that's true using our visualization.
      , , ,
      fig,ax=plt.subplots(figsize=(12,8))
      plt.scatter(automobile_df['age'],automobile_df['mpg'])
      plt.xlabel('Age')
      plt.ylabel('Miles per gallon')
```


[22]: Text(0, 0.5, 'Miles per gallon')

automobile_df.describe()




[23]: And you can see that there is a definite downward trend here. Now this doesn't necessarily mean that a relationship does exist that needs → more statistical analysis, but this visualization seems to tell us that older cars have lower mileage.

[23]:



```
[24]: fig,ax=plt.subplots(figsize=(12,8))
    plt.scatter(automobile_df['acceleration'],automobile_df['mpg'])
    plt.xlabel('Acceleration')
    plt.ylabel('Miles per gallon')
```

[24]: Text(0, 0.5, 'Miles per gallon')



[25]: '''

Let's plot another scatter plot here.

This time we'll try and see whether the acceleration of a particular car has \rightarrow any impact on mileage.


on the y axis, there's a definite upward slope to the scatter plot.

So maybe there is a relationship here.

111

 $\label{localized-localiz$

[25]:



```
[26]:

I'm curious about another one of our input features, that is the weight of the

car.

Does the weight of the automobile have any significant impact on its mileage?

Maybe this scatter plot will give us some information.

And yes, definitely there is a downward trend here.

It seems like greater the weight of the car, lower its mileage, which makes

sense to us intuitively.

'''


fig,ax=plt.subplots(figsize=(12,8))

plt.scatter(automobile_df['weight'],automobile_df['mpg'])

plt.xlabel('Weight')

plt.ylabel('Miles per gallon')
```


[26]: Text(0, 0.5, 'Miles per gallon')



[27]: Image('/Users/subhasish/Documents/APPLE/SUBHASISH/Development/GIT/Interstellar/

SB-AI-DEV/ML/SB/LinerRegression/Images/2021-10-16_02-31-31.jpg')

[27]:



```
What about how the car is positioned relative to the ground, the displacement

→ of the car versus mileage,
is there any relationship?

And once again, the visualization seems to say yes.


It seems like greater the displacement of the car off the ground, lower the

→ miles per gallon it travels.

'''

fig,ax=plt.subplots(figsize=(12,8))
plt.scatter(automobile_df['displacement'],automobile_df['mpg'])
plt.xlabel('Displacement')
plt.ylabel('Miles per gallon')
```


[28]: Text(0, 0.5, 'Miles per gallon')



[29]: Image('/Users/subhasish/Documents/APPLE/SUBHASISH/Development/GIT/Interstellar/

SB-AI-DEV/ML/SB/LinerRegression/Images/2021-10-16_02-33-37.jpg')

[29]:



```
This pairwise exploration of variables really helps us cement our understanding of the underlying dataset.

What about horsepower, does it affect the miles per gallon?

Yes, indeed, it does.

'''


fig,ax=plt.subplots(figsize=(12,8))

plt.scatter(automobile_df['horsepower'],automobile_df['mpg'])

plt.xlabel('Horsepower')

plt.ylabel('Miles per gallon')
```


[30]: Text(0, 0.5, 'Miles per gallon')



[31]: Image('/Users/subhasish/Documents/APPLE/SUBHASISH/Development/GIT/Interstellar/

SB-AI-DEV/ML/SB/LinerRegression/Images/2021-10-16_02-35-22.jpg')

[31]:



```
[32]:

Let's consider one last visualization here, cylinders versus mpg.

And this scatter plot definitely seems to be a little harder to pass as compared with others.

Cars with four cylinders overall seem to have the best miles per gallon.

When you train your machine learning model, you feed it features that you think care significant.

'''


fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(12, 8))

plt.scatter(automobile_df['cylinders'], automobile_df['mpg'])

plt.xlabel('Cylinders')

plt.ylabel('Miles per gallon')
```

[32]: Text(0, 0.5, 'Miles per gallon')



[33]: '''

Now it's quite possible that your features themselves have interrelationships $_{\sqcup}$ $_{\hookrightarrow}$ or correlations with one another.

Correlations is a statistical measure that tells you whether and how strongly $_{\!\!\!\!\perp}$ -pairs of variables are related.

Data frames offer this nifty little core function that will list out pairwise \cup correlations between every pair of variables in your dataset.

Correlation values are floating point numbers between -1 and 1.

1 implies a perfect positive correlation between two variables.

Positive correlation implies that two variables move together in the same direction.

A negative correlation implies that the two variables move in different \rightarrow directions.

```
The raw correlation numbers tell us that acceleration is positively correlated \Box
       \rightarrow with the mileage per gallon.
       You can also see that weight is negatively correlated with miles per gallon.
       In fact, weight is highly negatively correlated, - 0.83.
      automobile_corr=automobile_df.corr()
      automobile_corr
[33]:
                         mpg cylinders displacement horsepower
                                                                      weight \
                    1.000000 -0.777618
                                             -0.805127
                                                         -0.778427 -0.832244
     mpg
      cylinders
                   -0.777618
                              1.000000
                                              0.950823
                                                          0.842983 0.897527
      displacement -0.805127
                                              1.000000
                                                         0.897257 0.932994
                               0.950823
      horsepower
                   -0.778427 0.842983
                                              0.897257
                                                          1.000000 0.864538
      weight
                   -0.832244
                                                         0.864538 1.000000
                               0.897527
                                              0.932994
      acceleration 0.423329 -0.504683
                                             -0.543800
                                                        -0.689196 -0.416839
                   -0.580541
                               0.345647
                                              0.369855
                                                         0.416361 0.309120
      age
                    acceleration
                                       age
                        0.423329 -0.580541
      mpg
                       -0.504683 0.345647
      cylinders
                       -0.543800 0.369855
      displacement
     horsepower
                       -0.689196 0.416361
      weight
                       -0.416839 0.309120
      acceleration
                       1.000000 -0.290316
                       -0.290316 1.000000
      age
[34]: '''
       Viewing correlations with the raw numbers is hard, which is why we use a_{\sqcup}
       \rightarrow visualization technique
       called the heatmap in order to view correlations in our data.
       When we pass in annot is equal to True to the heatmap in Seaborn, it will_ \Box
       →print out the actual
       correlation number along with the color-coded grid.
       And this is what a heatmap looks like. Lighter colors tending towards creamu
       ⇒ denote positive correlation,
       darker colors tending towards black denote negative correlation.
       This value of - 0.58 is in the mpg row and the age column.
       This shows that the miles per gallon seems very negatively correlated with the \sqcup
       \hookrightarrow age of the car.
      fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(12, 8))
      sns.heatmap(automobile_corr,annot=True)
```


[34]: <AxesSubplot:>



[35]: Image('/Users/subhasish/Documents/APPLE/SUBHASISH/Development/GIT/Interstellar/

SB-AI-DEV/ML/SB/LinerRegression/Images/2021-10-16_02-49-07.jpg')

[35]:



```
[36]: '''
       We've done a bunch of preprocessing on our dataset, we've also viewed the \Box
       \hookrightarrow relationships in our data.
       Now let's take this updated data frame and shuffle it so that we feed and \sqcup
       ⇔shuffle data to our
       machine learning models.
       I'll use the sample function on our data frame to shuffle my dataset,
       I'm keeping all of the original samples, frac is equal to 1, and I'm resetting\Box
       \hookrightarrow the indices.
       Drop is equal to True, passed into reset_index will drop the original index\Box
       \rightarrow values that existed in our data frame.
       Here is our shuffled and cleaned up data frame.
       Now, shuffling data before feeding into an ML model is important so that our 
       \rightarrow model doesn't
       inadvertently pick up patterns that do not exist.
       so it's important that your data be shuffled.
       model.
       IIII
      automobile_df = automobile_df.sample(frac=1).reset_index(drop=True)
      automobile_df.head()
```

[36]:		mpg	cylinders	displacement	horsepower	weight	acceleration	age
	0	19.0	4	121.0	112	2868	15.5	48
	1	46.6	4	86.0	65	2110	17.9	41
	2	25.0	4	140.0	75	2542	17.0	47
	3	15.0	6	258.0	110	3730	19.0	46
	4	31.0	4	91.0	68	1970	17.6	39

```
[37]:

I'm going to save my shuffled and cleaned up dataset to a new CSV file,

→auto-mpg- processed.csv.

This is the CSV file that I'll use to build my regression models.

'''

automobile_df.to_csv('data/auto-mpg-processed.csv', index=False)
```

```
Here is what the dataset looks like. The features are cylinders, displacement, 

→horsepower, weight,

acceleration, and age, and we'll use these features in a linear regression 

→model in order

to predict the mileage of the car.

'''

automobile_df=pd.read_csv('data/auto-mpg-processed.csv')

automobile_df.sample(5)
```

[38]:		mpg	cylinders	displacement	horsepower	weight	acceleration	age
	114	18.0	3	70.0	90	2124	13.5	48
	321	15.0	8	390.0	190	3850	8.5	51
	16	32.9	4	119.0	100	2615	14.8	40
	196	20.0	6	232.0	100	2914	16.0	46
	79	13.0	8	400.0	190	4422	12.5	49

```
[39]:

'''

When you are building and training a machine learning model,
how do you know that the model that you've built is a good one?

Well, you'll evaluate your model on test data.

Test data are basically a holdout from your training dataset.
These are instances your model hasn't seen before, and you'll see how well your

→ model predicts
using those instances.

Scikit-learn offers a useful train_test_split function in order to split your

→ data into training and test sets.

'''

from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
```

```
[41]: '''
      Let's split our data into training and test sets.
      It's pretty common to use 20% of your data as test data used to measure and \Box
      → evaluate the model that you build
      using your training data, which is 80% of your dataset.
      We've already shuffled our dataset earlier;
      however, you should know that the train test split function in scikit-learn u
       \hookrightarrow automatically shuffles
      your data as well.
      x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, Y, test_size=0.2)
[42]: '''
      Let's take a look at a sample from our training dataset.
      We've used just one feature here, we have just one column for horsepower.
      x_{train.sample}(5)
[42]:
           horsepower
      252
                   150
      81
                   78
      122
                   165
                   96
      194
      389
                  110
```

[43]: '''


Scikit-learn offers us high-level estimator objects that we can use to build \rightarrow and train our machine learning model.

In order to perform linear regression, we'll use the LinearRegression estimator $_$ $_$ object.

Import this object and let's instantiate a linear model.

from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression

[43]:



[44]: Image('/Users/subhasish/Documents/APPLE/SUBHASISH/Development/GIT/Interstellar/

→SB-AI-DEV/ML/SB/LinerRegression/Images/2021-10-16_21-16-23.jpg')

[44]:



[45]:

The features that you feed into your machine learning model are numeric and → typically when you're working with
numbers, your ML model performs far better if you normalize your data.

If you pass in the parameter normalize is equal to True to your → LinearRegression estimator object,
this will scale all your numeric features to be between 0 and 1.

For a simple model, such as the ones that we'll build in this particular → course,
you'll find that normalizing your dataset may or may not make a difference,
but for more complex models in the real world, normalizing your numeric data is → a standard preprocessing

technique for machine learning.

The fit function on an estimator object is what you call to train your machine

⇒learning model.


This fits the linear model on the training data, so it trains the linear model

⇒using our single feature,

the horsepower, and it uses the target values to adjust the model parameters.

[46]: Image('/Users/subhasish/Documents/APPLE/SUBHASISH/Development/GIT/Interstellar/
→SB-AI-DEV/ML/SB/LinerRegression/Images/2021-10-16_21-22-19.jpg')

[46]:



[47]:

A way to measure how well your model has performed on the training data is to□

⇒score your model using the

R squared score.

The score function on your linear regression model will return the R square□

⇒value for your training data.

As we discussed, this R square value is a measure of how well our linear model□

⇒captures the underlying

variation in our training data.

And you can see here that with just a single feature, horsepower, our model has□

⇒an R square of 60%.

It isn't great, but it isn't terrible either.

```
print('Training score: ', linear_model.score(x_train, y_train))

Training score: 0.6040260228388256

[48]:

///

Now that we have a fully trained model built using a single feature, let's use_□

→ this model for prediction.

Call linear_model.predict and pass in our test data, as in only X values are_□

→ the features to predict.

And here are our predictions, saved in y pred.
```

111

y_pred=linear_model.predict(x_test)

[49]: '''

A way to objectively measure how well your linear model performed on instances $_{\sqcup}$ $_{\hookrightarrow}$ it

hasn't seen before is to calculate the R square score on your test data.

The sklearn.metrics namespace offers a number of useful metrics to use with \rightarrow your ML models.

Import the r2_score function here from the sklearn.metrics namespace, and use \rightarrow that to score how your model performed on the test data.

Pass in the predicted values from your model, and compare them with the actual $_$ $_$ values in your test data.

from sklearn.metrics import r2_score
print('Testing score: ', r2_score(y_test, y_pred))

Testing score: 0.6134703584934424

[50]: '''

And with just one feature, our linear regression model has an R squared score \rightarrow of 60%.

Compare that with the R square score of the training data.


The R square on test data is better than on training data, which means that our woodel is a good, robust model,

not overfitted on the training data.

An overfitted model is one that does well on the training data, but does poorly \neg when used for prediction or on test data.

[50]:

```
[51]: '''
      Let's use a little visualization to see how well our linear model fits on the \Box
       \hookrightarrow underlying data.
      I'll first plot a scatterplot of horsepower versus miles per gallon.
      This scatterplot represents the test dataset and the actual Y values.
      I'll then plot a line representing the horsepower and predictions from our \Box
       \hookrightarrow linear model in the red color.
      And here is what the resulting visualization looks like.
      The scatterplot represents the test data, the red line represents the predicted \Box
       \hookrightarrow values from our model.
      This is our linear model.
      fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(12, 8))
      plt.scatter(x test, y test)
      plt.plot(x_test, y_pred, color='r')
      plt.xlabel('Horsepower')
      plt.ylabel('Mpg')
      plt.show()
```



[52]: '''

Let's build one more linear model.

The feature that we'll use this time to train our model is the age of the car.

The features from our X variables and our Y values are the target, what we want \sqcup \hookrightarrow to predict, miles per gallon.

Split our dataset into training and test data, initialize a LinearRegression \sqcup \rightarrow estimator object,

make sure you normalize your numeric features, and call fit on the training \hookrightarrow data.

Once we have a fully trained linear regression model, print out the R square \sqcup ⇔score for this model on the

training data, use this model for prediction on the test data, and print out_{\sqcup} \hookrightarrow the R square score on the test data as well.

And here is how the two scores compare when we use age as our feature. The training R square score is 36%, and the test score is 19%, so this is a_{\sqcup} \hookrightarrow pretty poor model.

X=automobile_df[['age']]


```
Y=automobile_df['mpg']
x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, Y, test_size=0.2)
linear_model = LinearRegression(normalize=True).fit(x_train, y_train)
print('Training score: ', linear_model.score(x_train, y_train))

y_pred = linear_model.predict(x_test)

print('Testing score: ', r2_score(y_test, y_pred))
```

Training score: 0.36112880608556863 Testing score: 0.19827232820453733

```
[53]: '''
      So, age by itself is not really a good predictor for the car's mileage, and \Box
       → this will be born out using our
      visualization as well.
      Plot a scatter plot of X versus actual Y and X versus Y predicted, and here is_{\sqcup}
      ⇒what the result looks like.
      You can see that the line that we've drawn here really doesn't capture the 
      →underlying variation in the data well,
      which is why this model has a low R square score.
      The points are too scattered, too far apart, the line really doesn't represent \Box
       \hookrightarrow them well.
      111
      fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(12, 8))
      plt.scatter(x_test, y_test)
      plt.plot(x_test, y_pred, color='r')
      plt.xlabel('Age')
      plt.ylabel('Mpg')
      plt.show()
```



This time, instead of using a single feature to train our model, we'll use more than one feature. Create our X variables that we'll use to train our model using displacement, whorsepower, and weight. We'll use these three features to predict the miles per gallon for the cars in our dataset. MPG is our Y variable assigned to the Y data frame. X=automobile_df[['displacement', 'horsepower', 'weight']] Y=automobile_df['mpg']

[55]:

With our data all set up, let's call the train_test_split function to split

into training data that we'll use to build our model,

and test data that we'll use to measure our model.

""

x_train,x_test,y_train,y_test=train_test_split(X,Y,test_size=0.2)

[56]: '''

We'll continue to work with the LinearRegression estimator object, and we'll

→normalize all of the numeric features

```
that we pass in to train our model.

Call the fit function on your estimator object to start the training process, 

→ and pass in the training

data and the corresponding training Y values.

'''

linear_model=LinearRegression(normalize=True).fit(x_train,y_train)
```

[58]:

Once the model has completed training, call the linear_model.score function to

calculate the R square score on the training data.

You can see from this R square score here that the additional features that

we've used to train our model have real predictive power.

Our R square has improved, it's now 71 %.

Earlier when we used just a single feature, horsepower, our R square was

around 59% on the training data, so this is a definite improvement.

"""

print("Training Score : ", linear_model.score(x_train,y_train))

Training Score : 0.7170149678642119

[59]: Image('/Users/subhasish/Documents/APPLE/SUBHASISH/Development/GIT/Interstellar/

→SB-AI-DEV/ML/SB/LinerRegression/Images/2021-10-17_11-17-07.jpg')

'''

A linear model assumes a linear relationship between your input features and
→ the output that


you're trying to predict, and this linear relationship can be represented as Y
→ is equal to WX + B,

where W is the weight, or the coefficients that you use to multiply your X
→ variables, the features.

W is also referred to as the model weight or model parameters.

'''

[59]:



[62]: ''' You can use the linear model instance in order to get the coefficients for \Box \rightarrow your X variables or the predictors. Get the predictors from your x_train data frame, these are the columns of your_ \hookrightarrow data frame, and we'll instantiate a pandas series with a coefficient for each predictor. And let's print out these coefficients and see what they are. The coefficients of your linear model for horsepower, displacement, and weight \sqcup \rightarrow are all negative. This indicates that as the values for these features increase, the mileage of \Box \hookrightarrow the car tends to go down. 111 predictors=x_train.columns coef=pd.Series(linear_model.coef_,predictors).sort_values() coef [62]: horsepower -0.031554displacement -0.008993 weight -0.005457dtype: float64 [63]: ''' The R square on the training data for this model with additional features was \sqcup \hookrightarrow much better. Let's use this model for prediction and store the predicted values in y pred. y_pred=linear_model.predict(x_test) [64]: ''' Let's now calculate the R square score for the test data. And you can see that there is a significant improvement here as well. The R square score on test data is almost 76%. Once again, the R square \Box ⇒calculated on test data is better than the R square on training data, indicating that it's a robust model. The overall higher R square that we get with three features instead of one \sqcup →means that this model has better predictive power. print("Testing Score : ", r2_score(y_test,y_pred))

Testing Score: 0.6562725029103171

```
[65]:

It's always fun to visualize our results. Let's plot the predicted values_

versus actual values from our dataset using a line chart,

and see how closely they track one another.

And here's what the result looks like. The values predicted by our model are in_

blue, and actual values are in orange.

You can see that these two lines track each other pretty closely.

plt.figure(figsize = (20,10))


plt.plot(y_pred, label='Predicted')

plt.plot(y_test.values, label='Actual')

plt.ylabel('Mpg')

plt.legend()

plt.show()
```



```
[67]:

Well, we added more features, we got a better model.

What if we add even more features? Displacement, horsepower, and weight are

→ the features that we're using currently.

I'm going to include acceleration, as well as the number of cylinders.

For now, I'm going to go over these five features to train our machine

→ learning model.
```

```
We'll instantiate a new LinearRegression estimator object and fit on this...
       \hookrightarrow new training data.
          Once this new model has been trained, let's calculate its R squared.
          Let's see what kind of a model it is. Now, the original R square that we_{\sqcup}
       → got earlier with three features was around 69%.
          Hit Shift+Enter to calculate the new R squared, and you might say wow, it's \sqcup
       \hookrightarrow73%, this is definitely a better model.
          Use the linear model to predict on our x_test dataset, save the predictions\Box
       \rightarrow in y_pred, and let's calculate the r2_score for our test data.
          The R square on test data is 60.9 %, almost as low as the R square that we_{\sqcup}
       ⇒got when we trained a model using just a single feature, the horsepower.
          So a lesson learned here. More features do not necessarily make a better_{\sqcup}
       \hookrightarrow model.
          What we just performed is what is sometimes called kitchen sink regression \Box
       →where we throw all of the features that we have into our model.
          Kitchen sink regression does not necessarily perform well because all of ...
       →our model features may not have good predictive power.
      X=automobile_df[['displacement', 'horsepower', 'weight', 'acceleration', 'cylinders']]
      Y=automobile df['mpg']
      x_train,x_test,y_train,y_test=train_test_split(X,Y,test_size=0.2)
      linear_model=LinearRegression(normalize=True).fit(x_train,y_train)
      print("Training Score : ", linear_model.score(x_train,y_train))
      y_pred=linear_model.predict(x_test)
      print("Testing Score : ", r2_score(y_test,y_pred))
     Training Score: 0.713982761241602
     Testing Score: 0.6721641982553026
[68]: '''
      once again to get the coefficients of all of the features that we've included.
      We get five coefficients corresponding to the five features that we used to \Box
       \hookrightarrow train \ our \ model.
      predictors=x_train.columns
      coef=pd.Series(linear_model.coef_,predictors).sort_values()
```

coef

[68]: cylinders -0.350272 horsepower -0.038871 displacement -0.007406 weight -0.004643 acceleration 0.041235

dtype: float64

[]: