

# Untangling Structure from Operations on Business Data

---



**Zoran Horvat**

OWNER AT CODING HELMET CONSULTANCY

@zoranh75    codinghelmet.com



# Two Faces of Abstract Types in Programming

**Corresponds to a physical phenomenon**

`IPainter` class represents a *person* who paints the wall

**Simplifies another phenomenon (real or not)**

`IPainter` can also be a *group of people* working together



# Composite Pattern

**Compose a number of objects into a new object exposing the same public interface.**

**Composite object looks like a single object**

It can receive method calls just like a single object does



# Naming Concrete Classes

**Give concrete classes meaningful names**

Implementing an interface

Painter implementing IPainter

ProportionalPainter implements  
IPainter and gives it a meaning



# Recognizing Non-Object-Oriented Code

## **Client is doing everything by itself**

It should call other objects to do the work instead

The client is complicated

## **Added requirement asks for added code in the client**

It should ask for adding a new class instead

Existing classes should remain the same



# Name Classes the Same as You Talk

If talking about  
painters, avoid  
presenting  
`IEnumerable`  
`<Painter>`

Avoid artificial  
mapping between  
spoken language  
and code

We speak of  
painters, and see  
the type named  
`Painters`



# Keep Abstractions Abstract

**Proportional-  
Painter class  
didn't tell out  
that an object  
contains other  
objects**

**Painters class  
communicates  
that it contains  
other objects**

**Communicate this  
information only if  
it helps the client**



# Should We Expose a Collection?

**Are we only interested in  
having the wall painted?**

It's not important if there are  
multiple painters working

**Are we interested to  
organize workers?**

It is important to know how  
many of them there are





```
painters  
    .GetAvailable()  
    .GetCheapestOne(sqMeters);
```



```
painters  
    .FindCheapest(sqMeters);
```



```
painters  
    // No call to GetAvailable!  
    .GetCheapestOne(sqMeters);
```



- ◀ **Consumer is calling two primitives to complete the operation**
  - Isolate available painters
  - Then pick the cheapest one
- ◀ **Should we add this combination to the Painters class?**
- ◀ **No, feature provider should only expose primitives.**
- ◀ **What if the consumer chooses not to filter unavailable painters out? (E.g. the consumer is investigating the market.)**
- ◀ **For that reason, do not combine primitives at the providing end.**

# Delegate vs. Abstract Method

## Func/Action Delegate

Delegate can be supplied  
from the outside

We can extend the implementation  
without adding new classes

## Abstract Method in the Base Class

Abstract method requires  
a derived class

We have to add new derived classes  
in order to extend the implementation



# Summary



## The problem of repeatedly iterating through a sequence

- Wrap the sequence in a class
- Do not expose general-purpose collection methods
- Expose meaningful primitive operations instead

## Client code becomes simpler

- Client combines primitive methods and builds larger features

# Summary



## Composite pattern

- Container exposes the same interface as its contained elements
- Construct a special mapping function
- Maps many objects into a single object of the same kind

## Collection vs. Composite idea

- Both are valid and useful



### ***Next module -***

*Turning Algorithms into  
Strategy Objects*

