# Turning Optional Calls into Calls on Optional Objects



Zoran Horvat
OWNER AT CODING HELMET CONSULTANCY

@zoranh75 codinghelmet.com

### Requirements



### Electrical circuitry may fail

- Customer may then claim circuitry warranty

# Warranty is claimed with date when malfunction was detected

- This complication is intended to render Null Object not applicable



### Dealing with Null References

### **Null Object and Special Case**

Can replace most of the occurrences of null

### **Optional objects**

Handle cases where Null Object and Special Case are not applicable



### Case #1 - Branching

```
if (Circuitry != null)
{
   Circuitry
    .MarkDefective(date);

   CircuitryWarranty = warranty;
}
```

#### Case #2 - Null Object

```
Circuitry = SomeObject;
Circuitry.MarkDefective(date);
CircuitryWarranty = warranty;
```

◆ Can we introduce Null Object here?

- Suppose that we can apply it
  SomeObject is Null Object
- MarkDefective() would do nothing This is what Null Object can do
- But Null Object cannot stop this operation from executing



#### Case #1 - Branching

```
if (Circuitry != null)
{
   CircuitryWarranty
     .Claim(
        Circuitry.DefectDetectedOn,
        action);
}
```

#### Case #2 - Null Object

```
Circuitry = SomeObject;

DateTime date =
   Circuitry.DefectDetectedOn;

Warranty.Claim(date, action)
```

◆ Can we introduce Null Object here?

- Suppose that we can apply it
  SomeObject is Null Object
- DefectDetectedOn returns date Null Object has to make it up
- But Claim() can still make changes to the system

```
if (Circuitry != null)
{
   Circuitry
       .MarkDefective(date);

   CircuitryWarranty = warranty;
}
```

- Do we have to fall back to branching?
- Understanding null conditions
  If there is an object...
  Then perform operation
- We can call this "optional call"
- ◆ Optional object idea
   Perform operation unconditionally
   Optional object does nothing if it is empty

# Null Object vs. Null Reference

### **Null Object implementation**

```
Circuitry = nullObj;
Warranty.Claim(
   Circuitry.DefectDetectedOn,
   onValidClaim);
```

DefectDetectedOn is always called Claim() is always invoked

We want Circuitry to always be non-null

### **Null reference implementation**

```
if (Circuitry != null)
{
   Warranty.Claim(
      Circuitry.DefectDetectedOn,
      onValidClaim);
}
```

DefectDetectedOn not called if null

Claim() not invoked if null

We want Claim() to be invoked only if circuitry exists



# Designing the Optional Object

in it or there is nothing in it?

a collection.

Intain more than one element.



# Optional Objects: The Working Principle

# Implemented as the collection

Contain one object, or

Contain no objects

# Turn calls optional

Call if there is an object inside

No call if there is no object inside

# Collect the benefits

No more null tests

No more null returns



# Note on Functional Programming Langauges

against a nattern

agamst a pattern

r to Pluralsight courses on F#



### Demo



New Option class has been implemented

Entire implementation will not be shown here

One more important aspect will be discussed

- Steps to write code which produces proper fluent interface



#### name

```
.When(s => s.Length > 3)
.Do(s =>
   Console.WriteLine($"{s} long"))
.WhenSome()
.Do(s =>
   Console.WriteLine($"{s} short"))
.WhenNone()
.Do(() =>
   Console.WriteLine("missing"))
.Execute();
```

- What will appear after dot?
- ◆ Only When...() methods should be available
- Return from When() exposes Do() or MapTo()
- Return from Do() rules out MapTo() on all subsequent objects
  Only When...() and Execute() are available after the first Do() call

▼ Final Execute() following the last Do() terminates the chain



- Similar sequence here
- ◄ Final call is Map() and it returns
  a string in this example
- This magic is supported by a system of types
- Each call returns new object which carries knowledge accumulated in all previous calls
- ▼ The first MapTo() call rules out Do() calls further on
- ◆ Only compatible MapTo() method calls can follow Those MapTo() which map to the same resulting type
- ★ Keep C# code strongly typed
   Compiler then helps find errors



# Symptoms of Combinatorial Explosion

uuunes agann

rows by an order of magnitude



# Consequences of Combinatorial Explosion

Each class alone gets complicated

Lots of duplicated code that cannot be removed

Duplication
appears in classes
without the
common
base type



# Orthogonal Concepts Lead to Explosion





```
class Option<T> : IEnumerable<T>
  private IEnumerable<T> Content { get; }
  private Option(IEnumerable<T> content)
    this.Content = content;
  public static Option<T> Some(T value) =>
    new Option<T>(new[] {value});
  public static Option<T> None() =>
    new Option<T>(new T[0]);
  public IEnumerator<T> GetEnumerator() =>
    this.Content.GetEnumerator();
  IEnumerator IEnumerable.GetEnumerator() =>
    this.GetEnumerator();
```

- Each of my projects has Option implementation similar to this
- ▼ Version implementing
   IEnumerable<T> is easy to use
- ◀ It lacks pattern matching
- Single-line methods don't require pattern matching

# Object-oriented Design Method

#### Start from the calling side

Let the caller define interface it needs

# Design custom types to navigate the caller

Objects should only expose methods that are safe to call

#### Let interfaces and classes grow

Type safety saves the caller from mistakes

### Invalid calls must be impossible

Current object must not expose inaccessible methods



### Summary



# There are cases where an object is just missing

- That renders Null Object and Special Case patterns non-applicable
- That doesn't mean we should use null

### Alternative to null are Options

- Option either contains an object, or contains nothing
- Turns code readable again
- No chance of NullReferenceException improves stability

Next module Avoiding switch instruction

