#### PREDICATE CALCULUS

Conversion to Normal Form

#### Inference Rules



Universal elimination:

 $\forall x$  Likes(x, IceCream) with the substitution  $\{x \mid Einstein\}$  gives us Likes(Einstein, IceCream)

The substitution has to be done by a ground term

Existential elimination: (Skolemization)

From <u>Jx Likes(x, IceCream)</u> we may infer <u>Likes(Man, IceCrea)</u> as long as Man does not appear elsewhere in the Knowledge base

Existential introduction:

From Likes (Monalisa, IceCream) we can infer  $\exists x \text{ Likes}(x, \text{ IceCream})$ 

## Basic Steps



- Convert the set of rules and facts into clause form. (conjunction of clauses/disjunction of clauses)
- Insert the negation of the goal as another clause
- Use resolution to deduce a refutation

 $A(xz) \wedge P(x,y) \wedge A(y,z) \rangle NF$  If a refutation is obtained, then the goal can be

deduced from the set of facts and rules.

□ A formula is said to be in clause form if it is of the form:

$$\forall x 1 \ \forall x 2 \dots \ \forall x n [C1 \land C2 \land \dots \land Ck]$$

- All first order logic formulas can be converted to clause form
- We shall demonstrate the conversion on the formula:

Step 1: Take the existential closure and eliminate redundant quantifiers. This introduces ∃x1 and eliminates and ∃z, so:

```
\forall x \{p(x) \rightarrow \exists z \{ \neg \forall y [q(x,y) \rightarrow p(f(\underline{x1})] \land \forall y [q(x,y) \rightarrow p(x)] \} \}
```

$$\exists x 1 \ \forall x \{p(x) \rightarrow \{ \ \neg \forall y \ [q(x,y) \rightarrow p(f(x1)] \land \forall y \ [q(x,y) \rightarrow p(x)] \} \}$$

Step2: Rename any variable that is quantified more than once. y has been quantified twice, so:

```
\exists x 1 \ \forall x \ \{p(x) \rightarrow \{\ \neg \forall y \ [q(x,y) \rightarrow p(f(x1)] \land \forall y \ [q(x,y) \rightarrow p(x)] \ \}\}
```

```
\exists x 1 \ \forall x \ \{p(x) \rightarrow \{\ \neg \forall y \ [q(x,y) \rightarrow p(f(x1)] \land \forall z \ [q(x,z) \rightarrow p(x)] \}\}
```

## AAVB

### Conversion to Normal Form

□ Step3: Eliminate Implication.

```
\exists x 1 \ \forall x \ \{p(x) \rightarrow \{\ \neg \forall y \ [q(x,y) \rightarrow p(f(x1))] \land \forall z \ [q(x,z) \rightarrow p(x)] \}\}
```

```
\exists x 1 \ \forall x \ \{ \neg p(x) \ \lor \ \{ \neg \forall y \ [ \neg q(x,y) \lor p(f(x1))] \land \forall z \ [ \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \ \} \}
```

Conversion to Clausal Form

$$745c = 3x$$
 $745c = 3x$ 

□ Step4: Move the negation all the way inwards  $\exists x1 \ \forall x \ \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ \neg \forall y \ [ \neg q(x,y) \lor p(f(x1))] \land \forall z \} \}$   $[ \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \}$ 

```
\exists x 1 \ \forall x \ \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ \ \underline{\exists y} \ [\underline{q(x,y)} \land \neg p(f(x1))] \land \forall z \} [\neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \}
```

#### Conversion to Clausal Form

```
Step5: Push the quantifiers to the right
    \exists x 1 \ \forall x \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ \ \exists y [q(x,y) \land \neg p(f(x1))] \land \forall z \}
   [\neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \}
    \exists x 1 \ \forall x \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ [\exists y \ q(x,y) \land \neg p(f(x1))] \land 
   [\forall z \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \}
```

- Step6: Eliminate Existential Quantifiers (Solemnization)
  - Pick out the left most  $\exists y B(y)$  and replace it with  $B(f(x_{i1},x_{i2},x_{i3},...,x_{in}))$  where:
  - 1.  $x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3}, \dots$   $x_{in}$  are all the free variables of  $\exists y B(y)$  that are universally quantified to the left of  $\exists y B(y)$  and,
  - f is any n-ary function constant which does not occur already

Skolemization

```
\exists x \mid \forall x \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ [\exists y \ q(x,y) \land \neg p(f(x \mid ))] \land [\forall z \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \}
```

```
\forall x \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ [q(x,\underline{g}(x)) \land \neg p((f(\underline{g}))] \land [\forall z \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \}
```

□ Step7: Move all universal quantifiers to the left  $\forall x \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ [q(x,g(x)) \land \neg p((f(a))] \land [\forall z \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \}$ 

```
\forall x \ \forall z \ \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ [q(x,g(x)) \land \neg p((f(a))] \land [\neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)] \} \}
```

```
□ Step8 : Distribute ∧ over ∨
     \forall x \ \forall z \ \{ \neg p(x) \lor \{ [q(x,g(x)) \land \neg p((f(a))] \land [\neg q(x,z) \lor ] \} \}
    p(x)
   \forall x \ \forall z \ \{ [\neg p(x) \lor q(x,g(x))] \land \\ [\neg p(x) \lor \neg p((f(a))] \land \\ [\land f(a)) ] \land f(a) 
    [\neg p(x) \lor \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)]
```

```
Step9: Simplify (Optional)
   \forall x \ \forall z \ \{ [\neg p(x) \lor q(x,g(x)) ] \land 
   [\neg p(x) \lor \neg p((f(a))] \land
   [\neg p(x) \lor \neg q(x,z) \lor p(x)]
    \forall x \ \forall z \ \{ [\neg p(x) \lor q(x,g(x))] \land 
   [\neg p(x) \lor \neg p((f(a))] \land
   [\neg q(x,z)]
```

#### Summarize

- Step 1: Take the existential closure and eliminate redundant quantifiers and introduce existential closure to unhandled variables.
- **Step2:** Rename any variable that is quantified more than once.
  - Step3: Eliminate Implication.
- <u>Step4:</u> Move the negation all the way inwards.
  - Step5: Push the quantifiers to the right.
  - **Step6:** Eliminate Existential Quantifiers (Solemnization)
  - **Step7:** Move all universal quantifiers to the left.
- 🗹 **Step8:** Distribute ∧ over ∨
  - **Step9:** Simplify (Optional)

#### Exercise

- $\neg \forall x \exists y \text{ married}(x,y) \land \text{member}(x) \rightarrow \text{member}(y)$
- □  $\forall x \forall y \exists z \forall y \exists a \text{ father}(x,z) \land \text{ father}(z,y) \rightarrow \text{grandfather}(x,y)$
- $\neg \neg \forall x \exists z \text{ bird}(x) \rightarrow fly(x)$

## Step 1:eliminate redundant introduce existential to unhandled variables

- $\neg \forall x \exists y \text{ married}(x,y) \land \text{member}(x) \rightarrow \text{member}(y)$
- □  $\forall x \exists z \text{ father}(x,z) \land \forall y \text{father}(z,y) \rightarrow \text{grandfather}(x,y)$
- $\neg \forall x \text{ bird}(x) \rightarrow fly(x)$

# Step 2: Rename any variable that is quantified more than once

- □  $\forall x \forall y \forall z (Gaul(x) \land Potion(y) \land Hostile(z) \land Sells(x,y,z) \rightarrow Criminal(x)$
- $\neg \forall x \exists y (married(x,y) \land member(x)) \rightarrow member(y)$
- □  $\forall x \forall y \exists z (father(x,z) \land father(z,y)) \rightarrow$ grandfather(x,y)
- $\neg \forall x \text{ bird}(x) \rightarrow \text{fly}(x)$

## P>Apro

## **Step3:** Eliminate Implication

- $\neg \forall x \exists y \{ \neg \{ married(x,y) \land member(x) \} \lor member(y) \}$
- □  $\forall x \forall y \exists z \{ \neg \{father(x,z) \land father(z,y)\} \lor grandfather(x,y)\}$
- $\neg \forall x \{ \neg bird(x) \lor fly(x) \}$

## Step4: Move the negation all the way inwards

- $\neg \forall x \exists y \{\neg married(x,y) \lor \neg member(x) \lor member(y)\}$
- □  $\forall x \forall y \exists z \{\neg father(x,z) \lor \neg father(z,y) \lor grandfather(x,y)\}$
- $\square \exists x \{ bird(x) \lor \neg fly(x) \}$

### Step5: Push the quantifiers to the right

- $\square \exists x \{ bird(x) \lor \neg fly(x) \}$

### Stepó: Eliminate Existential Quantifiers

- $\neg \forall x \{\neg married(x,f(x)) \lor \neg member(x) \lor member(y)\}$
- □  $\forall x \forall y \{\neg father(x,(g(x)) \lor \neg father((g(x),y) \lor grandfather(x,y))\}$
- $\square$  bird(M)  $\vee \neg fly(M)$

## Step7: Move all universal quantifiers to the left

- □  $\forall x \{ \neg married(x,f(x)) \lor \neg member(x) \lor member(y) \}$
- □  $\forall x \forall y \{\neg father(x,(g(x)) \lor \neg father((g(x),y) \lor grandfather(x,y))\}$
- $\square$  bird(M)  $\vee \neg fly(M)$

### Step8: Distribute ∧ over ∨

- $\neg \forall x \{\neg married(x,f(x)) \lor \neg member(x) \lor member(y)\}$
- □  $\forall x \forall y \{\neg father(x,(g(x)) \lor \neg father((g(x),y) \lor grandfather(x,y))\}$
- $\square$  bird(M)  $\vee \neg fly(M)$

# DNF

## Step9: Simplify (Optional)

- $\neg \forall x \{\neg married(x,f(x)) \lor \neg member(x) \lor member(y)\}$
- □  $\forall x \forall y \{\neg father(x,(g(x)) \lor \neg father((g(x),y) \lor grandfather(x,y))\}$
- $\square$  bird(M)  $\vee \neg fly(M)$