

Lecture 17.

Division Algebras.

In this section we will consider important examples of rings with Ore condition and examples of division algebras.

Recall that a ring (algebra) is called left Noetherian if every ascending chain of left ideals stabilizes.

Theorem . Let R be a Noetherian domain

(Domain means that there are no zero divisors:

$ab=0 \Leftrightarrow a=0$ or $b=0$). Then R satisfies

the Ore Condition with respect to $S = R \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. We need to show that for any

nonzero elements $a, b \in R$ $Ra \cap Rb \neq (0)$.

Let $a, b \in R$ be nonzero elements. Consider left ideals $L_n = Rb + Rba + \dots + Rba^n$,
 $L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \dots$. There exists $n \geq 1$ such that
 $L_{n-1} = L_n$, so an element $b^2 a^n$ from
 L_n lies in L_{n-1} ,

$$b^2 a^n = a_0 b + a_1 ba + \dots + a_{n-1} b a^{n-1};$$

$a_i \in R$. Let $k \geq 0$ be a minimal index
such that $a_k \neq 0$. Then

$$a_k b a^k = -a_{k+1} b a^{k+1} \dots - a_{n-1} b a^{n-1} + b^2 a^n.$$

Cancelling a^k on the right we get

$$a_k b \in Ra, \text{ so } Rb \cap Ra \neq (0).$$

-3-

Filtrations.

Let A be an F -algebra. An ascending chain of subspaces

$$A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \dots$$

is called a filtration if

$$(1) \quad A_i \cdot A_j \subseteq A_{i+j}$$

$$(2) \quad \bigcup_{i \geq 0} A_i = A.$$

Consider the direct sum of factor spaces

$$\text{gr}(A) = A_0 \oplus A_1/A_0 \oplus A_2/A_1 \oplus \dots$$

Define the multiplication

$$(a_i + A_{i-1})(b_j + A_{j-1}) = a_i b_j + A_{i+j-1}$$

It is easy to see that the product does not depend on a choice of representatives in cosets and therefore is well defined.

Sometimes the algebra A is called a deformation of the algebra $gr(A)$.

Lemma . (1) If the algebra $gr(A)$ is a domain then the algebra A is a domain as well.

(2) If the algebra $gr(A)$ is left (right) Noetherian then the algebra A is left (right) Noetherian as well.

Proof. (1) Let a, b be nonzero elements from A . Let $a \in A_i \setminus A_{i-1}$, $b \in A_j \setminus A_{j-1}$.

{ We assume $A_{-1} = (0)$. Since $\text{gr}(A)$ is a domain we have $ab \in A_{i+j} \setminus A_{i+j-1}$, hence $ab \neq 0$.

(2) Let L be a left ideal of the algebra A . Let $\bar{L}_k = \{a + A_{k-1} \in A_k / A_{k-1}, a \in L \cap A_k\}$, $k \geq 0$. It is easy to see that $\bar{L} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{L}_k$ is a left ideal of the algebra $\text{gr}(A)$.

If $L \subseteq L'$ are left ideals in A then $\bar{L} \subseteq \bar{L}'$. Let us show that $\bar{L} = \bar{L}'$ implies $L = L'$. Indeed, choose $a \in L'$, $a \in A_k \setminus A_{k-1}$. We will use induction on k to show that $a \in L$.

The element $a + A_{k-1}$ lies in $\bar{L}'_k = \bar{L}_k$.

Hence there exists an element $b \in L$,

$b \in A_k \setminus A_{k-1}$, such that $a - b \in A_{k-1}$.

By the induction assumption on k

the element $a - b$ lies in L , hence $a \in L$.

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Universal Enveloping Algebras.

Let L be a Lie algebra and let U be the universal enveloping algebra of L . Then $U = F \cdot 1 + L + L \cdot L + \dots$

The subspaces

$$U_k = F \cdot 1 + L + L \cdot L + \dots + \underbrace{L \cdot \dots \cdot L}_k, \quad U_0 = F \cdot 1,$$

form a filtration of the algebra U .

Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a basis of the algebra L .

Then the vector space U_n/U_{n-1} is spanned by elements $e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n} + U_{n-1} = (e_{i_1} + U_{n-1}) \cdots (e_{i_n} + U_{n-1})$.

Hence the algebra $gr(U)$ is generated by elements $\bar{e}_i = e_i + F \cdot 1 \in U_1/U_0$. Let

$$[e_i, e_j] = \sum_k \gamma_{ij}^k e_k, \quad \gamma_{ij}^k \in F. \text{ Then}$$

$$[\bar{e}_i, \bar{e}_j] = \sum_k \gamma_{ij}^k e_k \in U_1.$$

Hence generators \bar{e}_i of the algebra $gr(U)$ commute, hence $gr(U)$ is a commutative algebra, $[U_p, U_q] \subseteq U_{p+q-1}$.

Let $<$ be an order on the set I

-8-

satisfying minimality condition. By the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem ordered products $\bar{e}_{i_1} \cdots \bar{e}_{i_k}$, $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k$, form a basis of U_k/U_{k-1} . Hence $\text{gr}(U) \cong F[\bar{e}_i, i \in I]$, the polynomial algebra on generators $\bar{e}_i, i \in I$.

We showed that $U(L)$ is a deformation of a polynomial algebra (this is equivalent to the PBW-theorem).

Since the polynomial algebra is a domain lemma (1) implies that the universal enveloping algebra $U(L)$ is a domain as well.

-9-

If $\dim_F L < \infty$ then by Hilbert's theorem the polynomial algebra $U_{gr}(L)$ is Noetherian. By Lemma (2) the universal enveloping algebra $U(L)$ is Noetherian. By Theorem the universal enveloping algebra $U(L)$ has a ring of fractions, which is a division algebra.

For an infinite dimensional Lie algebra L the algebra $U(L)$ may not satisfy the Ore condition. Nevertheless P.M. Cohn proved that $U(L)$ is embeddable in a division algebra (which is not the division algebra of fractions of $U(L)$).

Now we will consider another important example.

Weyl Algebras.

Let $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial algebra

Consider the algebra

$$A_n = F\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \rangle$$

of course, here x_i means the operator

R_{x_i} of multiplication by x_i . Then

$$A_n \subseteq \text{Lin}_F F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

If $a \in A$ and $d: A \rightarrow A$ is a derivation

then

$$d R_a(x) = d(xa) = d(x)a + x d(a) = R_a d(x) + R_d(x).$$

Hence, $[d, R_a] = R_d(a)$.

This implies that $[\sum x_i, x_j] = \delta_{ij}$,
the Kronecker symbol. Also,

$$[x_i, x_j] = [\sum x_i, \sum x_j] = 0.$$

Ordered products

$$x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p} (\sum x_{j_1}) \cdots (\sum x_{j_q}),$$

$$i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_p, j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_q,$$

form a basis of the algebra A .

Now consider the algebra presented by
generators $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ and

relations $R = \{ [x_i, x_j], [y_i, y_j], [y_i, x_j] - \delta_{ij} \}$.

Clearly, we have a homomorphism

$$F\langle x \mid R=0 \rangle \rightarrow A_n, x_i \rightarrow x_i, y_j \rightarrow \cancel{y_j}$$

The system of relations R is closed with respect to compositions. Hence ordered products (= irreducible words)

$x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p} y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_q}, i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_p, j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_q,$
form a basis of $F\langle x \mid R=0 \rangle$. This implies that the homomorphism above is an isomorphism,

$$A_n \cong F\langle x \mid R=0 \rangle.$$

We remark also that

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &\cong \langle x_1, \cancel{y_1} \rangle \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F \langle x_n, \cancel{y_n} \rangle \\ &\cong A_1 \otimes_F A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes_F A_1. \end{aligned}$$

-13-

Consider the filtration

$$V_k = \text{Span} (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p} \cdot x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_q}, p+q \leq k)$$

It is easy to see that

$$[V_k, V_e] \subseteq V_{k+e-1},$$

hence the algebra $\text{gr}(A_n)$ is commutative and, in fact (see the basis!), isomorphic to the polynomial algebra in $2n$ variables. This implies that

(1) A_n is a deformation of a polynomial algebra,

(2) A_n is a Noetherian domain.

The ring of fractions of A_n is another

example of an interesting division algebra.

New finite dimensional division algebra.

Until the beginning of the 20th century the only example of a division algebra that is not a field was the Hamilton's 4-dimensional algebra of quaternions.

Let F be a field, let φ be an automorphism of the field F , $|\varphi| = n$.

Consider the algebra D of Laurent series

$\sum_{k \geq -d} t^k \alpha_k$, $d \geq 1$, $\alpha_k \in F$, with multiplication

$$(t^p \alpha)(t^q \beta) = t^{p+q} (t^{-q} \alpha t^q) \beta,$$

$$t^{-q} \alpha t^q = \varphi^q(\alpha).$$

Lemma : (1) D is a division algebra.

(2) The center of D is

$Z = \{ \sum t^{kn} \alpha_{nk} \mid \alpha_{nk} \in F^{\langle \varphi \rangle}, \text{ the subfield of fixed elements of } \varphi \}$.

(3) $|D : Z| = n^2$.

Proof. Let $a = \sum_{k \geq -d}^{\infty} t^k \alpha_k \neq 0, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. We

assume that $\alpha_{-d} \neq 0$.

Then $a = t^{-d} \alpha_{-d} (1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i (\dots))$. The

elements t^{-d}, α_{-d} are invertible. Moreover,

$$\left(1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i (\dots) \right)^{-1} = 1 - \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i (\dots) + \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} t^i (\dots) \right)^2 -$$

$$\left(\right)^3 + \dots$$

-16-

We proved that D is a division algebra.

Let $\beta \in F$. The element β commutes with

$\sum_{k=-d}^{\infty} t^k \alpha_k$ if and only if β commutes with

each $t^k \alpha_k$, that is,

$$\beta t^k \alpha_k = t^k \varphi^k(\beta) \alpha_k = t^k \alpha_k \beta, \quad \varphi^k(\beta) = \beta.$$

Hence $\varphi^k = \text{Id}$, k is divisible by n .

Hence a central element looks at

$$z = \sum_k t^{kn} \alpha_{nk}$$

$$\text{Now, } tz = \sum_k t^{kn+1} \alpha_{nk}, \quad zt = \sum_k t^{kn} \alpha_{nk} t =$$

$$\sum_k t^{kn+1} \varphi(\alpha_{nk}). \quad \text{Hence } \alpha_{nk} = \varphi(\alpha_{nk}),$$

all the coefficients α_{nk} lie in the subfield

$F^{\langle \varphi \rangle}$ of fixed elements.

-17-

We have $|F : F^{\langle \varphi \rangle}| = n$. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ be a basis of F over $F^{\langle \varphi \rangle}$. Then

$$t^i \gamma_j, 0 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

is a basis of D over \mathbb{Z} .