

## Lecture 19.

Old problems with  $\frac{f(x+\varepsilon) - f(x)}{\varepsilon}$

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the field of real numbers.

Let  $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . A subset  $X \subseteq N$  is

cofinite if  $|N \setminus X| < \infty$ . There exists an

ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $N$ , such that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains all cofinite subsets of  $N$ .

The field  $\mathbb{R}^N / \mathcal{F}$  contains an element  $\varepsilon > 0$

such that  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \in N$ . Indeed, let

$$\varepsilon = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots) / \mathcal{F}.$$

## Convergence with respect to a filter.

Let  $a_n, n \geq 1$ , be a sequence of numbers. Let

$\mathcal{F}$  be a filter in  $N$ .

Def. We say that  $a = \text{limit of } a_n, n \geq 1$ , with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists$  a large subset  $X \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$|a - a_n| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n \in X.$$

Example. The subsets  $N_n = \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , form a filter in  $\mathbb{N}$ . The usual limit is the limit with respect to this filter.

It is easy to see that a sequence cannot have more than one limit. Indeed, let  $a, b$  be limits of a sequence  $a_n, n \geq 1$ ,  $a \neq b$ .

There exist large subsets  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  :

$$|a - a_n| < \frac{1}{2} |a - b| \text{ for all } n \in X_1 ;$$

$$|b - a_n| < \frac{1}{2} |a - b| \text{ for all } n \in X_2.$$

Then  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

Lemma. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an ultrafilter in the set  $X$ .

Let  $X_1, X_2$  be subsets in  $X$  and  $X_1 \cup X_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Then  $X_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  or  $X_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Proof. If  $X_1 \notin \mathcal{F}$ ,  $X_2 \notin \mathcal{F}$  then  $X \setminus X_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $X \setminus X_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We have  $(X \setminus X_1) \cap (X \setminus X_2) = X \setminus (X_1 \cup X_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ .  
This contradicts the assumption that  
 $X_1 \cup X_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $\downarrow$

Theorem. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an ultrafilter in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then  
every bounded sequence has a limit.

Proof. By the assumption there exists a  
segment  $S_1 = [-b, b]$  such that all  $a_n$ 's lie  
in  $S_1$ . Consider the segments  $[-b, 0)$  and  $[0, b]$ .  
By the lemma one of the subsets  $\{n \mid a_n \in [-b, 0)\}$ ,  
 $\{n \mid a_n \in [0, b]\}$  is large.

Let  ~~$S_1$~~   $\{n \mid a_n \in [-b, 0)\}$  be large,  $S_2 = [-b, 0)$ .  
Again divide  $S_2$  into two segments  $[-b, -b/2)$   
and  $[-b/2, 0)$ . One of the segments contains a  
large number of elements of the sequence.  
Denote this segment as  $S_3$  and so on,  
 $S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots$



For each  $i$   $\{n \mid a_n \in S_i\} \in \mathcal{F}$ .

The intersection  $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} S_i = \{a\}$  consists of one point. Then

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} a_n = a. \quad \downarrow$$

Metric Ultraproducts. Let  $(M_n, d_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of metric spaces. We assume that all these metric spaces have finite diameters all these diameters are uniformly bounded.

In other words,  $\exists A > 0$ :

$$\forall n \quad \forall x, y \in M_n \quad d_n(x, y) \leq A.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a nonprincipal ultrafilter in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Consider the ultraproduct  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n / \mathcal{F}$ . Define

$$d \left( (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} / \mathcal{F}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} / \mathcal{F} \right) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} d_n(x_n, y_n)$$

The limit on the right hand side exists because the sequence is bounded.

Clearly, the triangle inequality holds:

$$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z).$$

Is  $d$  a metric?

Not necessarily. There may be elements

$$(x_n)_n / \mathcal{F} \neq (y_n)_n / \mathcal{F}, \text{ but } \lim_{\mathcal{F}} d_n(x, y) = 0$$

So,  $d$  is a pseudometric.

Embeddings into ultraproducts of finite fields.

In this section we will prove:

Theorem (Lefschetz Principle). A commutative

domain is embeddable in an ultraproduct of finite fields.

Lemma (on ultraproduct of ultraproducts). Let

$$A \cong \prod_{x \in X} A_x / \mathcal{F}, \text{ let } A_x \cong \prod_{y \in Y_x} A_{xy} / \mathcal{F}_x.$$

Here  $Y_x$  is a set,  $\mathcal{F}_x$  is an ultrafilter in  $Y_x$ .

Let  $\tilde{X} = \dot{\bigcup}_{x \in X} Y_x$ . Large sets: let  $\Omega$  be a large subset of  $X$ , i.e.  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ . For each  $q \in \Omega$  let  $\Omega_q$  be a large subset in  $Y_q$  i.e.  $\Omega_q \in \mathcal{F}_q$ . Consider the system  $S$  of nonempty subsets of  $\tilde{X}$ :  $\dot{\bigcup}_{q \in \Omega} \Omega_q$ . Any finite collection of subsets from  $S$  has a nonempty intersection. Hence  $S$  is embeddable in a filter and ~~an~~ <sup>some</sup> ultrafilter  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  in the set  $\tilde{X}$ .

$$\text{Then } \prod_{x \in X} A_x / \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \prod_{x \in X, y \in Y_x} A_{xy} / \tilde{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Consider  $a = (a_x)_{x \in X} / \mathcal{F}$ ,  $a_x = (a_{xy})_{y \in Y_x} / \mathcal{F}_x$ .

$$\text{Let } \varphi(a) = (a_{xy})_{x \in X, y \in Y_x} / \tilde{\mathcal{F}}.$$



Exercise:  $\varphi$  is an embedding.

Various Form of Nullstellensatz.

(1) Let  $F$  be an algebraically closed field;  
let  $f_1, \dots, f_m, g \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be  
polynomials.

An ~~m~~ tuple  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in F^n$  is a root of  
 $f_1, \dots, f_m$  if  $f_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \dots = f_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$ .

Suppose that for an arbitrary root  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$   
of  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  we have  $g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$ . So,  
every root of  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  is also a root of  $g$ .

Then there exists  $k \geq 1$  such that

$g^k \in \text{id}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ . In other words, there exist  
polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_m \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that

$$g^k = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i h_i.$$

(2) If  $A \neq 1$  is a finitely generated commutative domain then the intersection of all maximal ideals of  $A$  is  $(0)$ .

(3) If a field is finitely generated as a ring then it is finite.

Lemma. A finitely generated commutative domain is embeddable in an ultraproduct of finite fields.

Proof. By (2) there exists a system of epimorphisms  $\varphi_i : A \rightarrow F_i, i \in I, F_i$  is a field,  $\bigcap_i \text{Ker } \varphi_i = (0)$ .

By (3) all the fields  $F_i$  are finite.

For a nonzero element  $a \in A$  let  $J_a = \{i \in I \mid \varphi_i(a) \neq 0\}$ . Then  $J_a \cap J_b = J_{ab}$ .

Hence the system of subsets  $\{J_a \mid 0 \neq a \in A\}$



lies in some ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ . The mapping  $A \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} F_i / \mathcal{F}$  is an embedding,  $a \rightarrow (\varphi_i(a))_{i \in \mathcal{I}} / \mathcal{F}$ .  $\downarrow$

Theorem. A commutative domain is embeddable in an ultraproduct of finite fields.

Proof. By Malcev's Theorem  $A \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$ ,

$A_i$  are finitely generated commutative domains. By the lemma above each  $A_i$  is embeddable in an ultraproduct of finite fields. Hence by the "lemma about ultraproduct of ultraproducts" the domain  $A$  is embeddable in an ultraproduct of finite fields.  $\downarrow$

## Ax-Groethendieck Theorem.

Theorem. Let  $F$  be an algebraically closed field. Then every injective polynomial mapping  $P: F^n \rightarrow F^n$ ,  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \rightarrow (P_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \dots, P_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$ ;  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , is surjective.

For finite fields  $F$  it is obvious: every injective map of a finite set into itself is surjective.

Injectivity means that  $P(X) = P(Y) \Rightarrow X = Y$ .

Thus every root of the system  $P_1(X) - P_1(Y) = 0$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $P_n(X) - P_n(Y) = 0$  is a root of  $x_i - y_i$ .

By the Nullstellensatz there exists  $k_i \geq 1$

and polynomials  $h_{1i}(x, y)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that

$$(x_1 - y_1)^{k_1} = \sum_{i=1}^n (P_i(x) - P_i(y)) h_{1i}(x, y).$$

Similarly for any  $t$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq n$ , there exists  $k_t \geq 1$  and polynomials  $h_{t1}, \dots, h_{tn}$  :

$$(x_t - y_t)^{k_t} = \sum_{i=1}^n (P_i(x) - P_i(y)) h_{ti}(x, y).$$

Without loss of generality :  $k_1 = \dots = k_n = k$ .

Finitary Form of Injectivity : there exists

$k \geq 1$  and polynomials  $h_{ij}(x, y)$ ;  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,

such that

$$(x_t - y_t)^k = \sum_{i=1}^n (P_i(x) - P_i(y)) h_{ti}(x, y) \quad (*)$$

for any  $t$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq n$ .



Non-surjectivity :  $\exists \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  not an image of  $P$ . In other words, the system  $P_1(x) - \alpha_1 = 0, \dots, P_n(x) - \alpha_n = 0$  does not have roots. By the Nullstellensatz, there exist polynomials  $Q_1(x), \dots, Q_n(x)$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i(x) - \alpha_i) Q_i(x) = 1 \quad (**).$$

This is a finitary Form of Non-Surjectivity.

Let us show that for any field  $F$  (\*) and (\*\*) are not compatible.

$F \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} K_i / F$ ,  $|K_i| < \infty$ , finite fields.

If (\*) and (\*\*) hold in  $\prod_{i \in I} K_i / F$  then

(\*) and (\*\*) hold on a "large" number of fields  $K_i$ . But for these fields  $K_i$  it means that a certain polynomial map is injective but not surjective, a contradiction.