|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Project/Project-work/Project-work Name : PPGPL – MVP | **PM Name: Ashok Mallya** |
| Release Name: V:1.0 | Release Version: V:1.0  App: Not Applicable |

| Review Item | Response to the item Y / N / N.A. | Look Fors | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Delivery | | | |
| 1. Are the following as per contract/Project Plan:   - Project deliverables  --Work product/products  --User/Customer Documentation  - Mode of Delivery/Release | Y | - Check contract/project management plan/Project work plan | https://promise.apac.bosch.com/IN/Enterpriseview.aspx?html.app=EIPMS5c4af&html.views=vd\_ipms1,EIPMS5d57c,;vd\_ipms1:viewControl1,EIPMS62c30,PJ-109491\_PPGPL\_01:EIPMS60307:-1;vd\_ipms1:viewControl1:vpanel,EIPMS69f3b,PJ-109491\_PPGPL\_01:EIPMS60307:-1;vd\_ipms1:viewControl1:vpanel:vwProjectPlan,EIPMS6c550,PJ-109491\_PPGPL\_01:EIPMS60307:-1;vd\_ipms1:viewControl1:vpanel:vwProjectPlan:VWSection,EIPMS6a594,PJ-109491\_PPGPL\_01:EIPMS60307:-1;vd\_ipms1:viewControl1:vpanel:vwProjectPlan:VWSection:oviewdoc,EIPMS6c696,PJ-109491\_PPGPL\_01:EIPMS60307:-1;vd\_ipms1:viewControl1:vpanel:vwProjectPlan:VWSection:oviewdoc:vwdoc,EIPMS6a635,PJ-109491\_PPGPL\_01:EIPMS60307:-1; |
| 1. Have deliverables been baselined (changes formally documented for non-software)? | Y | - Check CM Tool | <https://sites.inside-share5.bosch.com/sites/170185/Documents/Forms/AllItems.aspx?RootFolder=%2Fsites%2F170185%2FDocuments%2FPPGPL%5FMVP%2F18%5FRelease%5FNotes> |
| 1. Are correct versions with appropriate labelling/naming convention / file formats being delivered? | Y | - Check the label for comments  - Check delivery note for the correct version  - Refer CM Plan  number | Naming convention is followed as per configuration management and updated in master list. |
| 1. Has the configuration audit been conducted? | Y | - Availability of appropriately filled Configuration Audit checklist | Configuration Audit checklist is conducted and available in <https://sites.inside-share5.bosch.com/sites/170185/Documents/Forms/AllItems.aspx?RootFolder=%2Fsites%2F170185%2FDocuments%2FPPGPL%5FMVP%2F19%5FConfiguration%5FAudit> |
| 1. Is the Release note completed and available? | Y | - Check release note for current release  - Check for the documentation that is delivered along with the product / deliverable | Release notes is available in <https://sites.inside-share5.bosch.com/sites/170185/Documents/Forms/AllItems.aspx?RootFolder=%2Fsites%2F170185%2FDocuments%2FPPGPL%5FMVP%2F18%5FRelease%5FNotes>  Test report is available in <https://sites.inside-share5.bosch.com/sites/170185/Documents/Forms/AllItems.aspx?RootFolder=%2Fsites%2F170185%2FDocuments%2FPPGPL%5FMVP%2F20%5FTest%5FReports> |
| 1. Are all open points, issues and defects for this release closed?   Is there a Change Request for this change? Has it been closed?  If not closed, has it been addressed with appropriate escalation and approval obtained | Y | - Check project OPL for status of the open points corresponding to current release  - Check for instance of escalation (if any)  - Check if all issues, concerns and defects are either closed or appropriately mentioned in the Release Note | Open points are captured and closed in <https://sites.inside-share5.bosch.com/sites/170185/Documents/Forms/AllItems.aspx?RootFolder=%2Fsites%2F170185%2FDocuments%2FPPGPL%5FMVP%2F06%5FOpen%5FPoints%5FTracker> |
| 1. Have reviews/ Quality Gates / quality checks/testing and test coverage been completed as per plan and contract   (As applicable)? | Y | * Check if testing/quality checks is performed as per plan and if test reports are available   - Check for completeness of test/QC reports sampled randomly  - Check the extent of coverage  - Check if all the side-effect of changes have been considered (Eg. Regression Testing) | Code reviews are done in ADO.  Test reports are available at below link  <https://sites.inside-share5.bosch.com/sites/170185/Documents/Forms/AllItems.aspx?RootFolder=%2Fsites%2F170185%2FDocuments%2FPPGPL%5FMVP%2F20%5FTest%5FReports> |
| 1. Has traceability as identified in Project management Plan/Project work plan been ensured? | Y | - Check Traceability Matrix, Requirement Tool | Traceability is available in ADO and requirements are captured in JIRA. |
| 1. Have the following been ensured  * Product Quality Characteristics as per contractual obligations * CCR Tracking (as applicable) | Y | - Online Tracking Sheet  - CCR Tracking Sheet |  |
| 1. Has the Defect Prediction Model been used to compare the actual defects detected against the predicted band and in-case of deviations has analysis been done and appropriate corrective action taken (linked with defect prevention plan for non-software domains? | N.A. | D Project/Project-works -> PDIR-PDRE should have been calculated | No predictive model to compare, hence not applicable. |
| 1. If applicable, is the list of Open Source Software components used in the Project/Project-work available as part of release note? | Y | Release Note | The scope of delivery is only for internal demo purpose. This cannot be distributed further, as OSS scan and its obligations are not yet met. |
| 1. Has the check on DRBFM done, and if applicable has the DRBFM been executed. | N.A. | * Check DRBFM Awareness template along with expert decision. | Equivalent to FMEA for Design.  <If FAM is done then will mark as NA and mention FAM is done and non Automotive project> |
| 1. Check for the Static Code Analysis report | Y. | * Check if the final version of code is having static analysis report | Static code analysis report Link from Master list.  Codes used are for demo purpose, Static code analysis report updated for the demo version of the code.  <https://sites.inside-share5.bosch.com/sites/170185/Documents/Forms/AllItems.aspx?RootFolder=%2Fsites%2F170185%2FDocuments%2FPPGPL%5FMVP%2F19%5FQualityReports%5FPQSR%2FSonarCubeReport> |
| 1. If any proprietary software used (to be mentioned in Contract, HLD, LLD, CSI log etc), have they been verified for legality usage | N.A. | * Check forlegality on the licensing terms of proprietary softwares | No Third party software’s used for this release.  Design document are uploaded in <https://sites.inside-share5.bosch.com/sites/170185/Documents/Forms/AllItems.aspx?RootFolder=%2Fsites%2F170185%2FDocuments%2FPPGPL%5FMVP%2F08%5FDesign%20Documents> |
| 1. Are all aspects of Information Security and Data Protection (including IoT principles) addressed? | Y | • DIA to be completed and approved by sponsor.  • Below SEP related artifacts (updated for changes) to be reviewed with ProSO and approved by Project/project-work sponsor.  a. Security Risk Analysis Document (including residual risks) and Security Concept  b. Security Testing concept & Penetration test document  c. Secure Implementation Concept  d. Penetration Test Report  e. Supplier security document  • Filled DP checklist is reviewed with DSO and approved by Sponsor.  • Update Field Monitoring Document & Vulnerability Management Document  Link to SEP http://sgpvm070:8080/pkit/process/viewElement.do;jsessionid=D1D406882A53F97BFAE2B92A4AAB3476?type=activity&id=363726 | No impact. DIA is completed and approved. |
| 1. Is OSS scan done by independent OSS Scan team ? In case where OSS scan has been performed by the Project/Project-work team, has it been ensured that the scan results have been analyzed by the OSS legal team ? (Applicable only if RBEI is responsible to meet the OSS license obligations) | Y | Check whether the OSS scan report contains name of the person belonging to central scan team. Alternatively check for e-mail confirmation from legal team in central scan team, in case SW scan done by the development team | The scope of delivery is only for internal demo purpose. This cannot be distributed further, as OSS scan and its obligations are not yet met. |
| 1. Has OSS Disclosure document been approved by OSS Officer and included as part of release ? (Applicable only if RBEI is responsible to meet the OSS license obligations) | Y | Check for approval mail from RBEI OSS officer | The scope of delivery is only for internal demo purpose. This cannot be distributed further, as OSS scan and its obligations are not yet met. |
| Additionally, for Sprint based deliveries: | | | |
| 1. Is the Sprint Backlog Updated to reflect the actual status? | Y | Product /Sprint Back log, Requirements management tool | https://dev.azure.com/PPGPL-Bosch/Digital%20Twin/\_sprints/taskboard/Digital%20Twin/Digital%20Twin/Phase%202a/Sprint%203 |
| 1. Are incomplete tasks moved to the product backlog after agreement with the customer/PO? | Y | Product Back log, Requirements management tool | https://dev.azure.com/PPGPL-Bosch/Digital%20Twin/\_sprints/taskboard/Digital%20Twin/Digital%20Twin/Phase%202a/Sprint%203 |
| 1. Is the criterion of "Done" satisfied for each task/activity in a sprint? | Y | DoD in Project/Project-work Plan | https://dev.azure.com/PPGPL-Bosch/Digital%20Twin/\_sprints/taskboard/Digital%20Twin/Digital%20Twin/Phase%202a/Sprint%203 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Reviewed By:** | | | | |
| **Name** | **Bharatesh C E** | **Dept** | QMM-PD | **Signature** |
| **Date** |  | **Group** |  |

**Note: Signature of the reviewer to be ensured on all Hard-copy format of the document. For all soft-copy formats, the relevant details shall be filled and stored under Configuration Management.**