

# Audit Report of LTO Network Token Sale Contracts



## Introduction

#### **General Provisions**

The LTO Network team asked us to audit their token sale contracts. The code is located in the hidden github repository.

## Scope of the Audit

The primary scope of the audit is smart contracts at <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/tree/02fa2620aef4c854675230b654">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/tree/02fa2620aef4c854675230b654</a> <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/tree/02fa2620aef4c85467

02fa2620aef4c854675230b6544461961d47f968.

Subsequently we were also asked to audit the revised token at <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/98ba921251fb4989d18f8b99a">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/98ba921251fb4989d18f8b99a</a> 2bfc732f2056937/contracts/LTOToken.sol.



# **Security Assessment Principles**

#### Classification of Issues

- CRITICAL: Bugs that enable theft of ether/tokens, lock access to funds without possibility to restore it, or lead to any other loss of ether/tokens to be transferred to any party (for example, dividends).
- MAJOR: Bugs that can trigger a contract failure, with further recovery only possible through manual modification of the contract state or contract replacement altogether.
- WARNINGS: Bugs that can break the intended contract logic or enable a DoS attack on the contract.
- COMMENTS: All other issues and recommendations.

## **Security Assessment Methodology**

The audit was performed with triple redundancy by three auditors.

Stages of the audit were as follows:

- "Blind" manual check of the code and model behind the code
- "Guided" manual check of the code
- Check of math balance
- Check of adherence of the code to requirements of the client
- Automated security analysis using internal solidity security checker
- Automated security analysis using public analysers
- Manual by-checklist inspection of the system
- Discussion and merge of independent audit results
- Report execution



## **Detected Issues**

#### **CRITICAL**

None found

#### **MAJOR**

None found

#### WARNING

1. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L23-L24

Generation of two events in the form emit Transfer(address(0), receiver address, amount); should be added. Otherwise, some client software won't recognize the arrival of the tokens to msg.sender and \_bridgeAddress recipients.

Fixed in 686101d

2. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L52

The recipient address specified in the Transfer event does not match factual address of the recipient (which can be seen <a href="here">here</a>). In case this was made on purpose we should note that it'll hinder diagnosing of the contract operation. We recommend to do actual transfer using super.transfer function.

Fixed in <u>686101d</u>



3. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L64

In case \_intermediate is being used at the moment by some user addIntermediateAddress call will cause all token transfers to this user to fail to reach them. It'll be difficult to diagnose this situation because of the problem with the Transfer event described above. Access to the addIntermediateAddress function is limited to the address stored in the bridgeAddress field, but for the moment, code that will be used is unavailable to the audit. It's a common security approach to assume that the problem described above will take place, accidentally or as a result of more complex attack vector.

A minimal, but not complete, solution is to add a check that the token balance of \_intermediateaddress is zero at the moment of addIntermediateAddress call. A definitive solution can be designed only with the bridge mechanics in mind.

#### Fixed in 686101d

4. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L59

Obviously, totalSupply\_ is being maintained as a difference between total amount of tokens (internalTotalSupply) and amount of tokens in bridgeAddress possession. However, totalSupply\_value won't be properly updated in case of transferFrom function usage, which is inherited from the StandardToken.

#### Fixed in <u>686101d</u>

5. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L46

There are mechanics which allows users to transfer tokens from some predefined addresses (enumerated in intermediateAddresses) to the bridge balance. However, mechanics can be bypassed, and tokens can be transferred, to an address. This applies even if this address is listed in



intermediate addresses in case of transferFrom function usage, which is inherited from the StandardToken.

#### Fixed in 686101d

6. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L215

Users sending ether from contracts which default function performs nontrivial computations (e.g. writing value to a new storage variable) won't be able to receive their tokens, while the project won't be able to receive transferred ether. This is caused by limited gas stipend of the transfer function.

#### It's recommended to use [withdrawal pattern]

(https://solidity.readthedocs.io/en/v0.4.24/common-patterns.html#withdrawal -from-contracts) to send change and to untie sending of change from other actions. I.e. we recommend offering change to users during withdrawal or withdrawalFor transaction processing via send or, failing that, with a separate transaction via a dedicated function call.

#### Fixed in PR #18

7. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L215

Malicious contract (or contracts which default function performs nontrivial computations) can block a change to it and as a result any transaction which calls \_withdrawal for this contract will be reverted. Therefore withdrawn will never reach purchaserList.length and the clear function will be blocked, which will result in blocking some tokens and ether on the balance of LTOTokenSale. The ether vulnerable to this attack includes only ether deposited to the contract bypassing buy() and default functions, which is why it's not a serious problem. It is worth noting that tokens transferred to the contract by creators exceeding totalSaleAmount are vulnerable to the attack.



It's recommended using <u>withdrawal pattern</u> to send change and to untie sending of change from other actions. I.e. we recommend to send change to users during withdrawal or withdrawalFor transaction processing via send or, failing that, with a separate transaction via a dedicated function call.

Fixed in PR #14

8. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L223

Payments are not expected to be sent to this function. We therefore recommend removing the payablekeyword to prevent accidental ether transfer to the contract which won't be processed by the token sale logic.

Fixed in PR #14

9. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L227

Payments are not expected to be sent to this function. We therefore recommend removing payablekeyword to prevent accidental ether transfer to the contract which won't be processed by the token sale logic.

Fixed in PR #14

10. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L233

Payments are not expected to be sent to this function. We therefore recommend removing payablekeyword to prevent accidental ether transfer to the contract which won't be processed by the token sale logic.

Fixed in PR #14

11. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L199

An attacker can send some value of ether in the first transaction, receive maximum bonus and then send 99 transactions of minimal value



minimumAmount, depriving other token sale participants of their bonuses. Essentially this is griefing of a transaction counter. This makes economic sense given some conditions, because the fewer participants receive their bonuses, the lower the price is to the attacker compared to the average price, making the following sale more profitable for the attacker.

## Acknowledged

12. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L179

Individual cap mechanics are subject to Sybil attack. An user can distribute his N ethers to uint(N / maximumCapAmount) + 1 distinct addresses, and perform purchase from each of these addresses, effectively bypassing maximumCapAmount limit.

### Acknowledged

13. The burning of unsold tokens was mentioned by the client. However, in the contracts code there is no sign of such mechanics and LT0Token is not burnable.

Fixed in PR #16

14. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/98ba921251fb4989d18f8b9">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/98ba921251fb4989d18f8b9</a>
9a2bfc732f2056937/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L19

Generation of an event in the form emit Transfer(address(0), \_bridgeAddress, \_bridgeSupply); should be added. Otherwise, some client software won't recognize the arrival of the tokens to the \_bridgeAddress.

Fixed in PR #18



#### COMMENT

1. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L67

The only value besides 0, which a key X can have in the intermediateAddresses mapping, is the X itself. It means that logically this mapping has boolean value type, i.e. mapping (address => bool). We recommend using this type explicitly and making appropriate code changes to simplify reasoning about the code.

2. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L60

We recommend using SafeMath.sub here because this code fragment has a high probability of underflow error.

3. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L249

The only value besides 0, which a key X can have in the capFreeAddresses mapping, is the X itself. It means that logically this mapping has boolean value type, i.e. mapping (address => bool). We recommend using this type explicitly and making appropriate code changes to simplify reasoning about the code.

4. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L218

This branch is unachievable in practise (it requires ~5e14 ether to achieve), we recommend replacing it with assert(false);.

5. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L144



Expression totalWannaBuyAmount == 0 here is unachievable, we recommend removing it from if-operator and introduce assert(totalWannaBuyAmount > 0); instead.

6. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L120

In startSale function it would be helpful to ensure that token balance of the contract is equal to totalSaleAmount. Otherwise, if the token balance is less than totalSaleAmount some ether will be locked in the contract forever.

7. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L105

Right after deployment of the contract isEnded function will return true. This won't cause any troubles in this version of the code, but it's better to return false in case the sale was never started (similar check is present in isStarted).

The same holds for isUserWithdrawalTime, isClearTime functions.

8. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L145

It's better to use the ethDecimals constant, instead of the 1 ether value to prevent possible inconsistencies.

9. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230b</a> 6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L191

Condition <= is excessive and can be replaced with a strict comparison (<).

10. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230</a> <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef

Keeping a purchasers list in purchaserList and further processing in withdrawalFor incurs a high gas consumption. At this moment, calling withdrawalFor is required to get all possible ether from the contract and to send tokens and ether to purchasers. These two processes can be



separated and gas spendings by the project can be minimized. Amount of ether to be withdrawn by the project can be calculated based on globalAmount, totalSaleAmount and totalWannaBuyAmount and withdrawn in one transaction.

11. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230</a> b6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L123

We recommend creating the explicit view function isSaleInitialized and use it here to prevent double initialization, as well as throughout isStarted, isEnded and other state querying functions.

12. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/02fa2620aef4c854675230</a> b6544461961d47f968/contracts/LTOTokenSale.sol#L235

We recommend checking the result of token transfer call.

There are a lot of states and state transitions involved in the token sale contract, e.g. sale started, sale ended, user withdrawal time etc. We recommend using state machine to remove some code complexity and ensure correct operation, as can be seen in this example.

13. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/98ba921251fb4989d18f8b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/98ba921251fb4989d18f8b</a> 99a2bfc732f2056937/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L35

Tokens which were accidentally sent to the bridge address could not be recovered. We recommend adding require(to != bridgeAddress); check.

14. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/98ba921251fb4989d18f8b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/98ba921251fb4989d18f8b</a> 99a2bfc732f2056937/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L38

If value is expected to be greater than bridgeBalance in some cases we recommend adding check require(value <= bridgeBalance);. The reason is that assert inside the bridgeBalance.subshould never be reached during an expected path of execution of the code.

15. <a href="https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/98ba921251fb4989d18f8b">https://github.com/legalthings/tokensale/blob/98ba921251fb4989d18f8b</a> 99a2bfc732f2056937/contracts/LTOToken.sol#L56



The sum of balances is not equal to totalSupply. This behavior could be unexpected for some tools or clients.

## **CONCLUSION**

The overall security level of the system was rated "High". No major flaws were found. However, there were many issues about which we warned the client. Some of them can be accepted as a known expected behavior, but some, in our opinion, required fixes, e.g., ones related to withdrawal functions. All necessary changes were made and existed in <u>pool request</u> #19 branch.