

Warriors

11/14/2022

-Goal of today discuss readings, start workshoping possible questions

1. Discuss the readings
 2. How will you synthesis them
 3. What are we doing next?
- “On truth and lies in a nonmoral sense” - The truth is a creation of people, the extent of the truth will only ever go as far as the people who are perceiving what is happening and their own skewed perspective of the world. A concept or idea is never truly itself (pg 3 final paragraph) and will always be perceived in a way that already exists, nothing new will ever be unique since it is comprehended through the lens of what already exists. - Marisa
 - Joshua is the perfect example of this article. - Marisa
 - In summary: truth isn't real and honesty never existed
 - Structure: seems to mock truth as a series of metaphors, yet the writing is almost exclusively spoken in metaphors
 - Blessing: “Inside the violent world of Andrew Tate”, Andrew Tate who is an ex-kickboxer is misogynistic towards women that only see them as an “object”. We should all avoid men like him. Tate was doing a lot of messed up things but the public and social media let it happen for so long.
 - Josh: As Andrew Tate says women belong in the home, can't drive, and are a man's property. Tate has always been an outlier when it comes to the social norm. Whether you believe it's for the better it or not he exploits “free speech” to perpetuate his misogynistic views.
 - Synthesis - If Tate is lying to himself or if he's aware that he's lying to himself. Andrew Tate's main audience tends to be people who already agree with his point of views. -Marisa
 - Tate is purposefully attempting to create controversy for the sake of controversy and attention - Marisa

Next goals - All reread and relisten to our readings and podcast. Continue discussing possible synthesis methods

11/9/22

- Discussing group contract and the possible ways we could do
- Maybe debate style youtube video script?
- Arguing over Andrew Tate

Warriors

11/7/22

(Skipped intros bc we talk a lot already/know each other well)

We enjoyed them going into more detail about the pillars in chapter 7

Josh (Not Stephen Curry) - The set ups were better like the transitions between ideas and talking about the different theorists.

For chapter 6, I liked the beginning with the storytelling portion. The metaphor that Haidt used was so engaging.

The readings were very stimulating they got me thinking

*Note: For the notes we're taking now, there's no reason it can't be in the paper
You can't plagiarize yourself

Considering length for second reading -

Sapolsky - +30 (stopped counting after 30 bc I doubt we'll use this one)

Shotwell - 19 - (very long metaphor about planes and soda) there's bad in the good things and there's good in the bad

Fish - 18 - No such thing as free speech. - How far should the concept of free speech be taken, what are its limits, and why is it a good thing that it has limits?

Nietzsche - 8 - The morality behind lying vs telling the truth

Brown - 27 - Tolerance as a discourse of depoliticization - How did tolerance come to be a symbol of peace?

So far, we are looking into tackling "On Truth and Lies in a Nonmoral Sense" by Friedrich Nietzsche. It's a universal experience between lying and telling the truth that gives us a lot to pull from and a lot we could possibly pull from our own experiences

"Word" - Josh