Problem 1. Let A be an array of n integers. Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0, \\ \max_{i=1}^{x} (A[i] + f(x-i)), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the algorithm for computing f(x):

```
procedure F(x)

if x=0 then

return 0

end if

max \leftarrow -\infty

for i \leftarrow 1 to x do

v \leftarrow A[i] + F(x-i)

if v > max then

max \leftarrow v

end if

end for

return max

end procedure
```

Prove: the algorithm takes $\Omega(2^n)$ time to compute f(n).

Proof.

$$f(0) = 1, f(1) = 1, f(n) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(n-i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(i).$$

Proof by induction. Let P(k) be the predicate " $f(k) \ge 2^{n-1}$."

Base Case. The statement is true for n = 1, as $f(1) = 1 \ge 2^{1-1} = 1$.

Inductive Step. Suppose the statement is true for any P(n) with n < k. Then, for k = n + 1,

$$f(k) \ge \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(i) \ge 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^{i-1} = 1 + \frac{1 \times (1 - 2^{n-1})}{1 - 2} = 2^{n-1}.$$

Hence, the statement holds true for all $n \geq 1$.

For any $n \ge n_0 = 1$, there exists k = 1/2 > 0, such that $f(n) \ge k \cdot 2^n$. Hence, the algorithm takes $\Omega(2^n)$ time to compute f(n).

Problem 2. Reconsider the rot cutting problem where we cut the rod into segments of integer lengths corresponding to different prices. The optimal revenue from cutting up a rod of length n can be derived using the function opt(n), where

$$opt(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0, \\ max_{i=1}^n P[i] + opt(n-i), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $n \ge 1$, define bestSub(n) = k if the maximization is obtained at i = k. Describe how to compute bestSub(t) for all $t \in [1, n]$ in $O(n^2)$ time, and how to output an optimal way to cut the rod in O(n) time after computing bestSub(t).

Solution. First, the subproblems are $opt(0), opt(1), \ldots, opt(n)$. With the essence of dynamic programming, we resolve subproblems with the order $opt(0), opt(1), opt(2), \ldots, opt(n)$. Clearly, computing opt(i) given $opt(0), opt(1), \ldots, opt(i-1)$ requires O(i) time only. Hence, we can compute opt(t) for all $t \in [1, n]$ in $O(n^2)$ time.

Then, for each $t \in [1, n]$, we spend O(i) time to find $k \in [1, n]$ that maximizes P[k] + opt(n - k), which is bestSub(t). Therefore, bestSub(t) for all $t \in [1, n]$ can also be computed in $O(n^2)$ time.

The piggyback technique can be used to compute an optimal solution to the rot cutting problem: Since bestSub(n) indicates the best way for cutting up a rod of length n by first obtaining a segment with length bestSub(n), we only need to consider the optimal way to cut a rod with length n - bestSub(n) now.

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{procedure OptimalCut}(n)\\ \textbf{if } n>0 \textbf{ then}\\ & \text{output "produce a segment of length } bestSub(n)\text{"}\\ & \text{OptimalCut}(n-bestSub(n))\\ \textbf{end if}\\ \textbf{end procedure} \end{array}
```

Problem 3. Let A be an array of n integers. Define

$$f(a,b) = \begin{cases} 0, & a \ge b, \\ \left(\sum_{i=a}^{b} A[i]\right) + \min_{i=a+1}^{b-1} \{f(a,i) + f(i,b)\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Design an algorithm to compute f(1,n) in $O(n^3)$ time.

Solution. Each f(a,b) can only depend on f(a,i) and f(i,b) with a < i < b. We order the subproblems such that all the f(a,b) satisfying $1 \le a \le b \le n$ and b = a+i is ahead of all the f(a,b) satisfying $1 \le a \le b \le n$ and b = a+i+1. We can also order all the f(a,a+i) such that smaller value of a's are calculated first.

Since we have obtained all f(a, i) and f(i, b) for computing f(a, b), we only need to spend an extra O(b-a) time to compute f(a, b).

The time complexity of this computation strategy becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} O(i+k-i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k)O(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} O(n^2) = O(n^3).$$

Problem 4. (Rolling Array) Reduce the space complexity for computing the length of the Longest Common Subsequence for two strings x and y with length n and m, from space complexity of O(nm) to O(n+m).

Solution. Recall that the recursive formula of computing the length of LCS is

$$f(a,b) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0, \\ 1 + f(a-1,b-1), & \text{if } a,b > 0 \text{ and } x[a] = y[b], \\ \max\{f(a,b-1), f(a-1,b)\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The computation for subproblems can be arranged in "row-major" order. Specifically, row $i \in [0, n]$ contains all the subproblems $f(i, 0), f(i, 1), \dots f(i, m)$, while processing the rows in ascending order of i. Noticing that only row i-1 is needed to compute row $i \geq 1$. Therefore, it suffices to store only two rows (a temporary storage array and a result array), which requires only O(m) cells. After computing row i, we can move it to the temporary storage array and use it to compute row i+1 on the result array.

Note that the storage complexity is O(n+m) instead of O(m) since we have to store the strings as well.

Problem 5. (Shortest Path in a DAG) Let G = (V, E) be a directed acyclic graph (DAG). For each vertex $u \in V$, let IN(u) be the set of in-neighbours of u (a vertex v is an in-neighbour of u if E has an edge from v to u.) Define $f: V \mapsto \mathbb{N}$, where

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{IN}(u) = \emptyset, \\ 1 + \min_{v \in \text{IN}(u)} f(v), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Design an algorithm to compute f(u) for every $u \in V$ in O(|V| + |E|) time. Vertices in V are assumed to be represented using integers $1, 2, \ldots, |V|$.

Solution. Compute a topological order of G in O(|V| + |E|) time. Then, compute f(u) of every $u \in V$, following the topological order. Since in a topological order, every vertex $u \in V$ is positioned after every vertex $v \in IN(u)$, we can ensure that every f(v) has been computed before computing f(u). Therefore, all f(u) can be computed in O(|V| + |E|) time.

Problem 6. (Longest Path in a DAG) Let G = (V, E) be a DAG. Design an algorithm to find the length of the longest path in G in O(|V| + |E|) time. Recall that the length of a path is the number of edges in the path. You can assume that the vertices in V are represented as integers $1, 2, \ldots, |V|$.

Solution. Lemma. A path with the maximum length must have a vertex with in-degree (number of edges coming into the vertex) zero as its starting point.

Proof. Let an optimal path be $v_1 - v_2 - \ldots - v_k$, and the in-degree of v_1 is non-zero. Hence, $IN(v_1) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in IN(v_1)$. Then we are able to construct a longer path in G by considering $u - v_1 - v_2 - \ldots - v_n$, which is a contradiction.

Define f(u) as the length of the longest path in G starting from any point with in-degree zero and ends with u. In addition, each u must come from an in-neighbour of u. We have

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{IN}(u) = \emptyset, \\ 1 + \max_{v \in \text{IN}(u)} f(v), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can calculate f(u) in O(|V| + |E|) time.

The longest path must end with a point $u \in V$ and thus we can obtain the longest path with an extra O(|V|) time.

Problem 7. Define

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0, \\ 1, & n = 1, \\ f(n-1) + f(n-2), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where n is a non-negative integer. Give an algorithm to calculate f(n) in O(n) time, with the assumption that f(n) fits in a word.

Solution. The subproblems are $f(0), f(1), f(2), \ldots, f(n)$. We adapt the idea of dynamic programming and arrange the subproblems with the computation order $f(0), f(1), \ldots, f(n)$. Thus, when we are required to compute f(n) where $n \geq 2$, the underlying subproblems f(n-1) and f(n-2) would have been ready and stored in an array of size n.

Therefore, the complexity of computing f(n) is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} O(1) = O(n)$.

Problem 8. Let A be an array of n integers. Consider the following recursive function

$$f(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0, & i = j \\ A[i] \cdot A[j] + \min_{k=i+1}^{j-1} f(i,k) + f(k,j), & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

where $1 \le i \le j \le n$.

Design an algorithm to compute f(1, n) in $O(n^3)$ time.

Solution. Each f(a,b) can only depend on f(a,i) and f(i,b) with a < i < b. We order the subproblems such that all the f(a,b) satisfying $1 \le a \le b \le n$ and b = a+i is ahead of all the f(a,b) satisfying $1 \le a \le b \le n$ and b = a+i+1. We can also order all the f(a,a+i) such that smaller value of a's are calculated first.

Since we have obtained all f(a, i) and f(i, b) for computing f(a, b), we only need to spend an extra O(b-a) time to compute f(a, b).

The time complexity of this computation strategy becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} O(i+k-i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k)O(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} O(n^2) = O(n^3).$$

To be clearer, the algorithm below shows the aforesaid method of calculating f(1,n).

```
Set F[i,i]=0 for all i=1,2,\ldots,n
for k\leftarrow 1 to n do
for l\leftarrow 1 to n do
r\leftarrow l+k, \, F[l,r]\leftarrow +\infty
for i\leftarrow l+1 to r-1 do
F[l,r]\leftarrow \min\{F[l,r],A[l]\cdot A[r]+F[l,i]+F[i,r]\}
end for
end for
```

Problem 9. Establish a recursive function f(i,j) to compute the Longest Common Subsequence for two substrings x[1:i], y[1:j]. Compute all f(i,j)'s for x = ABC and y = BDCA.

Solution. Recall that

$$f(a,b) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0, \\ 1 + f(a-1,b-1), & \text{if } a,b > 0 \text{ and } x[a] = y[b], \\ \max\{f(a,b-1), f(a-1,b)\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

f(i,j)	0	1	2	3	4	best(i,j)	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	-	(1,0)	(1,1)	(1,2)	(0,3)
2	0	1	1	1	1	2	-	(1,0)	(2,1)	(2,2)	(2,3)
3	0	1	1	2	2	3	-	(2,1)	(3,1)	(2,2)	(3,3)

The length of LCS(x, y) is 2, while the LCS is BC.

Problem 10. Consider the rot cutting problem again. Suppose n = 5 and the price array P is [2, 6, 7, 9, 10]. What is the maximum revenue achievable? What is the optimal way of rot cutting?

Solution. We first compute the table of opt(t) and bestSub(t) for $t \in [1, n]$.

t	1	2	3	4	5	t	1	2	3	4	5
opt(t)	2	6	8	12	14	bestSub(t)	1	2	1	2	1

Therefore, the maximum revenue is 14 by cutting the rod into segments of 1, 2, 2.

Problem 11. Consider a modification of the rod-cutting problem in which, in addition to a price P[i] for each length $i \in [1, n]$, each cut incurs a fixed cost of c. The revenue associated with a solution is now the sum of the prices of the segments minus the total cost of making the cuts. Give a dynamic-programming algorithm to solve this modified problem in $O(n^2)$ time.

Solution. In the modified recursive formula, we take note that we can either keep the rod uncut, or spend the cost c for obtaining a segment of length i.

$$opt(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0\\ \max\{P[n], \max_{i=1}^{n-1} (P[i] + opt(n-i)) - c\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can still resolve the subproblems following the order: $opt(0), opt(1), opt(2), \ldots, opt(n)$. Clearly, computing opt(i) given $opt(0), opt(1), \ldots, opt(i-1)$ requires O(i) time only. Hence, we can compute opt(n) in $O(n^2)$ time.