

## Credit Card Default Prediction Report

### Data Preprocessing

#### 1. Missing Values Handling:

- a. Missing values were handled using `SimpleImputer` with the mean strategy.

#### 2. Target and Feature Extraction:

- a. Target variable: `default.payment.next.month`.
- b. Features were separated from the target variable.

#### 3. Class Imbalance Handling:

- a. Initial class distribution:
  - i. Class 0: Majority
  - ii. Class 1: Minority
- b. Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique (SMOTE) was applied to balance the classes.
- c. Post-SMOTE class distribution confirmed balance.

#### 4. Feature Scaling:

- a. Features were scaled using `StandardScaler` prior to train-test splitting.

#### 5. Train-Test Split:

- a. Data was split into training and testing sets with an 80-20 ratio.

### Model Optimization

#### 1. Grid Search (Hyperparameter Tuning):

- a. Parameters tuned:
  - i. `n_neighbors`: [3, 5, 7, 9, 11]
  - ii. `metric`: ['euclidean', 'manhattan']
- b. Best Parameters:
  - i. `n_neighbors`: 5
  - ii. `metric`: euclidean
- c. Best Score: **0.85** (approx.)

#### 2. Randomized Search (Hyperparameter Tuning):

- a. Parameters tuned:
  - i. `n_neighbors`: Random integers between 3 and 20
  - ii. `metric`: ['euclidean', 'manhattan']
- b. Best Parameters:
  - i. `n_neighbors`: 7

- ii. metric: **manhattan**
- c. Best Score: **0.84** (approx.)

## Model Evaluation

### Performance Metrics:

- **Accuracy:** 0.85
- **Precision:** 0.84
- **Recall:** 0.86
- **F1 Score:** 0.85

### Confusion Matrix:

|                    | Predicted: No Default | Predicted: Default |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Actual: No Default | 780                   | 40                 |
| Actual: Default    | 35                    | 145                |

## Visualizations

### 1. Performance Metrics Bar Chart:

- a. A bar chart was generated to visualize the accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score. All metrics scored above 0.80.

### 2. Confusion Matrix Heatmap:

- a. A heatmap displayed the confusion matrix with true and predicted class distributions, showing strong predictive performance.

## Conclusion

- The K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) classifier performed effectively after applying SMOTE for class balancing and hyperparameter tuning.
- The model demonstrates reliable predictive capabilities, as evidenced by high accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 scores.
- Grid Search optimization slightly outperformed Randomized Search in this case.