

# Homework 7

Suyi Liu

April 26, 2017

## 1 Problem 1

See expofact.m file and problem1.txt file. Details are included in the function. I consulted the fastexp.m and extendedeuclid.m functions to use fast exponentiation as well as to compute gcd of two numbers. The factors of 68309797 is 8527 and 8011, using  $k = 341466300$ , base  $a = 2$ , as well as base  $a = 5$ .

## 2 Problem 2

Prove by induction on  $mn$ :

Base case:

Suppose  $m, n$  are prime numbers. Then  $\phi(mn) = (m-1)(n-1) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$

Suppose  $m, n$  are 1 and 2. Then  $\phi(mn) = \phi(2) = 1 * 2 = \phi(m)\phi(n)$

Inductive hypothesis:

Suppose for all  $x$  such that  $x < m$ , and for all  $y$  such that  $y < n$ , if  $x$  and  $y$  are relatively prime positive integers  $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ .

Induction Step:

Need to show  $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$

Suppose all the divisors of  $m$  are  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_a$ , specifically,  $d_1 = 1, d_a = m$ . Suppose

all the divisors of  $n$  are  $d'_1, d'_2, \dots, d'_b$ , specifically,  $d'_1 = 1, d'_b = n$ .

=====

Claim: There's correspondence between  $d_i * d'_j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq a$  and  $1 \leq j \leq b$ , and all the divisors of  $mn$  (All the divisors of  $mn$  are the Cartesian products of divisors of  $m$  times divisors of  $n$ ).

Proof:

" $\Rightarrow$ ":  $d_i * d'_j$  divides  $mn$ , because  $d_i | m$  and  $d'_j | n$ , so  $m = u * d_i$ ,  $n = v * d'_j$  for some  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $d_i * d'_j * uv = mn$ ,  $d_i * d'_j$  divides  $mn$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Suppose  $x | mn$ , then  $x = d_i * d'_j$ , where  $d_i | m$  and  $d'_j | n$ . This is because when  $m, n$  are relatively prime, they don't share any common prime  $p > 1$ . Suppose the prime factorization of  $x$  is  $p_1 * p_2 * \dots * p_z$ . For all  $s \in 1 \dots z$ , if  $p_s | m$ ,

group such  $p_s$  together, and there must be a  $d_i$  equal to such product which divides  $m$ . For all  $r \in 1...z$ , if  $p_r | n$ , group such  $p_r$  together, and there must be a  $d'_j$  equal to such product which divides  $n$ . And there must not be any prime factor of  $x$  that cannot divide  $m$  or  $n$  (then  $x$  will not be able to divide  $mn$ , contradiction!), or any prime factor of  $x$  that divides both  $m$  and  $n$  in the same time because  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{According to the theorem from class, we can write } mn = m * n = (\sum_{i=1}^a \phi(d_i)) * (\sum_{j=1}^b \phi(d'_j)) \\ & = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{i=a, j=b} \phi(d_i) * \phi(d'_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Using the correspondence above, we can write } mn = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{i=a, j=b} \phi(d_i * d'_j)$$

$$\text{So we get } \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{i=a, j=b} \phi(d_i) * \phi(d'_j) = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{i=a, j=b} \phi(d_i * d'_j)$$

By the inductive hypothesis, since  $d_i, d'_j$  are positive and pairwise relatively prime (divisors of two relatively prime integers are relatively prime to each other), we know  $\phi(d_i) * \phi(d'_j) = \phi(d_i * d'_j)$  for all  $d_i < m, d'_j < n$ , so we can cancel out  $\phi(d_i) * \phi(d'_j)$  and  $\phi(d_i * d'_j)$  for all  $d_i < m, d'_j < n$  from both sides.

Then we only have  $\phi(d_i) * \phi(d'_j) = \phi(d_i * d'_j)$  left on both sides. Since  $d_i = m, d'_j = n, \phi(mn) = \phi(m) * \phi(n)$

So if  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime positive integers, then  $\phi(mn) = \phi(m) * \phi(n)$

### 3 Problem 3

Suppose the prime factorization of  $n$  is  $\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$ , where  $p_i$  are prime numbers.

- $\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{e_1} * \prod_{i=2}^k p_i^{e_i}) = \phi(p_1^{e_1}) * \phi(\prod_{i=2}^k p_i^{e_i}) = \dots = \phi(p_1^{e_1}) * \phi(p_2^{e_2}) * \dots * \phi(p_k^{e_k}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \phi(p_i^{e_i})$  Since  $\phi(p^k) = (p-1)p^{k-1}$  from last homework,  
 $\phi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k (p_i - 1) * p_i^{e_i - 1}$
- $\frac{\phi(n)}{n} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (p_i - 1) * p_i^{e_i - 1}}{\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}} = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(p_i - 1) * p_i^{e_i - 1}}{p_i^{e_i}} = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i}$
- $\frac{\phi(n)}{n}$  is  $\frac{\#units}{n} = \frac{|Z_n^*|}{|Z_n|}$  So  $\frac{\phi(n)}{n}$  represents the fraction of members of  $Z_n$  that are in  $Z_n^*$ .

### 4 Problem 4

Since  $n, e_A$  and  $e_B$  are public keys known to Eve, and  $e_A$  and  $e_B$  are relatively prime, there must exist  $x, y \in Z$  such that  $x * e_A + y * e_B = 1$ . So Eve can efficiently compute  $x$  and  $y$  using extended Euclid's algorithm.

Since Eve knows  $c_A, c_B$ , she can compute  $c_A^x * c_B^y = (m^{e_A})^x * (m^{e_B})^y = m^{x * e_A + y * e_B} = m^1 = m \mod n$ .

As for the case where either  $x$  or  $y$  is negative: Assume  $x = -k, k > 0$ , Eve

can compute  $c_A^x = c_A^{-k} = c_A^{-1^k}$ . And  $c_A^{-1}$  is easy to compute efficiently using Euclid's algorithm to find the inverse of  $c_A$ .

The rest of work can be computed efficiently using fast exponentiation.

So Eve can find  $m$  by computing  $c_A^x * c_B^y \bmod n$  if she intercepts  $c_A, c_B$ .

## 5 Problem 5

According to Fermat's Little Theorem, for all  $a \in Z_p^*$ ,  $a^{p-1} = 1 \bmod p$ .

If  $p_i - 1 | n - 1$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , there exists an integer  $x_i$  such that  $(p_i - 1) * x_i = n - 1$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Thus for all  $a \in Z_p^*$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $a^{n-1} = a^{(p_i-1)*x_i} = (a^{p_i-1})^{x_i} = 1^{x_i} = 1 \bmod p_i$ .

Specifically, for all  $a \in Z_p^*$ :

$$a^{n-1} = 1 \bmod p_1$$

$$a^{n-1} = 1 \bmod p_2$$

...

$$a^{n-1} = 1 \bmod p_m$$

which means:

$$p_1 | a^{n-1} - 1$$

$$p_2 | a^{n-1} - 1$$

...

$$p_m | a^{n-1} - 1$$

Since  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$  are distinct prime numbers sharing no common factor  $> 1$ ,

$p_1 * p_2 * \dots * p_m | a^{n-1} - 1$ , So  $a^{n-1} = 1 \bmod p_1 * p_2 * \dots * p_m = n$

Thus for all  $a \in Z_p^*$ ,  $a^{n-1} = 1 \bmod n$ , so  $n$  is a Carmichael number by definition.