

# **Foundations of Certified Programming Language and Compiler Design**

Dr.-Ing. Sebastian Ertel

Composable Operating Systems Group, Barkhausen Institute

# Theorem Proving at TUD



- There is an internship ("Komplexpraktikum") about LEAN at TUD!
- Please have a look at https://iccl.inf.tu-dresden.de/web/Theorem\_Proving\_with\_LEAN\_(WS2023)

· Meet Stephan and Lukas and join their research!

### Outline



Lecture	<b>Logic</b> Cog/Lean	Formalisms	PL Haskell
1	Propositional and first-order logic		
2			Functional programming
3		Syntax and Semantics	
4			The untyped lambda calculus
5		Types	
6			The typed lambda calculus
7			Polymorphism
8		Curry-Howard	
9			Higher-order types
10			Dependent types

### Goals



Let's enter a more powerful logic system that allows us to express

- (in-)equalities and
- arithmetics.

# Motivating examples



Natural numbers with addition:

$$0 + 1 = 1$$

Generalization:

$$0 + x = x$$

• And even tougher ones:

$$x + 0 = x$$
,  $x + y = y + x$ 

- Verification of the correctness of a compiler transformation  $\mathtt{trans}$  for a program p:

$$\mathtt{exec}(\mathtt{trans}\;p)=\mathtt{exec}\;p$$

# From Propositional to First-Order Logic



Examples: Propositional Logic 
$$X_1 \lor X_2 \Rightarrow X_3$$

# From Propositional to First-Order Logic



```
Syntax A ::=
                                            formulas/propositions:
                  P(t_1,\ldots,t_n)
                                            predicates on terms
                  A \Rightarrow A
                                            implication
                 A \wedge A
                                            conjunction
                                            truth
                  A \vee A
                                            disjunction
                                            falsity
                                            negation
                  \neg A
                  \forall x.A
                                            universally quantified term variables
                  \exists x.A
                                            existentially quantified term variables
```

Examples:	Propositional Logic	First-Order Logic
	$X_1 \vee X_2 \Rightarrow X_3$	$\forall x. \exists y. (x \times y = 1 \land y \times x = 1)$

#### **Predicates**



- . . . with  $a::\mathcal{P}\to\mathbb{N}$  defining the arity of predicates.
- Let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{X}$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the set of propositional variables such that  $\forall X \in \mathcal{X}.a(X) = 0$ ,
- then

Propositional formula 
$$X \vee \neg Y$$

 $First-order \ formula \\ X() \vee \neg Y()$ 

#### **Terms**



- ... with an associated arity:  $a:: \Sigma \to \mathbb{N}$
- and  $\mathcal{T}$  as the smallest set of terms.

#### **Bound and Free Variables**



- We say: "Term variable x is bound in formula A.
- A variable that is not bound is free.
- A formula A is closed when it does not contain free variables, i.e.,  $FV(A) = \emptyset$ .

# Free Variables Definitions and Notation



• . . . of a formula A:

$$FV_A :: A \to \{x | x \in X\}$$

$$FV_A(P(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = FV_t(t_1) \cup \dots \cup FV_t(t_n)$$

$$FV_A(A_1 \Rightarrow A_2) = FV_A(A_1 \lor A_2) = FV_A(A_1 \land A_2) = FV_A(A_1) \cup FV_A(A_2)$$

$$FV_A(\top) = FV_A(\bot) = \emptyset$$

$$FV_A(\neg A_1) = FV_A(A_1)$$

$$FV_A(\forall x. A_1) = FV_A(\exists x. A_1) = FV_A(A_1) \setminus \{x\}$$

• . . . of a term t:

$$FV_t :: t \to \{x|x \in X\}$$

$$FV_t(x) = \{x\}$$

$$FV_t(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = FV_t(t_1) \cup \dots \cup FV_t(t_n)$$

• . . . of a context  $\Gamma$ :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} FV_{\Gamma} & :: & \Gamma \rightarrow \{x | x \in X\} \\ FV_{\Gamma}(x:A_1,\ldots,x:A_n) & = & FV_A(A_1) \cup \ldots \cup FV_A(A_n) \end{array}$$

• Notation:  $A(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  where  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are free variable of A

### Substitution



### **Definition (Substitution)**

A substitution is a function  $\sigma :: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{T}$  such that the set  $\{x \in \mathcal{X} | \sigma(x) \neq x\}$  is finite.

- We write  $t[\sigma(x)]$  for the term where every occurrence of x in t is replaced by  $\sigma(x)$ .
- The replacement function is trivial:

$$x[\sigma] = \sigma(x)$$
  
 
$$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)[\sigma] = f(t_1[\sigma], \dots, t_n[\sigma])$$

Notation:

$$A(t_1, \ldots t_n)$$
 instead of  $A[t_1/x_1, \ldots, t_n/x_n]$ 

### Natural Deduction Rules



• We extend the natural deduction rules of propositional logic with the following rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.A}{\Gamma \vdash A[t/x]} \ (\forall_E) \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A_2} \ (\exists_E) \text{ if } x \not\in FV_\Gamma(\Gamma) \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A_2} \ (\exists_E) \text{ if } x \not\in FV_\Gamma(\Gamma) \cup FV_A(A_2) \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash A[t/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.A} \ (\exists_I)$$

#### **Theories**



### **Definition (First-order Theory)**

A first-order theory  $\Theta(\mathcal{P}, \Sigma)$  on a set of predicates  $\mathcal{P}$  and a signature of terms  $\Sigma$  is a (possibly infinite) set of closed formulas also called *axioms*.

### **Definition (Provability)**

A formula A is *provable* if there is  $\Gamma \subseteq \Theta$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash A$  is provable.

### Properties:

consistent when  $\bot$  is not provable in the theory complete when for every formula A, **either** A **or**  $\neg A$  is provable in the theory decidable when there is an algorithm that decides whether a given formula is provable or not

# The decidable theory of equality with uninterpreted functions



$$\Theta:=(\mathcal{P},\Sigma)$$
 where  $\mathcal{P}=\{=\colon 2\}$  
$$\Sigma \ = \ \{f: n \ | \ f \in \mathsf{Strings}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Axioms:

$$\boxed{t=t'} \qquad \qquad \frac{t_2=t_1}{t_1=t_2} \; \text{Symmetry} \qquad \qquad \frac{t_1=t_{12} \quad t_{12}=t_2}{t_1=t_2} \; \text{Transitivity}$$
 
$$\frac{f=f' \quad t_1=t_1' \quad \dots \quad t_n=t_n'}{f(t_1,\dots,t_n)=f'(t_1',\dots,t_n')} \; \text{Function Congruence}$$
 
$$\frac{P(A_1,\dots,A_m) \quad A_1=A_1' \quad \dots \quad A_m=A_m'}{P(A_1',\dots,A_m')} \; \text{Predicate Congruence}$$

# Presburger Arithmetic



- Axiomatizes the addition over natural numbers.
- Theory of equality over the signature  $\Sigma = \{0 : 0, S : 1, + : 2\}$
- with the following axioms:

$$\frac{0=S(x)}{\perp} \ (\operatorname{ax}_{=_{\perp}}) \qquad \frac{S(x)=S(y)}{x=y} \ (S_{\operatorname{inj}}) \qquad \overline{0+x=x} \ (\operatorname{ax}_{+_1}) \qquad \overline{S(x)+y=S(x+y)} \ (\operatorname{ax}_{+_2})$$



$$rac{\pi_0}{orall x.x+0=x}$$
 (cases)

$$\frac{x=0 \vdash x+0=x \quad x=S(y) \vdash S(y)+0=S(y)}{x+0=x} \text{ (cases)}$$



```
\pi_S := \pi_0 := \pi_{\mathsf{Left | Identity}} := \frac{\pi_0 - \pi_S}{\forall x. x + 0 = x} \text{ (cases)} fn \ \mathsf{pi\_left\_identity(x:Nat) \{ \} }
```



$$\pi_S$$
 := 
$$\pi_0$$
 :=  $0+0=0$  =:  $(x+0=x)[0/x]$  
$$\pi_{\text{Left Identity}}$$
 := 
$$\frac{\pi_0}{\forall x.x+0=x}$$
 (cases)



$$\begin{array}{ll} \pi_S &:= & \\ \\ \pi_0 &:= & \overline{0+0=0} \ (\operatorname{ax}_{+1}) \\ \\ \pi_{\operatorname{Left Identity}} &:= & \overline{\forall x.x+0=x} \ (\operatorname{cases}) \end{array}$$



$$\frac{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x + 0)}{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x)} \qquad (=_{\text{transitivity}})$$
 
$$\pi_S := \frac{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{S(x) + 0 = S(x)} (\forall_E)$$
 
$$\pi_0 := \overline{0 + 0 = 0} \text{ (ax}_{+1})$$
 
$$\pi_{\text{Left Identity}} := \frac{\pi_0 \quad \pi_S}{\forall x.x + 0 = x} \text{ (cases)}$$



$$\frac{\overline{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x + 0)}}{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x)} \xrightarrow{(\mathsf{ax}_{+2})} \forall x.S(x + 0) = S(x)} (=_{\mathsf{transitivity}})$$

$$\pi_S := \frac{\overline{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x)}}{S(x) + 0 = S(x)} (\forall_E)$$

$$\pi_0 := \overline{0 + 0 = 0} \overset{(\mathsf{ax}_{+1})}{(\mathsf{ax}_{+1})}$$

$$\pi_{\mathsf{Left Identity}} := \frac{\pi_0 - \pi_S}{\forall x.x + 0 = x} \overset{(\mathsf{cases})}{(\mathsf{cases})}$$



$$\frac{\frac{}{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x+0)}}{\frac{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x+0)}{S(x)+0=S(x)}} \underbrace{\frac{S=S}{\forall x.X+0=x}}_{\forall x.S(x+0)=S(x)} \underbrace{(\text{fun cong})}_{\text{currentitivity}}}_{\text{currentitivity}} = \frac{\frac{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)}{S(x)+0=S(x)}}{\frac{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)}{S(x)+0=S(x)}} \underbrace{(\forall E)}_{\text{currentitivity}}$$



$$\frac{\overline{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x + 0)}}{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x + 0)} (\mathsf{ax}_{+2}) \qquad \overline{\frac{S = S}{\forall x.S(x + 0) = S(x)}} (\mathsf{fun} \, \mathsf{cong}) \\ \overline{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x)} (\forall x.S(x + 0) = S(x)) (\forall x.S(x + 0) = S(x)) (\forall x.S(x + 0) = S(x)) \\ \overline{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x)} (\forall x.S(x + 0) = S(x)) (\forall x.S(x + 0) = S(x)) (\forall x.S(x + 0) = S(x)) \\ \overline{\forall x.S(x) + 0 = S(x)} (\forall x.S(x + 0) = S(x)) (\forall x.S(x + 0) = S(x))$$



$$\frac{\overline{S=S} \ (\text{ax}_{S-\text{cong}}) \quad \forall x.x+0=x}{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x+0)} \ (\text{fun cong}) \\ \overline{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)} \\ \overline{S(x)+0=S(x)} \ (\forall_E) \\ \overline{T}_{S} := \overline{0+0=0} \ (\text{ax}_{+1}) \\ \overline{T}_{S} := \overline{0+0=0} \ (\text{ax}_{+1}) \\ \overline{T}_{S} := \overline{0+0=0} \ (\text{cases}) \\ \overline{T}_{S} := \overline{T}_$$



$$\frac{\overline{S=S} \text{ } \left(\text{ax}_{S-\text{cong}}\right)}{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x+0)} \text{ } \left(\text{ax}_{+2}\right) \quad \frac{\overline{S=S} \text{ } \left(\text{ax}_{S-\text{cong}}\right)}{\forall x.S(x+0)=S(x)} \text{ } \left(\text{fun cong}\right)}{\forall x.S(x+0)=S(x)}$$

$$\frac{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)}{S(x)+0=S(x)} \text{ } \left(\forall E\right)$$

$$\pi_{0} := \overline{0+0=0} \text{ } \left(\text{ax}_{+1}\right) \qquad \qquad f(n) := \begin{cases} \pi_{0} & \text{if } n \text{ is } 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\pi_{\text{Left Identity}} := \frac{\pi_{0}}{\forall x.x+0=x} \text{ } \left(\text{cases}\right)$$



$$\frac{\overline{S=S} \text{ } (\mathsf{ax}_{S-\mathsf{cong}}) \quad \frac{\overline{\forall x.f(x)}}{\overline{\forall x.x+0=x}} \text{ } (\mathsf{fun\,cong})}{\overline{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)}} = \frac{\overline{S=S} \text{ } (\mathsf{ax}_{S-\mathsf{cong}}) \quad \frac{\overline{\forall x.f(x)}}{\overline{\forall x.x+0=x}} \text{ } (\mathsf{fun\,cong})}{\overline{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)}} = \frac{\overline{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)}}{S(x)+0=S(x)} \text{ } (\forall E)$$

$$\pi_{S} := \frac{\overline{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)}}{S(x)+0=S(x)} \text{ } (\forall E)$$



$$\frac{\overline{S=S}}{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x+0)} \text{ (ax$_{+2}$)} \qquad \frac{\overline{S=S}}{\forall x.S(x+0)} \frac{\forall x.f(x)}{\forall x.x+0=x} \text{ (fun cong)}$$
 
$$\frac{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)}{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)} \text{ (=transitivity)}$$
 
$$\pi_S := \qquad \frac{\forall x.S(x)+0=S(x)}{S(x)+0=S(x)} \text{ ($\forall E$)}$$
 
$$\pi_0 := \overline{0+0=0} \text{ (ax$_{+1}$)} \qquad f(n) := \begin{cases} \pi_0 & \text{if $n$ is $0$} \\ \pi_S[y/x] & \text{if $n$ is $S(y)$} \end{cases}$$
 
$$\pi_{\text{Left Identity}} := \frac{\pi_0 \quad \pi_S}{\forall x.x+0=x} \text{ (cases)} \text{ (cases)} \text{ (ax$_{+2}$)} \text{ (ax$_{+2}$)$$



# **Induction Principle**



$$\frac{\overline{S=S} \text{ } \left(\operatorname{ax}_{S-\operatorname{cong}}\right)}{S(x)+0=S(x+0)} \text{ } \left(\operatorname{ax}_{+2}\right) \frac{\overline{S=S} \text{ } \left(\operatorname{ax}_{S-\operatorname{cong}}\right)}{x+0=x\vdash x+0=x} \text{ } \left(\operatorname{fun\,cong}\right)}{x+0=x\vdash S(x+0)=S(x)} \text{ } \left(\operatorname{etransitivity}\right)$$

$$\pi_{S} := \frac{x+0=x\vdash S(x)+0=S(x)}{x+0=x\Rightarrow S(x)+0=S(x)} \text{ } \left(\Rightarrow_{I}\right)$$

$$\pi_{0} := \overline{0+0=0} \text{ } \left(\operatorname{ax}_{+0}\right)$$

$$\pi_{Left\, Identity} := \frac{\pi_{0}}{\forall x.x+0=x} \text{ } \left(\operatorname{Ind}\right) \qquad \qquad \frac{A(0)}{\forall x.A(x)\Rightarrow A(S(x))} \text{ } \left(\operatorname{Ind}\right)$$

# **Induction Principle**



$$\frac{S(x) + 0 = S(x + 0)}{S(x) + 0 = S(x + 0)} \xrightarrow{\text{(ax}_{S-cong})} \frac{\overline{x + 0} = x \vdash x + 0 = x}{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{(fun cong)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{x + 0 = x \Rightarrow S(x) + 0 = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{x + 0 = x \Rightarrow S(x) + 0 = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{x + 0 = x \Rightarrow S(x) + 0 = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash x + 0 = x}{(x + 0)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash x + 0 = x}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x) + 0 = S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$i$)}} \frac{x + 0 = x \vdash S(x)}{(x + 0) = S(x)} \xrightarrow{$$

# Induction Principle

 $\pi_S$ 



### Induction Hypothesis

$$\frac{1}{S(x)+0=S(x+0)} \xrightarrow{\text{(ax}_{+2})} \frac{\overline{S=S} \xrightarrow{\text{(ax}_{S-\operatorname{cong}})} \xrightarrow{x+0=x\vdash x+0=x} \xrightarrow{\text{(ax)}} \xrightarrow{\text{(fun cong)}} x+0=x\vdash S(x+0)=S(x)}{x+0=x\vdash S(x)+0=S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{(=transitivity)}} \pi_S := \frac{x+0=x\vdash S(x)+0=S(x)}{x+0=x\Rightarrow S(x)+0=S(x)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$}_I)} \xrightarrow{\text{(ax)}_{S-\operatorname{cong}}} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$}_I)} \xrightarrow{\text{(ax)}_{S-\operatorname{cong}}} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$}_I)} \xrightarrow{\text{($\Rightarrow$}_I$$

$$\pi_{\text{Left Identity}} \quad := \quad \frac{\pi_0 \quad \pi_S}{\forall x.x+0=x} \ \ (\text{Ind})$$

$$\frac{A(0) \qquad (\forall x. A(x) \Rightarrow A(S(x))}{\forall x. A \; x} \; \; (\operatorname{Ind})$$

### Induction vs. Cases



$$\frac{x = 0 \vdash A(x) \qquad (\forall x. A(x) \ \Rightarrow \ A(S(x))}{\forall x. A \ x} \ (\mathrm{Ind}) \qquad \frac{x = 0 \vdash A(x) \quad x = S(y) \vdash A(S(y))}{\forall x. A(x)} \ (\mathrm{cases})$$

- Important point to remember:
  - Cases does not provide a induction hypothesis, i.e.,
  - it does not cover recursion!

#### Induction vs. Recurrence



$$\frac{A(0) \qquad (\forall x.A(x) \Rightarrow A(S(x))}{\forall x.A \; x} \; \; (\operatorname{Ind}) \qquad \qquad \frac{A(0) \qquad (\forall x.A(x) \rightarrow A(S(x))}{\forall x.A \; x} \; \; (\operatorname{Rec})$$

- Look what just happened:
  - We used a proof just like a function!
  - There seems to be a deeper connection between implication and a function (type)?
- Let's explore this connection in this lecture!