assayed by transplant or culture 10,22. To rule out potential contamination by such cells, we aimed to determine whether megakaryocyteproducing LT-HSC clones in situ had properties of classic LT-HSCs in the context of transplantation. For this, we transplanted clonally labelled LT-HSCs isolated from mice four weeks after induction, and at 16 weeks post-transplantation we purified mature lineages from recipients and compared their transposon repertoires with those of cells initially isolated from the donor (Fig. 4f). We observed that six out of eight detected megakaryocyte lineage-restricted LT-HSC clones in the donor were able to generate multilineage progeny in recipients (Fig. 4g, i). We reached similar conclusions when evaluating the culture potential of in situ MkP-producing LT-HSC clones (Extended Data Fig. 10d, e). Additionally, our results demonstrate that MkP production is not exclusive to the CD41<sup>+</sup> LT-HSC fraction (Extended Data Fig. 10f, g). Thus, we conclude that most megakaryocyte lineageproducing clones residing in the LT-HSC gate are not simply megakaryocyte-restricted progenitors, but clones that can exhibit multipotency upon transplantation.

Our work here uncovers critical features of the native haematopoietic process. In our model, as much as half of the megakaryocyte lineage is produced independently of other lineages by cells at the top of the haematopoietic ladder (Fig. 4j). A heterogeneous hierarchy of lineage-restricted and oligolineage progenitors, historically classified as MPPs, produce other haematopoietic lineages with selective lineage couplings. Although our work still supports a model for progressive restriction of developmental potential, it suggests that these events are clonally heterogeneous and occur much earlier in the haematopoietic hierarchy, in line with recent data<sup>7,8,14,16</sup>. Although our data fail to provide any evidence for CMP or MEP fates in situ, many experiments have provided evidence for MEP-like cells at a clonal level<sup>4,12,13,24</sup>. We posit that while megakaryocyte-erythrocyte bipotential exists in transplant or culture settings, this fate is not substantially manifested in unperturbed conditions. Alternatively, such cellular behaviour might be too transient to be captured with our technology.

Our data demonstrate that at least a fraction of LT-HSCs behave as a potent source of MkP, indicating that the megakaryocyte fate is the predominant fate of HSCs in situ. However, these same cells exhibit potential for multilineage outcomes following transplantation. Thus, our findings highlight the critical differences between studying native fate versus potential in stem cell biology. Although we are unable to conclude whether a particular subset or all LT-HSCs will eventually display megakaryocyte-producing behaviour, we favour the idea that most LT-HSC clones transition through a megakaryocyte-primed state with age. Our data also suggest that an MPP population (within MPP2) is involved in megakaryocyte production. It remains to be determined whether these represent two different pathways for megakaryocyte production or whether LT-HSCs are upstream of MPP2s. Finally, our results are still consonant with the idea that adult LT-HSCs have a limited lympho-erythromyeloid output during steady state<sup>11,25</sup>, although this finding has been debated<sup>26</sup>. Future work with second-generation cell barcoding strategies<sup>27,28</sup> in combination with Cre-based labelling will be needed to elucidate full lineage histories and determine the mechanisms of fate restriction.

**Online Content** Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.

## Received 18 November 2016; accepted 21 November 2017. Published online 3 January 2018.

- Morrison, S. J., Wandycz, A. M., Hemmati, H. D., Wright, D. E. & Weissman, I. L. Identification of a lineage of multipotent hematopoietic progenitors. *Development* 124, 1929–1939 (1997).
- Morrison, S. J. & Weissman, I. L. The long-term repopulating subset of hematopoietic stem cells is deterministic and isolatable by phenotype. *Immunity* 1, 661–673 (1994).
- Adolfsson, J. et al. Identification of Flt3+ lympho-myeloid stem cells lacking erythro-megakaryocytic potential: a revised road map for adult blood lineage commitment. Cell 121, 295–306 (2005).

- Akashi, K., Traver, D., Miyamoto, T. & Weissman, I. L. A clonogenic common myeloid progenitor that gives rise to all myeloid lineages. *Nature* 404, 193–197 (2000).
- Ceredig, R., Rolińk, A. G. & Brown, G. Models of haematopoiesis: seeing the wood for the trees. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 9, 293–300 (2009).
- Forsberg, E. C., Serwold, T., Kogan, S., Weissman, I. L. & Passegué, E. New evidence supporting megakaryocyte–erythrocyte potential of flk2/flt3+ multipotent hematopoietic progenitors. Cell 126, 415–426 (2006).
- Notta, F. et al. Distinct routes of lineage development reshape the human blood hierarchy across ontogeny. Science 351, aab2116 (2016).
- Perié, L., Duffy, K. R., Kok, L., de Boer, R. J. & Schumacher, T. N. The branching point in erythro-myeloid differentiation. Cell 163, 1655–1662 (2015).
- Pietras, E. M. et al. Functionally distinct subsets of lineage-biased multipotent progenitors control blood production in normal and regenerative conditions. Cell Stem Cell 17, 35–46 (2015).
- Yamamoto, R. et al. Clonal analysis unveils self-renewing lineage-restricted progenitors generated directly from hematopoietic stem cells. Cell 154, 1112–1126 (2013).
- 11. Sun, J. et al. Clonal dynamics of native haematopoiesis. *Nature* **514**, 322–327
- Debili, N. et al. Characterization of a bipotent erythro-megakaryocytic progenitor in human bone marrow. Blood 88, 1284–1296 (1996).
- Sanada, C. et al. Adult human megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitors are in the CD34+CD38<sup>mid</sup> fraction. Blood 128, 923–933 (2016).
- Paul, F. et al. Transcriptional heterogeneity and lineage commitment in myeloid progenitors. Cell 163, 1663–1677 (2015).
- Pronk, C. J. et al. Elucidation of the phenotypic, functional, and molecular topography of a myeloerythroid progenitor cell hierarchy. Cell Stem Cell 1, 428–442 (2007).
- Velten, L. et al. Human haematopoietic stem cell lineage commitment is a continuous process. Nat. Cell Biol. 19, 271–281 (2017).
- Klein, A. M. et al. Droplet barcoding for single-cell transcriptomics applied to embryonic stem cells. Cell 161, 1187–1201 (2015).
- Calaminus, S. D. et al. Lineage tracing of Pf4-Cre marks hematopoietic stem cells and their progeny. PLoS ONE 7, e51361 (2012).
- Gekas, C. & Graf, T. CD41 expression marks myeloid-biased adult hematopoietic stem cells and increases with age. *Blood* 121, 4463–4472 (2013).
- Haas, S. et al. Inflammation-induced emergency megakaryopoiesis driven by hematopoietic stem cell-like megakaryocyte progenitors. Cell Stem Cell 17, 422–434 (2015).
- Nishikii, H. et al. Unipotent megakaryopoietic pathway bridging hematopoietic stem cells and mature megakaryocytes. Stem Cells 33, 2196–2207 (2015).
- Roch, A., Trachsel, V. & Lutolf, M. P. Single-cell analysis reveals cell divisionindependent emergence of megakaryocytes from phenotypic hematopoietic stem cells. Stem Cells 33, 3152–3157 (2015).
- Sanjuan-Pla, A. et al. Platelet-biased stem cells reside at the apex of the haematopoietic stem-cell hierarchy. Nature 502, 232–236 (2013).
- Vannucchi, A. M. et al. Identification and characterization of a bipotent (erythroid and megakaryocytic) cell precursor from the spleen of phenylhydrazine-treated mice. Blood 95, 2559–2568 (2000).
- Busch, K. et al. Fundamental properties of unperturbed haematopoiesis from stem cells in vivo. Nature 518, 542–546 (2015).
- Sawai, C. M. et al. Hematopoietic stem cells are the major source of multilineage hematopoiesis in adult animals. *Immunity* 45, 597–609 (2016).
- Junker, J. P. et al. Massively parallel clonal analysis using CRISPR/Cas9 induced genetic scars. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/056499 (2017).
- Raj, B. et al. Simultaneous single-cell profiling of lineages and cell types in the vertebrate brain by scGESTALT. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/205534 (2017).

Supplementary Information is available in the online version of the paper.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to members of the Camargo and Klein laboratory for comments. A.R.F. is a Merck Fellow of the Life Sciences Research Foundation and a non-stipendiary European Molecular Biology Organization postdoctoral fellow. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants HL128850-01A1 and P01HL13147 to F.D.C. F.D.C. is a Leukemia and Lymphoma Society and a Howard Hughes Medical Institute Scholar. A.M.K. is supported by a Burroughs-Wellcome Fund CASI award, and by the Edward J. Mallinckrodt Fellowship.

**Author Contributions** A.R.F. and F.D.C. designed the study, analysed the data, and wrote the manuscript. A.R.F. performed and analysed the experiments, assisted by M.J., S.P., and J.S. S.W., C.W., R.P., R.A.C., and A.M.K. designed and analysed inDrops experiments and transcriptome data. F.D.C. supervised the study.

**Author Information** Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are welcome to comment on the online version of the paper. Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.D.C. (Fernando.Camargo@ childrens.harvard.edu).

**Reviewer Information** *Nature* thanks B. Gottgens and the other anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.