



Figure 4 | Extrapolation of the rotation period of comet 41P in time.

We modelled past and future absolute values of the period (P) by extrapolating the 2017 torques to other perihelion passages (upward steps). This scenario suggests that the nucleus could evolve from rapid rotation near the fragmentation limit³ (red shading) to an excited, unstable spin state^{4,5} (blue shading) in only a few orbits. The 2017 observations are indicated by filled circles.

indicate that most comets go through a large change in their rotation period soon after their activation⁵. This large change leads to a temporary excitation of the spin state of the nucleus, and for most comets the rotation period will evolve slowly thereafter. Simulations also show that, in some cases, uniformly active surfaces can cause comets to respond unpredictably to changes in their spin state. Such comets may have inherently variable spin states, experiencing large changes in their rotation period during each perihelion passage.

Projecting back in time, comet 41P may have been near the critical fragmentation limit (with a period of around 5 h)³ in the recent past. It exhibited large outbursts in activity in 1973 and 2001^{15,22}, and these events may be related to the evolution of its spin state. The rapid rotation may have caused these outbursts via fragmentation or landslides²³; alternatively, the outbursts may have given rise to the spin evolution by exposing new active areas that generate outgassing torques.

Online Content Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.

Received 22 June; accepted 11 November 2017.

1. Jewitt, D. Cometary rotation: an overview. *Earth Moon Planets* **79**, 35–53 (1997).
2. Keller, H. U., Mottola, S., Skorov, Y. & Jorda, L. The changing rotation period of comet 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko controlled by its activity. *Astron. Astrophys.* **579**, L5 (2015).
3. Davidsson, B. J. R. Tidal splitting and rotational breakup of solid biaxial ellipsoids. *Icarus* **149**, 375–383 (2001).
4. Samarasinha, N. H., Mueller, B. E. A., Belton, M. J. S. & Jorda, L. in *Comets II* (eds Festou, M. et al.) 281–299 (Univ. Arizona Press, 2004).
5. Gutiérrez, P. J., Jorda, L., Ortiz, J. L. & Rodrigo, R. Long-term simulations of the rotational state of small irregular cometary nuclei. *Astron. Astrophys.* **406**, 1123–1133 (2003).
6. Gehrels, N. et al. The Swift Gamma-Ray Burst mission. *Astrophys. J.* **611**, 1005–1020 (2004).

7. Farnham, T. L., Schleicher, D. G. & A'Hearn, M. F. The HB narrowband comet filters: standard stars and calibrations. *Icarus* **147**, 180–204 (2000).
8. A'Hearn, M. F. et al. Cyanogen jets in comet Halley. *Nature* **324**, 649–651 (1986).
9. Schleicher, D. G. & Farnham, T. L. in *Comets II* (eds Festou, M. et al.) 449–469 (Univ. Arizona Press, 2004).
10. A'Hearn, M. F. et al. EPOXI at comet Hartley 2. *Science* **332**, 1396–1400 (2011).
11. Farnham, T. L. et al. Comet 41P/Tuttle–Giacobini–Kresák. *CBET* **4375** (2017).
12. Knight, M. M., Eisner, N., Schleicher, D. G. & Thirouin, A. Comet 41P/Tuttle–Giacobini–Kresák. *CBET* **4377** (2017).
13. Lamy, P. L., Toth, I., Fernandez, Y. R. & Weaver, H. A. in *Comets II* (eds Festou, M. et al.) 223–264 (Univ. Arizona Press, 2004).
14. Fernández, Y. R. et al. Thermal properties, sizes, and size distribution of Jupiter-family cometary nuclei. *Icarus* **226**, 1138–1170 (2013).
15. Combi, M. SOHO SWAN derived cometary water production rates collection, urn:nasa:pds:soho:swan_derived:1.0 (ed. Feaga, L.) *NASA Planetary Data System* (2017).
16. A'Hearn, M. F., Millis, R. L., Schleicher, D. G., Osip, D. J. & Birch, P. V. The ensemble properties of comets: Results from narrowband photometry of 85 comets, 1976–1992. *Icarus* **118**, 223–270 (1995).
17. Knight, M. M. & Schleicher, D. G. CN morphology studies of comet 103P/Hartley 2. *Astron. J.* **141**, 183 (2011).
18. Samarasinha, N. H. & Mueller, B. E. A. Relating changes in cometary rotation to activity: current status and applications to comet C/2012 S1 (ISON). *Astrophys. J.* **775**, L10 (2013).
19. Belton, M. J. Cometary evolution and cryovolcanism. *Can. J. Phys.* **90**, 807–815 (2012).
20. Mueller, B. E. A. & Samarasinha, N. H. Further investigation of changes in cometary rotation. In *Asteroids, Comets, Meteors 2017 meeting*, abstr. 1.e.32, <http://acm2017.uu/abstracts/Poster1.e.43.pdf> (2017).
21. Mottola, S. et al. The rotation state of 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko from approach observations with the OSIRIS cameras on Rosetta. *Astron. Astrophys.* **569**, L2 (2014).
22. Kronk, G. W. 41P/Tuttle–Giacobini–Kresák. Gary W. Kronk's *Cometography* <http://cometography.com/pcomets/041p.html> (2017).
23. Steckloff, J. K., Graves, K., Hirabayashi, T., Melosh, H. J. & Richardson, J. Rotationally induced surface slope instabilities and the activation of CO₂ activity on comet 103P/Hartley 2. *Icarus* **272**, 60–69 (2016).

Acknowledgements We thank M. Siegel and the Swift team for planning the observations of 41P. This research was supported by Swift Guest Investigator Program grant 1316125. We thank A. Thirouin, C. Trujillo and N. Moskovitz for observing and/or donating telescope time to acquire images used to determine rotation periods from morphology. We thank N. Eisner and D. Schleicher for sharing their preliminary results with us. We thank N. Samarasinha for calculating the ζ parameter for 41P and 67P. This work made use of the Discovery Channel Telescope at Lowell Observatory. Lowell is a private, non-profit institution dedicated to astrophysical research and public appreciation of astronomy and operates the DCT in partnership with Boston University, the University of Maryland, the University of Toledo, Northern Arizona University and Yale University. The Large Monolithic Imager was built by Lowell Observatory using funds provided by the National Science Foundation (AST-1005313). This work also made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System and of the JPL/Horizons ephemerides service, maintained by the JPL Solar System Dynamics group.

Author Contributions D.B. and T.L.F. designed and analysed the Swift observations. D.B., T.L.F. and M.S.P.K. planned and acquired the DCT observations. T.L.F. processed and analysed the DCT data. M.S.P.K. and D.B. modelled the change in period. All authors wrote the manuscript.

Author Information Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are welcome to comment on the online version of the paper. Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.B. (dennis@astro.umd.edu).

Reviewer Information *Nature* thanks B. E. A. Mueller and the other anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.