我们为什么要考斐波那契数列?

一道上机笔试题的解析,兼谈技术面试与编程基本功

凤凰木

May 29, 2020

大纲

题目

失败的案例

参考解法

第1问

第2问

第3问

第4问

思考题

题目

笔试规则

- 时间不限, 语言不限, 使用的工具不限;
- 可以 Google 可以百度, 但不可向他人求助;
- 每个问题的代码运行时间应该不超过1分钟;
- 从 6 个大问题 14 个小问题中任选 N 个小问题解决;
- 如果能成功解决了2个或2个以上小问题,则进入下一轮技术终面,否则面试流程结束。

题目

在数学上,著名的斐波那契数列以递归的方法来定义:

$$F_n = \begin{cases} 0 & n = 0 \\ 1 & n = 1 \\ F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} & n \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

- 1. 试算出 F_{100} 的准确整数值。
- 2. 请问斐波那契数列中,第一个有1000位数字的是第几项?
- 3. 试算出第 10 亿项除以 1000000007 的余数,即 F_{10^9} mod (10^9+7).
- 4. 试算出第 10^{1000} 项除以 1000000007 的余数,即 $F_{10^{1000}}$ mod $(10^9 + 7)$.

质疑

这道题目以后, 收到很多质疑:

- 题目太简单了, 一个循环就出来了。
- 题目太简单了, 一个递归就出来了。
- 题目太简单了, 一个矩阵快速幂就全秒了。
- 题目太难了, 搬转工程师不应该考算法。
- 题目太难了,不应该考数学。
- 题目太难了,不应该考递归。

如果考算法

经典小学奥数题:

某人上台阶,可以一步上一级,也可以上两级。请问上 100 级的台阶,一共有多少种走法?

如果考算法

经典递归算法题:

用一些 1×2 的砖,铺满 $2 \times n$ 的矩形区域,一共有多少种铺法?

失败的案例

直接递归, 卒

```
典型:

def fib(n):
    if n == 0 or n == 1:
        return n
    else:
    return fib(n-1) + fib(n-2)
```

直接递归, 卒

简洁型,一行:

def fib(n): return fib(n-1) + fib(n-2) if (n > 2) else n

直接递归, 卒

- 直接接递归,无论机器多猛,一般在 n = 45 左右就是极限了;
- n = 100 递归到太阳熄灭都不会有结果;
- 一般对算法的复杂度没有概念, 仅仅会用简单的递归函数;
- 我们是重度使用 Scala 函数式编程的团队,最怕的就是这种写法;
- 把第一个小问题设计为 n = 100, 就是为了暴露这个问题。

```
Java:
public class Fib {
    public static long fib(int n) {
         long a = 0L, b = 1L, c = 1L;
for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
             c = b;
             b = a + b;
             a = c:
         return c;
    public static void main(String args[]) {
         System.out.println(fib(100)); // 3736710778780434371
```

```
JavaScript:
function fib(n) {
    for (i = 0, x = 0, y = 1; i < n; i++) {
        var z = v
        y = x + y
        x = z
    return x
console.log(fib(100)) // 354224848179262000000
```

- 有人抱怨这里故意埋大整数溢出的坑考他们。
- 能够正确地处理比较明显的数值溢出, 是每一位程序员应该具备的基本素质。
- 就算考虑实际的项目, 很多时候仍然需要注意数值的溢出。
 - 曾经把某些业务数据的统计值类型错误地设计成 32 位整数, 导致溢出。
 - 广告业务, 一张月报表的广告请求数, 很容易超过 21 亿的。
 - 直接把所有统计值的类型设计成 64 位整数行不行?

- 有不少人选意识到了大整数溢出的问题, 会直接用数组之类的实现大数加法。
- 严格来说, 也可以归结为一种"错误"。
- 不熟悉自己使用的编程语言, 重复制造无意义的轮子。

- 第一个小问题设计成 n = 100 而不成 n = 50,
- 制造大于 64 位无符号整数的结果。
- 用 Python 做题的人可能在毫无意识的情况下避开了这个坑。

直接用通项公式计算, 卒

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right]$$

直接用通项公式计算, 卒

- 一般是算不出正确答案, 为什么?
- 犯这个错的人, 一般数学比较好, 但对工程意义上的"计算"没有概念的;
- 我们不考查数学能力,即使知道了这个公式也没什么作用;

两个数加来加去加错, 卒

```
def fib(n):
    if n = 0 or n = 1:
        return n
    else:
        (a, b) = (1, 1)
        for i in range(n):
            b = a + b
            a = b
        return b
fib(10) # 1024 WTF!!!!
```

两个数加来加去加错, 卒

- 这类错误难以一一列举;
- 基本属于久没写代码,或者不会写代码;
- 一想好简单, 一写就错;
- 一错就说题目难。

其他错误

- 一上来就用动态规划 (DP), 但是实现错误的
 - 实际上这个问题不属于典型的动态规划问题,
 - 最多只能算是动态规划的极端版,
 - 一般刷过算法题,
 - 但对算法理解过浅,
 - 不能处理实际工程项目里更加普遍更加复杂的算法问题。
- 一上来就祭出矩阵快速幂, 因姿势不对而失败。这种一般是
 - 理论知识较好,
 - 但工程能力稍欠,
 - 实现已有算法能力不够强

参考解法

需要解决的问题:

- 计算逻辑;
 - 要求用笔死算,90%以上没问题;
 - 要求写代码算,90%以上凉凉!
- 大数运算。
 - Scala 有 BigInt,
 - Java 有 BigInteger,
 - JavaScript 有 BigInt,
 - Python 默认的 Int 就是,
 - Haksell 有 Integer

```
Scala:
object FibLoop extends App {
  def fib(n: Int) = {
    var(a, b, c) = (BigInt(0), BigInt(1), BigInt(1))
    var i = 1
    while (i <= n) {
      c = b
      b = a+b
      a = c
      i += 1
    а
  println(fib(100)) // 354224848179261915075
```

Java:

```
import java.math.BigInteger;
      public class FibJava {
           public static BigInteger fib(int n) {
               BigInteger a = BigInteger.valueOf(OL);
BigInteger b = BigInteger.valueOf(1L);
 8
9
               BigInteger c = BigInteger.valueOf(1L);
                for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
                    b = a.add(b);
                    a = c;
14
               return a:
           public static void main(String args[]) {
                System.out.println(fib(100)); // 354224848179261915075
```

```
Python:
def fib(n):
    a, b = 0, 1
    i = 1
    while (i <= n):
        a, b = b, a + b
        i = i + 1
    return a
print(fib(100)) # 354224848179261915075
```

```
JavaScript:
function fib(n) {
    for (i = 0, x = 0n, y = 1n; i < n; i++) {
        y = x + y
        x = v - x
    return x
console.log(fib(100)) // 354224848179261915075n
```

Haskell:

1 -- 本人不会

递归: 函数式的解

Scala:

递归:函数式的解

```
Java:
     import java.math.BigInteger;
\frac{1}{2}
     public class FibJava {
         private static BigInteger _fib(BigInteger x, BigInteger y, int i) {
             return (i = 0)? x : _fib(y, x.add(y), i - 1);
6
7
8
9
         public static BigInteger fib(int n) {
             return _fib(BigInteger.valueOf(0L), BigInteger.valueOf(1L), n);
         public static void main(String args[]) {
             System.out.println(fib(100)); // 354224848179261915075
```

递归: 函数式的解

```
Python:

def fib(n):
    def f(x, y, i): return x if not i else f(y, x + y, i-1)
    return f(0, 1, n)

print(fib(100)) # 354224848179261915075
```

递归:函数式的解

```
JavaScript
'use strict':
function fib(n) {
    let f = (x, y, i) \Rightarrow (i == 0) ? x : f(y, x+y, i-1)
    return f(0n, 1n, n)
console.log(fib(100)) // 354224848179261915075n
```

递归:函数式的解

Haskell:

```
fib n = fib' 0 1 n where
fib' x y 0 = x
fib' x y i = fib' y (x + y) (i-1)

main = do print $ fib 100 -- 354224848179261915075
```

其他解法

Haskell 著名的斐波那契数列生成式:

```
fibs = 1 : 1 : (zipWith (+) fibs (tail fibs))
```

```
~ $ ghci
      GHCi. version 8.6.5: http://www.haskell.org/ghc/
                                                        :? for help
      h> fibs = 1 : 1 : (zipWith (+) fibs (tail fibs))
      λ> take 100 $ fibs
          [1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987, 1597,
           2584, 4181, 6765, 10946, 17711, 28657, 46368, 75025, 121393, 196418,
           317811, 514229, 832040, 1346269, 2178309, 3524578, 5702887, 9227465,
           14930352. 24157817. 39088169. 63245986. 102334155. 165580141. 267914296.
 9
           433494437, 701408733, 1134903170, 1836311903, 2971215073, 4807526976,
           7778742049, 12586269025, 20365011074, 32951280099, 53316291173,
           86267571272, 139583862445, 225851433717, 365435296162, 591286729879,
           956722026041, 1548008755920, 2504730781961, 4052739537881, 6557470319842,
           10610209857723, 17167680177565, 27777890035288, 44945570212853,
14
           72723460248141. 117669030460994. 190392490709135. 308061521170129.
           498454011879264, 806515533049393, 1304969544928657, 2111485077978050,
           3416454622906707. 5527939700884757. 8944394323791464. 14472334024676221.
           23416728348467685, 37889062373143906, 61305790721611591,
18
           99194853094755497. 160500643816367088. 259695496911122585.
           420196140727489673, 679891637638612258, 1100087778366101931,
20
           1779979416004714189. 2880067194370816120. 4660046610375530309.
           7540113804746346429. 12200160415121876738. 19740274219868223167.
           31940434634990099905. 51680708854858323072. 83621143489848422977.
           135301852344706746049. 218922995834555169026. 354224848179261915075
24
      No head $ drop 99 fibs
```

其他解法

```
λ> print $ take 140 $ zip [1..] fibs
[(1,1),(2,1),(3,2),(4,3),(5,5),(6,8),(7,13),(8,21),(9,34),(10,55),(11,89),(12,144),
(13,233),(14,377),(15,610),(16,987),(17,1597),(18,2584),(19,4181),(20,6765),(21,10946),
(22,17711),(23,28657),(24,46368),(25,75025),(26,121393),(27,196418),(28,317811),(29,514229),
(30,832040),(31,1346269),(32,2178309),(33,3524578),(34,5702887),(35,9227465),(36,14930352),
(37,24157817),(38,39088169),(39,63245986),(40,102334155),(41,165580141),(42,267914296),(43,433494437),
(44,701408733).(45,1134903170).(46,1836311903).(47,2971215073).(48,4807526976).(49,7778742049).
(50,12586269025), (51,20365011074), (52,32951280099), (53,53316291173), (54,86267571272), (55,139583862445),
(56,225851433717),(57,365435296162),(58,591286729879),(59,956722026041),(60,1548008755920),
(61,2504730781961),(62,4052739537881),(63,6557470319842),(64,10610209857723),(65,17167680177565),
(66,27777890035288),(67,44945570212853),(68,72723460248141),(69,117669030460994),(70,190392490709135),
(71,308061521170129),(72,498454011879264),(73,806515533049393),(74,1304969544928657),(75,2111485077978050),
(76,3416454622906707),(77,5527939700884757),(78,8944394323791464),(79,14472334024676221),(80,23416728348467685),
(81,37889062373143906),(82,61305790721611591),(83,99194853094755497),(84,160500643816367088),
(85,259695496911122585),(86,420196140727489673),(87,679891637638612258),(88,1100087778366101931),
(89,1779979416004714189),(90,2880067194370816120),(91,4660046610375530309),(92,7540113804746346429),
(93,12200160415121876738),(94,19740274219868223167),(95,31940434634990099905),(96,51680708854858323072),
(97,83621143489848422977),(98,135301852344706746049),(99,218922995834555169026),(100,354224848179261915075),
.(101.573147844013817084101).(102.927372692193078999176).(103.1500520536206896083277).(104.2427893228399975082453).
(105, 9928413764606871165730), (106, 6356306993006846248183), (107, 10284720757613717413913), (108, 16641027750620563662096),
 (109,26925748508234281076009),(110,43566776258854844738105),(111,70492524767089125814114),(112,114059301025943970552219),
(113,184551825793033096366333),(114,298611126818977066918552),(115,483162952612010163284885),(116,781774079430987230203437),
 (117,1264937032042997393488322),(118,2046711111473984623691759),(119,3311648143516982017180081),
(120,5358359254990966640871840),(121,8670007398507948658051921),(122,14028366653498915298923761),
 (123,22698374052006863956975682),(124,36726740705505779255899443),(125,59425114757512643212875125),
 (126,96151855463018422468774568),(127,155576970220531065681649693),(128,251728825683549488150424261),
(129,407305795904080553832073954),(130,659034621587630041982498215),(131,1066340417491710595814572169),
(132,1725375039079340637797070384),(133,2791715456571051233611642553),(134,4517090495650391871408712937),
(135,7308805952221443105020355490),(136,11825896447871834976429068427),(137,19134702400093278081449423917),
(138, 30960598847965113057878492344). (139, 50095301248058391139327916261). (140, 81055900096023504197206408605)]
```

其他解法

Scala, 忠诚的 Haskell 追随者:

```
1 val fibs: LazyList[BigInt] = BigInt(1) #:: BigInt(1) #:: fibs.zip(fibs.tail).map(x ⇒ x._1 + x._2)

1 ~ amm
2 Loading...
3 Welcome to the Ammonite Repl 2.0.4 (Scala 2.13.1 Java 1.8.0_242)
4 û val fibs: LazyList[BigInt] = BigInt(1) #:: BigInt(1) #:: fibs.zip(fibs.tail).map(x ⇒ x._1 + x._2)
6 û fibs(99)
7 res1: BigInt = 354224848179261915075
8
9 û fibs.take(10)
10 res2: LazyList[BigInt] = LazyList(1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55)
```

其他解法

```
fibs = 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8...

(tail \ fibs) = 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13...

fibs' = 1+1, 1+2, 2+3, 3+5, 5+8...
```

第2问, 易如反掌

第3问, 快速矩阵幂?

快速矩阵幂? 杀鸡用牛刀。 暴力尾递归, 你值得拥有: def fib(n: Int) = { Mscala annotation tailrec def f(x: Int, y: Int, i: Int): Int = if (i = 0) x else f(y, (x + y) % 1000000007, i - 1)f(0, 1, n) Scala >> val t = System.currentTimeMillis : fib(1000000000) : println(s"time escape: \${System.currentTimeMillis-t}ms") time escape: t: Long = 1589459096621L res10.1: Int = 21

不到3秒!

第3问, C++

C++ 暴力循环:

```
int fib(int n) {
    int a = 0, b = 1, c = 0;
    for (int i = 0 ; i < n ; i++) {
        c = b;
b = (a + b) % 1000000007;
        a = c;
    return a:
int main() {
    std::cout << fib(1000000000) << "\n";
    return 0;
~ $ g++ -02 fibonacci.cc -o fibonacci-cpp
~ $ time ./fibonacci-cpp
./fibonacci-cpp 2.47s user 0.00s system 99% cpu 2.473 total
```

2.47 秒!

第3问, Rust

```
Rust 尾递归:
fn main() {
    fn fib_m(n: i32) -> i32 {
        fn _fib(x: i32, y: i32, i: i32, n: i32) -> i32 {
            if i = n \{ x \} else \{ fib(y, (x+y) \% 1000000007, i+1, n) \}
        _fib(0, 1, 0, n)
    println!("fibM(10^9) = {}". fib_m(1_000_000_000)):
~ $ time ./fibonacci_rs
fibM(10^9) = 21
./fibonacci_rs 2.53s user 0.00s system 99% cpu 2.500 total
250秒!
```

第3问, JavaScript

```
JavaScript 循环:
function fibM(n) {
    for (i = 0, x = 0, y = 1; i < n; i++)
        var z = y
       y = (x + y) \% 10000000007
    return x
console.log(fibM(1000000000))
~ $ time node fibonacci-loop.js
node fibonacci-loop.js 4.08s user 0.01s system 99% cpu 4.088 total
41秒!
```

40

第3问, JavaScript

```
JavaScript 尾递归:
'use strict':
function fibM(n) {
    let f = (x, y, i) \Rightarrow (i == 0) ? x : f(y, (x+y) / 1000000007, i-1)
    return f(0, 1, n)
console.log(fibM(1000000000)) // RangeError: Maximum call stack size exceeded
栈溢出!
```

第3问, Python

```
Python 循环:
def fib(n):
    a, b = 0, 1
   i = 1
    while (i <= n):
        a, b = b, (a + b) \% 10000000007
        i = i + 1
    return a
print(fib(1000000000))
```

第3问, Python

Python 循环测试

```
~ $ time python2.7 fibonacci-loop.py
21
python2.7 fibonacci-loop.py 57.04s user 0.01s system 99% cpu 57.103 total
~ $ time python fibonacci-loop.py
python3.7 fibonacci-loop.py 108.94s user 0.02s system 99% cpu 1:49.00 total
~ $ time python3.8 fibonacci-loop.py
python3.8 fibonacci-loop.py 112.31s user 0.01s system 99% cpu 1:52.33 total
~ $ time python3.9 fibonacci-loop.py
python3.9 fibonacci-loop.py 120.74s user 0.01s system 99% cpu 2:00.81 total
~ $ time pypy fibonacci-loop.py
pypy fibonacci-loop.py 3.11s user 0.01s system 99% cpu 3.134 total
~ $ time pypy3 fibonacci-loop.py
21
pypy3 fibonacci-loop.py 3.13s user 0.01s system 99% cpu 3.150 total
```

发生了什么?

第3问, Python

```
Python 尾递归:

def fib(n):
    def f(x, y, i): return x if not i else f(y, (x + y) % 1000000007, i-1)
    return f(0, 1, n)

print(fib(1000000000)) # RecursionError: maximum recursion depth exceeded

栈溢出!
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} & a_{11}b_{12} + a_{12}b_{22} \\ a_{21}b_{11} + a_{21}b_{22} & a_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}b_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} \\ b_{21} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} \\ a_{21}b_{11} + a_{21}b_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} \\ F_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 \times \begin{bmatrix} F_{n-1} \\ F_{n-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^3 \times \begin{bmatrix} F_{n-2} \\ F_{n-3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n \times \begin{bmatrix} F_2 \\ F_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{n-1} & F_n \\ F_n & F_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n = A^n$$

```
val 0 = 1000000007L
\frac{2}{3}
     final case class Matrix(a11: Long, a12: Long, a21: Long, a22: Long) {
5
6
7
8
9
         def *(x: Matrix, m: Long = Q): Matrix =
           Matrix(a11 = (a11 * x.a11 + a12 * x.a21) % m,
                   a12 = (a11 * x.a12 + a12 * x.a22) % m
                   a21 = (a21 * x.a11 * a22 * x.a21) \% m
                   a22 = (a21 * x.a12 + a22 * x.a22) \% m
         def ^(p: Int): Matrix = {
           require(p > 0, "parameter `p` must be greater than 0")
            Iterator.continually(this).take(p).reduceLeft(_ * _)
```

```
val 0 = 1000000007L
\frac{2}{3}
     final case class Matrix(a11: Long, a12: Long, a21: Long, a22: Long) {
5
6
7
8
9
         def *(x: Matrix, m: Long = Q): Matrix =
           Matrix(a11 = (a11 * x.a11 + a12 * x.a21) % m,
                   a12 = (a11 * x.a12 + a12 * x.a22) % m
                   a21 = (a21 * x.a11 * a22 * x.a21) \% m
                   a22 = (a21 * x.a12 + a22 * x.a22) \% m
         def ^(p: Int): Matrix = {
           require(p > 0, "parameter `p` must be greater than 0")
            Iterator.continually(this).take(p).reduceLeft(_ * _)
```

```
val 0 = 1000000007L
      final case class Matrix(a11: Long, a12: Long, a21: Long, a22: Long) {
          def fastPow(n: Int): Matrix = {
            Ascala.annotation.tailrec
            def f(z: Matrix, x: Matrix, ys: List[Char]): Matrix =
7
8
9
              vs match
                 case '0' :: xs \Rightarrow f(z * z, x, xs)
                 case '1' :: xs \Rightarrow f(z * z, x * z, xs)
                 case _
            val ZERO = Matrix(1, 0, 0, 1)
            f(A, ZERO, n.toBinaryString.reverse.toList)
          def ^(p: Int): Matrix = fastPow(p)
      def fib(n: Int) = (A^(n)).a12
```

```
Scala >> def fib(n: Int) = A.fastPow(n).a12
defined function fib

Scala >> val t = System.currentTimeMillis; fib(1000000000); println(s"time escape: ${System.currentTimeMillis-t}ms")
time escape: ims
t: long = 1589518862649L
res11_1: Long = 21L
Scala >>
Scala >>
```

不到 1ms!

```
object CatsMonoidFib extends App {
  import cats.
  val 0 = 10000000007
  final case class Matrix(all: Long. all: Long. a21: Long. a22: Long.
  class MatrixMultiplicationMonoid(m: Int) extends Monoid[Matrix] {
    override def empty: Matrix = Matrix(1, 0, 0, 1)
    override def combine(x: Matrix, v: Matrix): Matrix =
      Matrix(a11 = (x.a11 * y.a11 + x.a12 * y.a21) % m.
             a12 = (x.a11 * y.a12 + x.a12 * y.a22) % m,
             a21 = (x.a21 * y.a11 + x.a22 * y.a21) % m
             a22 = (x_a21 * y_a12 + x_a22 * y_a22) \% m
  implicit val monoid: MatrixMultiplicationMonoid = new MatrixMultiplicationMonoid(0)
  val A: Matrix = Matrix(0, 1, 1, 1)
  def fib(n: Int): Long = monoid.combineN(A, n).a12
  val logger: Logger = Logger(getClass)
  logger.info("fib(10^9) = {}". fib(1000000000))
```

超级快速矩阵幂

$$A^{p+q} = A^p \cdot A^q$$

$$A^{p \cdot q} = (A^p)^q$$

$$A^{p^q} = A^{p^{q-1} \cdot p}$$

$$= (A^{p^{q-1}})^p$$

$$= ((A^{p^{q-2}})^p)^p$$

$$= (((A^{p^{q-3}})^p)^p)^p$$

$$= (((A^p)^p \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot)^p)^p$$

超级快速矩阵幂

超级快速矩阵幂

```
1  def fibPQ(p: Int, q: Int) = (A^^(p, q)).a12
2
3  val t = System.currentTimeMillis
4
5  (1 to 1000).foreach { _ ⇒
6  val _ = fibPQ(10, 1000)
7  }
8
9  println(s"""F(10^1000) = fibPQ(10, 1000) = ${fibPQ(10, 1000)}""")
10  println(s"""F(10^0) = fibPQ(10, 9) = ${fibPQ(10, 9)}""")
11  println(s"time escape: ${System.currentTimeMillis - t}ms")
1  F(10^1000) = fibPQ(10, 1000) = 552179166
2  F(10^0) = fibPQ(10, 9) = 21
3  time escape: $27ms
```

思考题

快速幂能做到多快

问题 1: Euler Project 122(https://projecteuler.net/problem=122)

The most naive way of computing n^{15} requires fourteen multiplications:

But using a "binary" method you can compute it in 6 multiplications:

$$n \cdot n = n^{2}$$
 $n^{2} \cdot n^{2} = n^{4}$
 $n^{4} \cdot n^{4} = n^{8}$
 $n^{8} \cdot n^{4} = n^{12}$
 $n^{12} \cdot n^{2} = n^{14}$
 $n^{14} \cdot n = n^{15}$

快速幂能做到多快

问题 1: Euler Project 122(https://projecteuler.net/problem=122)

However it is yet possible to compute it in only 5 multiplications:

$$n \cdot n = n^{2}$$

$$n^{2} \cdot n = n^{3}$$

$$n^{3} \cdot n^{3} = n^{6}$$

$$n^{6} \cdot n^{6} = n^{12}$$

$$n^{12} \cdot n^{3} = n^{15}$$

We shall define m(k) to be the minimum number of multiplications to compute n^k ; for example m(15) = 5.

For $1 \le k \le 200$, find $\sum m(k)$.

不重复尾数的个数

问题 2:

我们用 G_n 来表示那菲波契数列每一项截取最后n位数字组成的新数列。比如,

$$G_1 = 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 3, 1, 4, 5, 9, 4, 3, 7, 0, 7, 7, 4, 1, 5, 6, 1, 7, 8 \dots$$

$$G_2 = 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 44, 33, 77, 10, 87, 97, 84...$$

$$G_3 = 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987...$$

已知 G_1 中一共有 10 个不重复的元素, G_2 中一共有 100 个不重复的元素,而 G_3 中一共只有 750 个不重复的元素。

记 G_n 中所有不重复元素的个数为 g(n). 试计算 g(4), g(5), g(6) 和 g(7).

完。

谢谢大家!