# NED University of Engineering & Technology
## Department of Computer and Information Systems Engineering
CS-406 Computer Engineering Project

**Grading of FYDP Proposal (7th/Fall Semester) (Weightage - 6%)**

**Project ID**: _____

**Project Title**: _____

| S. No | Student Name | Seat No. | I Problem Identification and Objectives (3) | II Relevance to SDGs (3) | III Proposed Methodology (3) | IV Work Plan (3) | Weighted Average Score (12) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | PLO-2 (%) | PLO-7 (%) | PLO-3 (%) | PLO-11 (%) | |
| 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |

Use Rubric FYDP-OBE-01 for each student.

Average percentage score from the rubrics filled by supervisor and examiner shall be placed in the above table.

*Weighted Average Score = [PLO-2 (%)\*3+ PLO-7 (%)\*3+ PLO-3 (%)\*3+ PLO-11 (%)\*3]/100*

_____

**Head of FYDP Steering Committee**

# NED University of Engineering & Technology
## Department of Computer and Information Systems Engineering
CS-406 Computer Engineering Project

**Rubric for Project Proposal (7th/Fall Semester) (Weightage - 6%)**

Project ID: _____          Student Name:_____          Seat No.:_____

| Criterion | Levels of Attainment (%) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **Unacceptable (0)** | **Just acceptable (25)** | **Average (50)** | **Good (75)** | **Excellent (100)** |
| **I Problem Identification and Objectives** | The problem statement is not given and/or objective is not stated at all. | The problem statement and objectives are not suitably described. | The problem statement and objectives are just described. | The problem statement and objectives are clearly described. | The problem statement and objectives are well-structured and clearly described. |
| **II Relevance to SDGs** | No relevance to SDGs established. | Some relevance to SDGs without any clear link. | Reasonable consideration of SDGs and their linkage with the proposed work. | Clear relevance between the project work and SDGs established. | Relevance to SDGs is clearly established with appropriate consideration for relevant SDGs. |
| **III Proposed Methodology** | Not defined in the proposal. | Proposed methodology is marginally practical and relevant to the project objectives. | Proposed methodology is reasonably practical and relevant to the project objectives. | Proposed methodology is technically practical and relevant to the project objectives. | Proposed methodology is well-structured and highly relevant to the project objectives. |
| **IV Work Plan** | Does not have a timeline for different phases of the project. | Has developed a timeline but cannot clearly describe the different activities of the work and corresponding timelines. | Has developed a timeline and can describe the different activities of the work and corresponding timelines with minimal prompting from peers. | Has developed a timeline describing when most parts of the work will be done. Student can describe the different activities of the work and corresponding timelines. | Developed a reasonable, complete timeline describing when different parts of the work will be done. Student can clearly and confidently describe the timeline with milestones. |

_____
**Evaluator's Signature**