

Sri Sivasubramaniya Nadar College of Engineering, Kalavakkam – 603 110

(An Autonomous Institution, Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Continuous Assessment Test – I

Answer Key

Degree & Branch	B.E & CSE				Semester	V
Subject Code & Name	UCS1524 – Logic Programming				Regulation:	2018
Academic Year	2022-2023 (Odd)	Batch	2020-2024	Date	22-9-22	FN
Time: 8.15 to 9.45	Answer All Questions				Maximum: 50 Marks	

Part – A (6×2 = 12 Marks)

Part – A (6x2 = 12 Marks)						
K Level	Questions				COs	PIs
KL2	1. Compare propositional logic and predicate logic.				CO1	1.1.1
	S.No	propositional logic	and predicate logic			
	1	declarative	Non declarative			
	2	Context independent	Context sensitive			
	3	Less expressive	More expressive			
4	Supports conjunction, disjunction, negation, implies and equivalence		In addition, existential and universal quantifiers are used			
KL1	2. Find the semantics of the formula: $\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)$ Satisfiable				CO1	1.1.1
KL3	3. Identify whether the following two formulas are closed. Justify your answer. i. $\forall x (\exists y r(x, f(y)) \rightarrow r(x, y))$ ii. $\forall z \exists x \exists y (q(z, u, g(u, y)) \vee r(u, g(z, u)))$ Both are not closed i. y is free, ii. U is free				CO1	1.3.1
KL3	4. Let A is “there is rain” and B is “climate is cool”. Use propositional logics to convert the statement: “If there is rain, the climate is cool and if the climate is cool then there is a rain” into CNF form. $(\neg A \vee B) \wedge (\neg B \vee A)$				CO1	1.1.1 2.1.3 13.1.2
KL3	5. Represent the statements: “The cake is either fresh or not tasty” and “The cake is neither fresh nor tasty” using horn formula. $(B \rightarrow A) \wedge (A \wedge B \rightarrow 0)$				CO1	1.3.1 13.1.2
KL2	6. What is unit resolution? Illustrate with an example. One of the parent clauses must be unit clause $F = \{\{p, q\}, \{\neg p, r\}, \{\neg q, r\}, \{\neg r\}\}$ $\neg p, r \quad \neg r \rightarrow \neg p$ $\neg q, r \quad \neg r \rightarrow \neg q$ $p, q \quad \neg p \rightarrow q$ $\neg q \quad q \rightarrow \text{null}$				CO1	1.3.1

Part – B (3×6 = 18 Marks)

KL2	7. Show that the following two formulas are equivalent. i. $((A \vee (B \vee C)) \wedge (C \vee \neg A))$ ii. $((B \wedge \neg A) \vee C)$	CO1	2.1.3
-----	---	-----	-------

	$ \begin{aligned} & ((A \vee (B \vee C)) \wedge (C \vee \neg A)) \\ & \equiv (((A \vee B) \vee C) \wedge (C \vee \neg A)) && \text{(Associativity and ST)} \\ & \equiv ((C \vee (A \vee B)) \wedge (C \vee \neg A)) && \text{(Commutativity and ST)} \\ & \equiv (C \vee ((A \vee B) \wedge \neg A)) && \text{(Distributivity)} \\ & \equiv (C \vee (\neg A \wedge (A \vee B))) && \text{(Commutativity und ST)} \\ & \equiv (C \vee ((\neg A \wedge A) \vee (\neg A \wedge B))) && \text{(Distributivity and ST)} \\ & \equiv (C \vee (\neg A \wedge B)) && \text{(Unsatisfiability Law and ST)} \\ & \equiv (C \vee (B \wedge \neg A)) && \text{(Commutativity and ST)} \\ & \equiv ((B \wedge \neg A) \vee C) && \text{(Commutativity)} \end{aligned} $				
KL2	<p>8. Show the CNF forms of the following propositional logic formulas</p> <p>i. $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow R$</p> <p>ii. $(R \rightarrow S) \rightarrow \neg (S \rightarrow Q)$</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td> $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow R$ $\neg (\neg P \vee Q) \vee R$ $(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee R$ $(P \vee R) \wedge (\neg Q \vee R)$ </td> <td> $(R \rightarrow S) \rightarrow \neg (S \rightarrow Q)$ $\neg (\neg R \vee S) \vee \neg (\neg S \vee Q)$ $(R \wedge \neg S) \vee (S \wedge \neg Q)$ $(R \vee S) \wedge (R \vee \neg Q) \wedge (\neg S \vee S) \wedge (\neg S \vee \neg Q)$ $(R \vee S) \wedge (R \vee \neg Q) \wedge (\neg S \vee \neg Q)$ </td> </tr> </table>	$(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow R$ $\neg (\neg P \vee Q) \vee R$ $(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee R$ $(P \vee R) \wedge (\neg Q \vee R)$	$(R \rightarrow S) \rightarrow \neg (S \rightarrow Q)$ $\neg (\neg R \vee S) \vee \neg (\neg S \vee Q)$ $(R \wedge \neg S) \vee (S \wedge \neg Q)$ $(R \vee S) \wedge (R \vee \neg Q) \wedge (\neg S \vee S) \wedge (\neg S \vee \neg Q)$ $(R \vee S) \wedge (R \vee \neg Q) \wedge (\neg S \vee \neg Q)$	CO1	1.1.1 2.1.3
$(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow R$ $\neg (\neg P \vee Q) \vee R$ $(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee R$ $(P \vee R) \wedge (\neg Q \vee R)$	$(R \rightarrow S) \rightarrow \neg (S \rightarrow Q)$ $\neg (\neg R \vee S) \vee \neg (\neg S \vee Q)$ $(R \wedge \neg S) \vee (S \wedge \neg Q)$ $(R \vee S) \wedge (R \vee \neg Q) \wedge (\neg S \vee S) \wedge (\neg S \vee \neg Q)$ $(R \vee S) \wedge (R \vee \neg Q) \wedge (\neg S \vee \neg Q)$				
KL2	<p>9. Explain the steps to convert a FOL formula into clausal form with an example.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Eliminate implies and equivalence 2. Eliminate negations 3. Standardize variables (rectification) 4. Skolemization 5. Convert formula to prenex form 6. Drop universal quantifiers 7. Apply distributive law to convert into CNF form 	CO1	2.1.2		

Part – C (2×10 = 20 Marks)

<KL3>	<p>10. Consider the following FOL formulas.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> $\exists x \text{ Kitten}(x) \wedge \text{Has}(\text{Anu}, x)$ $\forall x (\exists y \text{ Kitten}(y) \wedge \text{Has}(x, y)) \rightarrow \text{Animal_Lover}(x)$ $\forall x \text{ Animal_Lover}(x) \rightarrow \forall y \text{ Animal}(y) \rightarrow \neg \text{Hurts}(x, y)$ $\text{Hurts}(\text{Anu}, \text{Ram}) \vee \text{Hurts}(\text{Sanjay}, \text{Ram})$ $\text{Puppy}(\text{Ram})$ $\forall x \text{ Puppy}(x) \rightarrow \text{Animal}(x)$ <p>Apply resolution and show the inference of $\text{Hurts}(\text{Sanjay}, \text{Ram})$ follows a N-resolution.</p> <p>Clausal forms</p> <p>$\text{Kitten}(x)$ $\text{Has}(\text{Anu}, x)$ $\neg \text{Kitten}(y) \vee \neg \text{Has}(x, y) \vee \text{Animal_Lover}(x)$ $\neg \text{Animal_Lover}(x) \vee \neg \text{Animal}(y) \vee \neg \text{Hurts}(x, y)$ $\text{Hurts}(\text{Anu}, \text{Ram}) \vee \text{Hurts}(\text{Sanjay}, \text{Ram})$ $\text{Puppy}(\text{Ram})$ $\neg \text{Puppy}(x) \vee \text{Animal}(x)$</p> <p>Refutation</p> <p>$\neg \text{Hurts}(\text{Sanjay}, \text{Ram}) \quad \text{Hurts}(\text{Anu}, \text{Ram}) \vee \text{Hurts}(\text{Sanjay}, \text{Ram})$ $\text{Hurts}(\text{Anu}, \text{Ram}) \quad \neg \text{Animal_Lover}(x) \vee \neg \text{Animal}(y) \vee \neg \text{Hurts}(x, y) \quad x/\text{Anu}, y/\text{Ram}$ $\neg \text{Animal_Lover}(\text{Anu}) \vee \neg \text{Animal}(\text{Ram}) \quad \neg \text{Kitten}(y) \vee \neg \text{Has}(x, y) \vee \text{Animal_Lover}(x)$ $x/\text{Anu}, y/\text{Ram}$ $\neg \text{Kitten}(\text{Ram}) \vee \neg \text{Has}(\text{Anu}, \text{Ram}) \vee \neg \text{Animal}(\text{Ram}) \quad \text{Kitten}(x) \quad x/\text{Ram}$ $\neg \text{Has}(\text{Anu}, \text{Ram}) \vee \neg \text{Animal}(\text{Ram}) \quad \text{Has}(\text{Anu}, x) \quad x/\text{Ram}$ $\neg \text{Animal}(\text{Ram}) \quad \neg \text{Puppy}(x) \vee \text{Animal}(x) \quad x/\text{Ram}$ $\neg \text{Puppy}(\text{Ram}) \quad \text{Puppy}(\text{Ram})$ null</p>	CO1	1.4.1 2.1.2
-------	---	-----	----------------

	In each step of refutation, one of the parent clauses is negative. Thus, it holds N-resolution.		
(OR)			
<KL3>	<p>11. Consider the following FOL formulas. The first four are premises and the last formula is a conclusion.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> $\forall x (\text{HOUND}(x) \rightarrow \text{HOWL}(x))$ $\forall x \forall y (\text{HAVE}(x, y) \wedge \text{CAT}(y) \rightarrow \neg \exists z (\text{HAVE}(x, z) \wedge \text{MOUSE}(z)))$ $\forall x (\text{LS}(x) \rightarrow \neg \exists y (\text{HAVE}(x, y) \wedge \text{HOWL}(y)))$ $\exists x (\text{HAVE}(\text{John}, x) \wedge (\text{CAT}(x) \vee \text{HOUND}(x)))$ $\text{LS}(\text{John}) \rightarrow \neg \exists z (\text{HAVE}(\text{John}, z) \wedge \text{MOUSE}(z))$ <p>Apply resolution to show whether the conclusion is valid. What type of constraints you use in this resolution.</p> <p>Clausal Forms</p> <p>$\neg \text{HOUND}(x) \vee \text{HOWL}(x)$ $\neg \text{HAVE}(x, y) \vee \neg \text{CAT}(y) \vee \neg \text{HAVE}(x, z) \vee \neg \text{MOUSE}(z)$ $\neg \text{LS}(x) \vee \neg \text{HAVE}(x, y) \vee \neg \text{HOWL}(y)$ $\text{HAVE}(\text{John}, a)$ $\text{CAT}(a) \vee \text{HOUND}(a)$</p> <p>Negated conclusion</p> <p>$\text{LS}(\text{John})$ $\text{HAVE}(\text{John}, b)$ $\text{MOUSE}(b)$</p> <p>$\neg \text{HAVE}(x, y) \vee \neg \text{CAT}(y) \vee \neg \text{HAVE}(x, z) \vee \neg \text{MOUSE}(z)$ $\text{MOUSE}(b)$ z/b $\neg \text{HAVE}(x, y) \vee \neg \text{CAT}(y) \vee \neg \text{HAVE}(x, b)$ $\neg \text{HAVE}(x, y) \vee \neg \text{CAT}(y) \vee \neg \text{HAVE}(x, b)$ $\text{HAVE}(\text{John}, b)$ x/John $\neg \text{HAVE}(\text{John}, y) \vee \neg \text{CAT}(y)$ $\neg \text{HAVE}(\text{John}, y) \vee \neg \text{CAT}(y)$ $\text{CAT}(a) \vee \text{HOUND}(a)$ y/a $\neg \text{HAVE}(\text{John}, a) \vee \text{HOUND}(a)$ $\neg \text{HAVE}(\text{John}, a) \vee \text{HOUND}(a)$ $\neg \text{HOUND}(x) \vee \text{HOWL}(x)$ x/a $\neg \text{HAVE}(\text{John}, a) \vee \text{HOWL}(a)$ $\neg \text{HAVE}(\text{John}, a) \vee \text{HOWL}(a)$ $\text{HAVE}(\text{John}, a)$ $\text{HOWL}(a)$ $\text{HOWL}(a)$ $\neg \text{LS}(x) \vee \neg \text{HAVE}(x, y) \vee \neg \text{HOWL}(y)$ y/a $\neg \text{LS}(x) \vee \neg \text{HAVE}(x, a)$ $\neg \text{LS}(x) \vee \neg \text{HAVE}(x, a)$ $\text{HAVE}(\text{John}, b)$ x/John $\neg \text{LS}(\text{John})$ $\neg \text{LS}(\text{John})$ $\text{LS}(\text{John})$ null</p> <p>Linear Resolution is used in this</p>	CO1	1.4.1 2.1.2
<KL3>	<p>12. Consider the following premises.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Anyone who does not sing is not a singer. Anyone whom Anu likes is a singer. Any artist who does not like cinema does not sing. Any artist who does not enjoy does not like cinema. If Ram does not enjoy, then Anu does not like Ram <p>Construct FOL formulas and clausal forms for the above statements.</p> <p>FOL</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Anyone who does not sing is not a singer. $\forall x (\neg \text{SING}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{SINGER}(x))$ Anyone whom Anu likes is a singer. $\forall x (\text{LIKES}(\text{Anu}, x) \rightarrow \text{SINGER}(x))$ Any artist who does not like cinema does not sing. $\forall x (\text{ARTIST}(x) \wedge \neg \text{LIKE_CINEMA}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{SING}(x))$ Any artist who does not enjoy does not like cinema. $\forall x (\text{ARTIST}(x) \wedge \neg \text{ENJOY}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{LIKE_CINEMA}(x))$ If Ram does not enjoy, then Anu does not like Ram $\neg \text{ENJOY}(\text{Ram}) \rightarrow \neg \text{LIKES}(\text{Anu}, \text{Ram})$ 	CO1	2.1.3 2.4.1 13.1.2

	Clausal form sing(w) v ~ singer (w) ~likes(Anu, x) v singer(x) ~artist(y) v like_cinema(y) v ~sing(y) ~artist(z) v enjoy(z) v ~like_cinema (z) ~ enjoy(Ram) v ~like(Anu, Ram)																																																																								
(OR)																																																																									
<KL3>	13. Vidhya has two books ‘TOC’ and ‘AI’. She needs to write exam. The 4 facts are given below. a. Vidhya reads ‘TOC’ book b. Vidhya does not read ‘AI’ book c. If Vidhya reads ‘TOC’ book, she can write the exam d. If Vidhya reads ‘TOC’ book and she writes the exam, then she has also read the ‘AI’ book. i. Construct horn formulas in propositional logic for the above facts and check whether the facts are satisfiable or not (Show each of the iterations). (5) ii. Check for the satisfiability using truth table by considering all the four facts. (5) i. Let A=Vidhya reads TOC book, B=Vidhya reads AI book, C=she writes exam a. A b. ~B c. A->C d. A ^ C -> B Horn Formula 1. (1->A) (B->0)(A->C)(A^C ->B) A is marked 2. (1->A) (B->0)(A->C)(A^C ->B) C is marked 3. (1->A) (B->0)(A->C)(A^C ->B) B is marked 4. (1->A) (B->0)(A->C)(A^C ->B) 0 is marked, thus unsatisfiable ii. Truth Table	CO1	2.1.3 2.4.1 13.1.2																																																																						
	<table><tr><td>A</td><td>B</td><td>C</td><td>~B</td><td>A->C</td><td>A^C</td><td>A ^ C -> B</td><td>F</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr></table> Unsatisfiable		A	B	C	~B	A->C	A^C	A ^ C -> B	F	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
A	B	C	~B	A->C	A^C	A ^ C -> B	F																																																																		
0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0																																																																		
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0																																																																		
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0																																																																		
0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0																																																																		
1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0																																																																		
1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0																																																																		
1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0																																																																		
1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0																																																																		

Prepared By D. Thenmozhi	Reviewed By	Approved By
Course Coordinator	PAC Team	HOD