

# Summary of an experiment – The Genome Sequence and Evolution of Baculoviruses

Samuel Young

August 18, 2018

Before there were dinosaurs, there were a humongous insect's that when compared to the insect of today variety are on the similar scale to dinosaurs as birds. That were trying to find a way of knowing what viruses could have infected these insects and classifying a common ancestor from evolutionary equivalent. Here an impractical use of time and a time-machine. So instead we would like to try and find a possible work around. One possible way is to look at the viral genes and then compare them all at a relative frequency at that site at which it pops on a virus genome. Where the gene should be placed and compared that to the other four grouping of the this distinctive virus. In this study, they found that they had already had the thirty distinct genes and were just introducing more genomes into the tree. They already had the set of core genes to go about and compare to the other the newly acquired genomes in order for them to do analysis of their phylogenetic tree. For reason the scientist they tried to do a one-on-one comparison to the viral genes in which that made did not lead to a consistent look tree. They then made several different types of trees, some in which had went by different groupings for the graphing of the trees'.

In order for them to determine they had to have a base for the amount of changes that had occurred between the first graph and the final graphs. The scientist made the determination that the consensus was better than individual's data. The data made it seem that the individual's gene had their one evolutionary histories. In which would result a distinct possibility that all of the genes could be from different evolutions. Instead they used a majority consensus tree to determine the likelihood of these genes being in all four types of virus. In order for the data to graph into a tree the data must have a majority of the voting consensus ( 50 % ) with bootstrapping of higher measure of accuracy based on the predicted error of the thirty genes they were looking at. The next tree that they printed looked at was a parsimonious tree with the consensus of the 30 genes that they looked for the one with the fewest changes in the genomes from the grouping them together based sequences. All but one of the trees were rooted from the one with the farthest consensus point this tree has a parsimonious with the same common genes as discussed earlier. The next tree looked at a threshold based on the on how far apart each genomes set of thirty genes had between the distance from the other genomes. This tree was generated based solely on gene content

as it was related to phyogenetic analysis.