

# Special Topics in Computational Linguistics

## Romanian-to-Romani Translation

1st Semester of 2024-2025

Zibileanu Sabin   Grigore Dragoș - Gabriel   Vasilescu Costin - Tiberiu   Handac Alexandru - Radu

### Abstract

We present the steps and the tools necessary to build a machine translation deep learning model for Romanian to Romani. Even though it is a low - resource language, the Romani language is a very popular one around the world and this project represents a starting point for future research and improvements on building machine translation models with this language.

### How to use the project

1. in the jupyter notebooks available in the repository, all the important parts of the project can be found: data acquisition, tokenization, training, loading the data, etc.
2. knowing the fact that we are working with a low - resource language (Romani), we decided that it was best to upload the whole project for future research and why not, future usage.
3. the dataset is structured in two txt files: romani.txt and romanian.txt containing the acquired samples and also 2 tokenizer directories generated from creating a custom Romanian-to-Romani tokenizer with a base MarianMT Model and another directory containing an extended pre-trained tokenizer.
4. there are also 2 directories in the repository: "data" and "tokenizer", where you can find the code for obtaining the dataset and the tokenizer
5. if you want to reproduce the results, you can run the notebook in the main branch (MTPProject.ipynb).

#### 1. Data Acquisition

working with a low-resource language, we took into account the fact that we had to build a corpora from scratch almost.

however, we found a corpus online with around 7900 samples from Romanian to Romani **from HelsinkiNLP** (Christodoulopoulos and Steedman, 2014), which to our knowledge is in the Carpathian Romani dialect.

the available corpora was a great starting point, as it provided a considerable amount, but we wanted to add more data.

to add more data, we scraped samples from **Glosbe** as it provided Carpathian Romani samples translated into Romanian.

we chose the dialect Carpathian Romani as it is one that provides the most examples.

because Glosbe is a dictionary website, we had to search for keywords first in order to scrape the resulted data. Those keywords can be: conjunctions, verbs, adverbs, prepositions etc.

it is important to mention that some samples were added manually, because of the fact that certain keywords had multiple available phrases split into different pages and we could not load more pages with our scraper.

next on, we manually gathered a set of samples from different dictionaries, conversational guides, and a Romani course support. We added those samples manually, due to the fact that we mostly chose phrases and also the dialectal mix between the already existing samples in Carpathian Romani and these samples in Kalderash Romani.

a high mix of dialects between the samples could negatively affect the performance of the model.

#### 2. Tokenization

the Romanian-to-Romani tokenizer was created using SentencePiece, with a vocabulary size with respect to the size of the unique

074	tokens in the dataset, which was around	– how a Sequence2Sequence model works	119
075	10000.	– more about the metrics used to evaluate	120
076	after it was created, the tokenizer	a machine translation system	121
077	was loaded using MarianTokenizer ( <a href="#">Junczys-</a>	– how to build a corpora almost from	122
078	<a href="#">Dowmunt et al., 2018</a> )	scratch	123
079	the second tokenizer was an extended	– how to use large language models for	124
080	version of a pre-trained tokenizer from	machine translation tasks	125
081	HelsinkiNLP: roa - en.	– how can preprocessing tehcniques can	126
082		affect the performance of the model	127
083	3. <b>Evaluation</b>	– more about tokenization strategies	128
084	for a low resource language like Romani,	– different types of machine translation	129
085	human evaluation would be the best.		
086	in our project, however, we present the		
087	results obtained with the BLEU metric ( <a href="#">Pap-</a>		
088	<a href="#">ineni et al., 2002</a> ).		
089			
090	1 <b>Introduction</b>		
091	The Romani language, although a low - resource	2 <b>Approach</b>	130
092	one is, according to some estimates in the top 3%	This section covers our approach on this project	131
093	of the world's most spoken languages, containing	divided into detailed steps	132
094	multiple dialects: Carpathian, Kalderash, Balkan		
095	etc. Considering the fact that there are no available	1. Firstly we gathered the data: merged 2	133
096	Romanian - Romani machine translation models	datasets : one built from scratch using	134
097	(to our knowledge), we:	Glosbe, dictionaries, conversational guides	135
098		and a romani course and the other one <a href="#">from</a>	136
099	• tried building a machine translation model for	<a href="#">HelsinkiNLP</a> . Afterwards, the dataset was	137
100	Romanian to Romani	cleaned for duplicate samples.	138
101	• our approach involved using the MarianMT		
102	model ( <a href="#">Junczys-Dowmunt et al., 2018</a> ) and us-	2. Moving on, we felt that it was necessary to	139
103	ing 2 different variatons when it comes to the	try and use some data augmentation tech-	140
104	initialization of the model. Precisely, we used	niques, especially working with a low re-	141
105	a MarianMT model with our custom tokenizer	source language. The techniques that we used	142
106	and a pre-trained MarianMT model with the	were: <b>back translation</b> , where the Roma-	143
107	already existing tokenizer that we combined	nian sentence was translated into English and	144
108	with the custom one. We extended the already	then back to Romanian ; <b>sentence insertion</b>	145
109	existing pre-trained tokenizer with our custom	where we inserted a Romanian token and a	146
110	made Romanian to Romani tokenizer.	Romani token at the begining of a sentence,	147
111	• we chose this approach because the Mari-	"Po del chavo - " for Romani and "Începutul	148
112	anMT model supports multiple romance lan-	propoziției -" for Romanian. Finally, the last	149
113	guages and it is also a very well structured	technique was: <b>random char insertion</b> where	150
114	Sequence2Sequence model for machine trans-	a random character was introduced in a word	151
115	lation.	from the sentence, simulating a typo. The aug-	152
116	• to our knowledge there are no papers covering	mentation was made on 15% of the training	153
117	this task.	set.	154
118	• During this project we learned:		
	– more about augmenting techniques for	3. The training proces took around 1 to 2 hours	155
	datasets	depending on the model and was done in	156
	– how a tokenizer can be built	Google Colab with a T4 GPU.	157
		4. The deep learning tool used, was: MarianMT	158
		Transformer Model. For augmentation (ran-	159
		dom char) we used nlpaug, and Google -	160
		Translator for back translation	161
		5. The results can be seen in Table 1	162
		6. Afterwards, we decided to use a large lan-	163
		guage model to see what performance it can	164
		give.	165

Results				
Data	Model	BLEU	Epochs	Tokenizer
Augmented	Base	1.969900	10	Custom
Augmented	Pre-trained	<b>6.726000</b>	3	Extended
Non-augmented	Base	0.823531	10	Custom
Non-augmented	Pre-trained	2.415000	3	Extended

Table 1: BLEU scores for augmented and non-augmented data using different models

It can be seen that the best performance is obtained with the pretrained model and the augmented data. With that in mind, we decided to furtherly enhance our experiments and see if the results can be improved in different environments, those being: using the custom created tokenizer with the base model and with the removal of the diacritics for the Romani data and using the already existent tokenizer for the pre-trained model with no additional changes and the removal of the diacritics for the Romani data.

The new results can be seen in Table 2

Results				
Data	Model	BLEU	Epochs	Tokenizer
Augmented and preprocessed	Base	2.2694901	10	Custom
Augmented and preprocessed	Pre-trained	<b>16.8106000</b>	3	Non-modified

Table 2: BLEU scores for augmented and preprocessed data using different models

### 3 Limitations

The most notable limitation that can be seen is the quality of the results. This is caused by the low amount of data that was gathered. In order to build a high - standard machine translation model for Romanian to Romani, a large corpus is needed first (millions of sentences) that can be verified by a Romani speaker. It can be built from books, dictionaries, conversational guides and even songs why not. While working on this project, we found that there are not that many Romani books, that are translated in Romanian (excluding dictionaries).

### 4 Conclusions and Future Work

This work presented the steps and the tools necessary to build a machine translation model for a low resource language. We liked this project because it allowed us to build something from the ground up and learn more about machine translation in the process. We believe that this project will surely leave room for improvement for the future and is a starting point for this task.

#### 4.1 References

#### References

- Christos Christodoulopoulos and Mark Steedman. 2014. [A massively parallel corpus: the bible in 100 languages](#). *Language Resources and Evaluation*, 49:1–21.
- Marcin Junczys-Dowmunt, Roman Grundkiewicz, Tomasz Dwojak, Hieu Hoang, Kenneth Heafield, Tom Neckermann, Frank Seide, Ulrich Germann, Alham Aji, Nikolay Bogoychev, André Martins, and Alexandra Birch. 2018. [Marian: Fast neural machine translation in c++](#). pages 116–121.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. [Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics*, ACL '02, page 311–318, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.