# **Inserting Data**

We had two tables called temp and temp2 where we inserted 2 dataset into it. We then created another table where we aggregate all the relevant data from temp and temp2 and named it BIGTABLE. We then created a trigger where before inserting into BIGTABLE we create a unique artistID for each artist by checking if the artist already has an ID and if not finding the largest ID already made and adding 1. We then created tables for SongRecommendations, Favorites, Account, Song, and Artist. We inserted our data into Song and Artist from BIGTABLE. We then inserted our own names into Account, and wrote a procedure to generate 1000 favorite songs for one of our accounts. That leaves us with ~5000 items in Song, ~1200 items in Artist, and ~1000 items in Favorites. We also wrote triggers that assigns favoriteID to each favorite, and updates the favoriteCount in the account data.

```
mysql> SELECT COUNT(spotifyTrackID) FROM Song;
+-----+
| COUNT(spotifyTrackID) |
+-----+
| 5000 |
+-----+
1 row in set (0.00 sec)

mysql>
```

```
mysql> SELECT COUNT(artistID) FROM Artist;
+------+
| COUNT(artistID) |
+-----+
| 1194 |
+-----+
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
mysql>
```

```
mysql> SELECT COUNT(favoriteID) FROM Favorites;
+------+
| COUNT(favoriteID) |
+-----+
| 1000 |
+-----+
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
mysql>
```

# **Advanced Queries**

## Query 1

### Query 2

This only returns the users favorite genre, which is found through parsing a users favorite song list and finding the genre that is most prevalent.

### Query 3

This query grabs a single song recommendation for the user. This is another song from an artist that was found in the users list of favorite songs that were not already in their favorites.

## Query 4

```
mysql> SELECT
           A.name AS ArtistName,
           S.songName AS MostPopularSong,
    ->
           S.popularity AS Popularity
    -> FROM
           Artist A
    ->
    -> JOIN
    ->
           Song S ON A.artistID = S.artistID
    -> WHERE
           S.popularity = (
    ->
                SELECT
    ->
    ->
                    MAX(S2.popularity)
    ->
                FROM
    ->
                    Song S2
                WHERE
    ->
    ->
                    S2.artistID = A.artistID
    ->
    -> LIMIT 15;
```

+    ArtistName	MostPopularSong	++   Popularity
   Jason Mraz	I'm Yours	++ I 80 I
Eddie Vedder	Society	I 68 I
Toto Sorioso	Saving Forever For You	1 28 1
Niña Dioz;Shigeto	Nubes (feat. Shigeto)	1 20 I I 19 I
	Black Woman Experience - Mixed	19 1
Geraldo Pino; The Heartbeats		1 17 1
Tony Allen;Ty	Woman To Man	1 17 1
El Rego; Kill Emil	E Nan Mian Nuku	1/1
BaianaSystem; Buguinha Dub; Antonio Carlos & Jocafi; Orquestra Afrosinfônica	Agua (Adubada)	18
Nomo	Discontinued	16
A Mose	Faraway	16
Assagai	Telephone Girl	17
Voilaaa;Rama Traore	Tu Mens Devant Moi	17
Voilaaa;Lass;JKriv	Ku La Foon - JKriv Remix	16
Lulu Panganiban	I'll Never Get Over You Getting Over Me	30
Ebo Taylor;Pat Thomas;Henrik Schwarz	Eye Nyam Nam 'A' Mensuro - Henrik Schwarz Blend	49
+		++

Find the most popular song by each artist

Join multiple relations: The query joins the Artist table with the Song table on the artistID field to fetch information about the artist and their songs.

Subqueries that cannot be easily replaced by a join: The query includes a subquery to find the maximum popularity for each artist's songs, which is used in the WHERE clause to filter the most popular song for each artist.

# **Indexing**

# Query 1

No Indexing Added

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=34.743..34.746 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: AVG(Song.songDuration) DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=34.741..34.743 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Table scan on stemporaryy (actual time=33.797..34.162 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=33.792..33.792 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=1814.08 rows=4838) (actual time=1.307..23.782 rows=4999 loops=1)
-> Table scan on Artist (cost=120.80 rows=1193) (actual time=0.419..1.679 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on Song using artistID (artistID=Artist.artistID) (cost=1.01 rows=4) (actual time=0.011..0.018 rows=4 loops=1193)
```

The initial analysis resulted in a cost of 1814.08 for the nested inner join, a cost of 120.80 for the scan on the Artist table, and a cost of 1.01 for the index lookup on the Song table using artistID.

Index Created for Song.artistID

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX song_a ON Song(artistID);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.58 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

|-> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=28.198..28.200 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: AVG(Song.songDuration) DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=28.197..28.199 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary (actual time=27.478..27.691 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=1815.50 rows=4842) (actual time=2.448..17.060 rows=4999 loops=1)
-> Table scan on Artist (cost=120.80 rows=1193) (actual time=0.345..2.509 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on Song using song_a (artistID=Artist.artistID) (cost=1.02 rows=4) (actual time=0.008..0.012 rows=4 loops=1193)
```

The first index I tested was for artistID in the Song table. This resulted in a cost of 1815.5 for the nested inner join, a cost of 120.80 for the scan on the Artist table, and a cost of 1.02 for the

index lookup on the Song table using artistID. The result is that the cost went up by 1.42 for the inner join and 0.01 for the index lookup on the Song table compared to the initial run.

## Index Created for Song.songDuration

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX songDuration_idx ON Song(songDuration);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.20 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=25.634..25.637 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: AVG(Song.songDuration) DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=25.634..25.635 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Table scan on temporary (actual time=25.003..25.204 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=25.002..25.002 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=1858.90 rows=4966) (actual time=0.377..16.766 rows=4999 loops=1)
-> Table scan on Artist (cost=120.80 rows=1193) (actual time=0.140..0.857 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on Song using Song_ibfk_1 (artistID=Artist.artistID) (cost=1.04 rows=4) (actual time=0.009..0.013 rows=4 loops=1193)
```

The next index I tested was for songDuration in the Song table. This resulted in a cost of 1858.9 for the nested inner join, a cost of 120.80 for the scan on the Artist table, and a cost of 1.04 for the index lookup on the Song table using artistID. The result is that the cost went up by 44.82 for the inner join and 0.02 for the index lookup on the Song table compared to the initial run.

#### Index Created for Artist.name

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX artistName_idx ON Artist(name);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.14 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=13.461..13.463 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: AVG(Song.songDuration) DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=13.461..13.462 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=2355.50 rows=4966) (actual time=0.171..13.146 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Group aggregate: avg(Song.songDuration) (cost=2355.50 rows=4966) (actual time=0.139..12.536 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=1858.90 rows=4966) (actual time=0.122..11.100 rows=4999 loops=1)
-> Covering index scan on Artist using artistName_idx (cost=120.80 rows=1193) (actual time=0.045..0.400 rows=1193 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on Song using Song_ibfk_1 (artistID=Artist.artistID) (cost=1.04 rows=4) (actual time=0.005..0.009 rows=4 loops=1193)
```

The last index I tested was for name in the Artist table. This resulted in a cost of 1858.9 for the nested inner join, a cost of 120.80 for the scan on the Artist table, and a cost of 1.04 for the index lookup on the Song table using artistID. The result is that the cost went up by 44.82 for the inner join and 0.02 for the index lookup on the Song table compared to the initial run.

Overall, it seems that the base analysis with no index created resulted in the lowest cost for the query. Adding an index for the artistID did increase the costs very slightly, where as creating an index for the songDuration and artist name increased the cost by a lot when it came to the join part of the query.

#### Query 2

NO INDEXING ADDED (Cost 551.51)

```
| -> Limit: 1 cos(s) (cost-551.51.55.51 cores) (actual time-23.601.23.601 cover=1 longe=1)
| -> Table scan on temporary (core-551.51.56.50 rows-1000) (actual time-23.599.23.598 cover=1 longe=1)
| -> Temporary table with desuplication (cost-551.50.c551.50 rows-1000) (actual time-23.599.23.598 cover=1 longe=1)
| -> Limit table size: 1 unique row(s)
| -> Limit table size: 1 unique row(s)
| -> Kested loop inner join (cost-551.50 rows-1000) (actual time-23.531.23.531 rows-1 loops-1)
| -> Filter: (f.spotifyTrackID is not null) (cost-101.50 rows-1000) (actual time-0.052.0.012 rows-1 loops-1)
| -> Covering index scan of using spotifyTrackID [cost-101.50 rows-1000] (actual time-0.058.0.089 rows-1 loops-1)
| -> Single-row index lookup on a using REMBAY (apotifyTrackID f.spotifyTrackID (cost-0.22 rows-1) (actual time-0.057.0.057 rows-1 loops-1)
| -> Select 12 (subquery in condition; dependent)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost-551.50 rows-100) (actual time-0.057.0.057 rows-1000 loops-1)
| -> Filter: (ff.spotifyTrackID is not null) (cost-0.01.50 rows-1000) (actual time-0.563.1.331 rows-1000 loops-1)
| -> Filter: (ff.spotifyTrackID is not null) (cost-0.01.50 rows-1000) (actual time-0.563.1.331 rows-1000 loops-1)
| -> Single-row index lookup on al using REMBAY (apotifyTrackID is not null) (cost-0.01.50 rows-1000) (actual time-0.563.1.331 rows-1000 loops-1)
| -> Single-row index lookup on al using REMBAY (apotifyTrackID-fi.spotifyTrackID) (cost-0.25 rows-1) (actual time-0.002.0.002 rows-1 loops-100)
| -> Select 13 (subquery in condition; run only once | cost-2.50.0.25.00 rows-1 (actual time-1.734.1.7.734 rows-1 loops-1)
| -> Naterialize (cost-0.00.0.00 rows-0) (actual time-1.734.1.7.734 rows-1 loops-1)
| -> Materialize (cost-0.00.0.00 rows-0) (actual time-1.734.1.7.735 rows-1 loops-1)
| -> Naterialize (cost-0.00.0.00 rows-0) (actual time-1.735.1.7.735.1.77.35.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.17.735.1
```

### **INDEX CREATED**

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX test_song_genre ON Song(genre);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.31 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

### Cost 551.51 (SAME)

```
| -> Table and not exemply (cost=Sil.51.551.57 cove=10) (scrual time=6.975.6.975 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Table some not exemply expressed in the state of the state of
```

I think this didn't change because it only checked each one to see whether the genre was the same, instead of looking for something of a certain genre or otherwise. I would have expected this to knock some of the cost, but I guess according to the explanation, the cost was already only 0.25 for that.

### **INDEX CREATED**

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX test_favorites_userID ON Favorites(userID);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.50 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

## Cost 551.51 (SAME)

Since this is a foreign key it probably shouldn't affect it too much, since it can access that information quickly, so it makes sense why the cost didn't change.

#### **INDEX CREATED**

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX test_favorites_spotifyID ON Favorites(spotifyTrackID);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.22 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

## Cost 551.51 (SAME)

Since this is a foreign key it probably shouldn't affect it too much, since it can access that information quickly, so it makes sense why the cost didn't change. The reason I used foreign keys for these tests is because there were no other attributes other than genre that aren't keys that I could use to index.

## Query 3

NO INDEXING ADDED (Cost 1813.75)

```
| -> Limit: 1 row(s) (cost=1813.75 rows=1) (actual time=11516.682 rows=1 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=1813.75 rows=4837) (actual time=11516.681..11516.681 rows=1 loops=1)
| -> Table scan on a (cost=120.86 rows=193) (actual time=0.045..0.86 rows=34) (actual time=0.045..0.86 rows=34)
| -> Filter: (a. name' = (select #2)) (cost=1.01 rows=4) (actual time=29.227..29.227 rows=0 loops=394)
| -> Index loopup on susing song ibfk, [actistID=actistID] (cost=1.01 rows=4) (actual time=0.015..0.029 rows=6 loops=394)
| -> Select #2 (subquery in condition; dependent)
| -> Limit: 1 row(s) (actual time=5.099..5.099 rows=1 loops=2253)
| -> Sort: rand(), limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (actual time=5.099..5.099 rows=1 loops=2253)
| -> Sort: rand(), limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (actual time=5.099..5.099 rows=1 loops=2253)
| -> Sort: rand(), limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (actual time=5.094.4.493 rows=1000 loops=2253)
| -> Sort: rand(), limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (actual time=0.024..4.932 rows=1000 loops=2253)
| -> Sort: rand(), limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (actual time=0.024..4.932 rows=1000 loops=2253)
| -> Sort: rand(), limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (actual time=0.024..4.932 rows=1000 loops=2253)
| -> Sort: rand(), limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (actual time=0.0100 loops=2253)
| -> Sort: rand(), limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (actual time=0.0100 loops=2253)
| -> Fitter (fit.spotifyfrackID </rr>
| -> Sort: rand(), limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (actual time=0.011.001 loops=2253)
| -> Fitter (fit.spotifyfrackID </rr>
| -> Limit: (fit.sp
```

### INDEX CREATED (ARTIST ID)

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX jtest_artist_id on Song(artistID);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.24 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

This index was created because the query references artistID on the JOIN function. COST (1858.90)

This index ended up making the cost of the query larger. You can see that the cost to filter through names went up where the index is used. This did not help the query as the original cost was 1813.75 and the cost with this index was 1858.90 so it will be removed.

#### **INDEX CREATED**

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX jtest_spot_track on Favorites(spotifyTrackID);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.21 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

This index was created because the query references spotifyTrackID inside of the subquery JOIN.

COST(1813.75)

This actually ended up not impacting performance at all, as the cost stayed the same at 1813.75. This is because the query was already using a index and the foreign key, and since spotifyTrackID in favorites is a foreign key it had no benefit.

#### **INDEX CREATED**

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX jtest_fav_id on Favorites(userID);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.24 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

This index was created because the query uses Favorites.userID in the subquery during the WHERE.

COST(1813.75)

This index also ended up not changing the cost from 1813.75. This is a little surprising to me, but the index ended up not being more efficient than the indexing method the query was also using so it was never grabbed.

# Query 4

NO INDEXING ADDED

My initial key is 1814.08. The nested join cost of 1814.08. Scanning the first table A of Artist cost 120.8 and the aggregate cost was 1.82 for the max popularity. INDEX CREATED for Song(artistID)

```
mysql> CREATE INDEX song_a ON Song(artistID);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.58 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

We created an index called song\_a that was for Song artistID. We chose this because we thought adding the artistID would lower cost as it would be quicker than referencing the primary key. However, there was an increased the base cost from 1814.08 to 1815.50. With the scan of Artist Table A being the same cost but the lookup using song\_a is 1.02 instead of 1.01. Additionally the aggregate increased from 1.82 to 1.83. We decided to remove that index and noticed that when dropping song\_a we needed to drop the foreign key to the artist and then recreate the foreign key. This for some reason increased the cost to 1858.90 but we aren't sure why.

INDEX CREATED Song(popularity)

mysql> CREATE INDEX song\_p ON Song(popularity);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.21 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0

We then created another index for Song popularity called song\_p and it had no effect on the query except it increased overall cost, the index lookup cost from 1.03 to 1.04 the aggregate cost from 1.83 to 1.87. So we decided to remove it INDEX CREATED Song(songNa

```
nysql> CREATE INDEX song_n ON Song(songName);
Query OK, 0 rows affected (0.22 sec)
Records: 0 Duplicates: 0 Warnings: 0
```

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (cost=1858.90 rows=15) (actual time=1.055..6.121 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=1858.90 rows=4966) (actual time=1.053..6.118 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Table scan on A (cost=120.80 rows=193) (actual time=0.064..0.069 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Filter: (S.popularity = (select #2)) (cost=1.04 rows=4) (actual time=0.091..0.403 rows=1 loops=15)
-> Index lookup on S using Song ibfk_1 (artistID=A.artistID) (cost=1.04 rows=4) (actual time=0.010..0.019 rows=7 loops=15)
-> Select #2 (subquery in condition; dependent)
-> Aggregate: max(S2.popularity) (cost=1.87 rows=1) (actual time=0.057..0.057 rows=1 loops=99)
-> Index lookup on S2 using Song_ibfk_1 (artistID=A.artistID) (cost=1.46 rows=4) (actual time=0.007..0.054 rows=41 loops=99)
```

Lastly created an index called song\_n that indexed to Song's songName.It also showed no change within the cost. Overall we believe that no additional indexing is needed as all indexing seemed to have a negative impact on this query.