

Week 1 Quiz

TOTAL POINTS 8

| 1. | Wh | at does flow_from_directory give you on the ImageGenerator? | 1 point |
|----|---------|--|--------------|
| | 0 | The ability to easily load images for training | |
| | 0 | The ability to pick the size of training images | |
| | 0 | The ability to automatically label images based on their directory name | |
| | | All of the above | |
| | | , in order decore | |
| | | | |
| 2. | If m | ny Image is sized 150x150, and I pass a 3x3 Convolution over it, what size is the resulting image? | 1 point |
| | 0 | 150x150 | |
| | • | 148x148 | |
| | 0 | 450x450 | |
| | 0 | 153x153 | |
| | | | |
| 3. | If m | ny data is sized 150x150, and I use Pooling of size 2x2, what size will the resulting image be? | 1 point |
| | 0 | 148x148 | |
| | 0 | 149x149 | |
| | • | 75x75 | |
| | 0 | 300x300 | |
| | | | |
| 4. | lf I | want to view the history of my training, how can I access it? | 1 point |
| | 0 | Pass the parameter 'history=true' to the model.fit | |
| | 0 | Use a model.fit_generator | |
| | 0 | Download the model and inspect it | |
| | • | Create a variable 'history' and assign it to the return of model.fit or model.fit_generator | |
| | | | |
| 5. | Wh | uat's the name of the API that allows you to inspect the impact of convolutions on the images? | 1 point |
| | 0 | The model.pools API | |
| | 0 | . The model.convolutions API | |
| | 0 | The model.images API | |
| | _ | The model.layers API | |
| | Ŭ | | |
| 6 | \A/h | en exploring the graphs, the loss levelled out at about .75 after 2 epochs, but the accuracy climbed close to 1.0 afte | r 15 1 |
| 0. | | ochs. What's the significance of this? | r 15 T point |
| | \circ | There was no point training after 2 epochs, as we overfit to the validation data | |
| | \circ | There was no point training after 2 epochs, as we overfit to the training data | |
| | • | A bigger training set would give us better validation accuracy | |
| | 0 | A bigger validation set would give us better training accuracy | |
| | | | |
| 7. | Wh | y is the validation accuracy a better indicator of model performance than training accuracy? | 1 point |
| | 0 | It isn't, they're equally valuable | |
| | 0 | There's no relationship between them | |
| | • | The validation accuracy is based on images that the model hasn't been trained with, and thus a better indicator of | Ŧ |
| | | how the model will perform with new images. | |
| | 0 | The validation dataset is smaller, and thus less accurate at measuring accuracy, so its performance isn't as important | |
| | | | |
| 8. | Wh | y is overfitting more likely to occur on smaller datasets? | 1 point |
| | | Because in a smaller dataset, your validation data is more likely to look like your training data | , point |
| | | Because there isn't enough data to activate all the convolutions or neurons | |
| | 0 | Because with less data, the training will take place more quickly, and some features may be missed | |
| | | Because there's less likelihood of all possible features being encountered in the training process. | |
| | ۳ | | |
| | | | |
| ~ | 1 | I, S. M. Sabiul Hajjaj, understand that submitting work that isn't my own may result in permanent | 6 G F |
| | | failure of this course or deactivation of my Coursera account. | U V I |
| | | Learn more about Coursera's Honor Code | |
| | | Save | Submit |