# The CELAR Radio Link Frequency Assignment Problems

Master in Computer Science — 2018

Multi-Agent System Coordination Course

#### 1 Introduction

The french "Centre d'Électronique de l'Armement" (CELAR) has made available, in the framework of the European project EUCLID CALMA (Combinatorial Algorithms for Military Applications) s set of Radio Link Frequency Assignment benchmark problems (RLFAP) build from a real network, with simplified data. These benchmarks had been previously designed by the CELAR to assess several different Constraint Programming languages. These benchmarks are extremely valuable as benchmarks for the CSP community and more largely for constraint programming, and are available at http://www7.inra.fr/mia/T/schiex/Doc/CELAR.shtml.

The constraints are all binary (involving no more than two variables), non linear and the variables have finite domains. These are real-world size problems, the larger instances having round one thousand variables and more than five thousand constraints. All these instances have been built from a unique real instance with 916 links and 5744 constraints in 11 connected components. The aim of this page is to present some essential facts which will be useful for people trying to tackle these instances. There are also some ideas of the purely practical aspect of these problems and more specifically of the origine of the criteria optimized.

People interested in Frequency Assignment Problems should have a look to the FAP web site.

## 2 Problem description

The Radio Link frequency Assignment Problem consists in assigning frequencies to a set of radio links defined between pairs of sites in order to avoid interferences. Each radio link is represented by a variable whose domain is the set of all frequencies that are available for this link. The essential constraints involve two variables  $F_1$  et  $F_2$ :

$$|F_1 - F_2| > k_{12}$$

The two variables represent two radio links which are "close" one to the other. The constant  $k_{12}$  depends on the position of the two links and also on the physical environment. It is obtained using a mathematical model of electromagnetic waves propagation which is still very "rough". Therefore, the constants  $k_{12}$  are not necessarily correct (it is possible that the minimum difference in frequency between F1 and F2 that does not yield interferences is actually different from  $k_{12}$ ). In practice,  $k_{12}$  is often overestimated in order to effectively guarantee the absence of interference. For each pair of sites, two frequencies must be assigned: one for the communications from A to B, the other one for the communications from B to A. In the case of the CELAR instances, a technological constraint appears: the distance in frequency between the  $A \to B$  link and the  $B \to A$  link must be exactly equal to 238. In all CELAR instances, these pairs of links are represented as pairs of variables numbered 2k and 2k+1. The possibility of expressing constraints such as  $|F_1 - F_2| > k_{12}$  suffices to express the graph coloring problem and it is therefore clear that the RLFAP is NP-hard.

### 3 The criteria to optimize

In order to facilitate the later addition of new radio links, one tries to build solutions that leave room for these new links. The pure satisfaction problem is therefore not crucial in itself (even if...). Two essential criteria are usually considered for feasible instances:

- Minimization of the maximum frequency used: the frequencies above the last frequency used can be tried for new radio links.
- Minimization of the number of frequencies used: the different frequencies unused can be tries for new radio links. Here, it is likely that the various frequencies available will be more distant one from the other and therefore it is more likely that a new radio link can be inserted without any modification of the previous setup. In practice, this criteria seems therefore more interesting than the previous one.

The first criteria, a Min-Max type criteria, is usually less expensive to optimize from an algorithmic view point. A third type of criteria is used in practice when the problem is unfeasible (inconsistent): Minimization of a weighted sum of the violated constraints. As we said before, in constraints such as  $|F_1 - F_2| > k_{12}$ , the constant  $k_{12}$  is usually overestimated and it is possible that such a constraint be violated without any real interference occurring in practice. The minimization of a weighted sum of the constraints violated corresponds to a minimization of costs induced to check the quality of the communication (and possibly a modification of the position of the antennas). The criteria used on unfeasible instances being different from the criteria used on feasible instances, this gives back some interest to the pure satisfaction problem which, in practice, will allow to select the optimization criteria to use.

When new links are added to an existing communication network, some variables are already assigned and it is either impossible to modify the value of these variables (hard constraints) or, again, one must minimize a weighted sum of the variables being modified. The size of the modification is not important. What is important is that the variable values has changed which means that somebody must apply this change. One can imagine that the cost associated with a variable is related to the cost of having the change done.

#### 4 Preliminary Exercises

We want to develop a multiagent system to solve frequency assignment problems (FAP) [4]. We propose to map the FAP as a distributed constraint optimization problem [1]. This DCOP should solve using the DPOP algorithm we have studied during the class [3].

- 1. Model FAP as a DCOP
- 2. Run DPOP on a simple instance (4 antennas, 3 frequencies and 4 inter-site constraints)
- 3. Analyze and comment your model and solutions

#### 5 Pushing the Investigation

Now, you will study other algorithms' performances on some larger scale CELAR instances of your choice and analyze their performances. You'll make use of the a Java library which implements several DCOP solution methods, namely FRODO [2].

- 1. Download FRODO at https://frodo-ai.tech
- Read carefully the manual (https://manual.frodo-ai.tech/FRODO\_User\_Manual.html), and run some simple experiments using generated instances (https://manual.frodo-ai.tech/FRODO\_User\_Manual. html#x1-65000B)
- Model a simple FAP problem using the XCSP syntax (https://manual.frodo-ai.tech/FRODO\_User\_ Manual.html#x1-110004.2.1)
- 4. Convert some FAP instances into XCSP instances (by hand or by implementing an *ad hoc* converter) and compare DCOP solution methods with respect to some criteria like (time, number of messages, etc.)
- 5. Write a 10-page max document reporting your investigation, and send it (with your source code and any other files required to run your experiments) to picard@emse.fr

#### References

- [1] J. Cerquides, A. Farinelli, P. Meseguer, and S. D. Ramchurn. A tutorial on optimization for multi-agent systems. *The Computer Journal*, 57(6):799–824, 2014.
- [2] Thomas Léauté, Brammert Ottens, and Radoslaw Szymanek. FRODO 2.0: An open-source framework for distributed constraint optimization. In *Proceedings of the IJCAI'09 Distributed Constraint Reasoning Workshop (DCR'09)*, pages 160–164, Pasadena, California, USA, July 13 2009. https://frodo-ai.tech.
- [3] Adrian Petcu and Boi Faltings. A scalable method for multiagent constraint optimization. *IJCAI International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 266–271, 2005.
- [4] T. Schiex. The celar radio link frequency assignment problems. http://www7.inra.fr/mia/T/schiex/Doc/CELAR.shtml, 2015. [Online; accessed 06/11/18].