Sgr A* as a potential source of galactic PeV neutrinos

Sabyasachi Ray¹,* Rajat K Dey¹, and Tamal Sarkar²

¹Department of Physics, University of North Bengal,

Siliguri-734013, West Bengal, INDIA and

²High Energy and Cosmic Ray Research Centre,

University of North Bengal, Siliguri-734013, West Bengal, INDIA

Introduction

Pev protons in the galactic center (GC) was detected by H.E.S.S. collaboration [1]. The highest possible energy of the proton from the Sgr A^* is proposed to be 170 PeV [2]. Proton having this much energy will interact with the molecular hydrogens present in plenty near the origin and background pho-The time scale of p-p interaction is very small compared to the p- γ interaction time scale [3]. Also plenty molecular hydrogen presents in the Galaxy makes the p-p channel more efficient than other posible channels. p-p interactions will generate pions (π^o, π^+, π^-) . Neutral pions will decay into gamma rays, where as charged pions produces various neutrinos and anti neutrinos. In the mechanism $p \to \pi^o \to 2\gamma$ and $p \to \pi^{\pm} \to 3\nu$, the number ratio $\gamma: \nu \sim 1:3$ and the corresponding energy ratio is $\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\nu}} \sim 2$ [4]. So maximum possible gamma energy and neutrino energy in this process will be $[E_{\gamma} \sim \frac{1}{10} E_p]$ 17 PeV and $[E_{\nu} \sim \frac{1}{20} E_p]$ 8.5 PeV respectively.

π production

High energy protons which are accelerated via LLCD mechanism[5], interacts with the cold ambient ISM, gives rise to γ and ν through the decays of produced pions. We considered isotropic distribution of the accelerated proton $dn(E_p)/dE_p$, which gives rise to π^o emissivity given by [4], $Q_{\pi^o}^{pp}(E_{\pi^o})$ =

$$cn_H \int_{E_p^{th}}^{E_p^{max}} \frac{dn(E_p)}{dE_p} \frac{d\sigma_{pp}(E_{\pi^o}, E_p)}{dE_{\pi^o}} dE_p \quad (1)$$

where n_H is the ambient hydrogen number density. The energy dependent pp crossection expressed by [3] $\sigma_{pp} = 34.3 + 1.88ln(E_p/1TeV) + 0.25(ln(E_p/1TeV))^2$ mb.

 E_p -independent approximations in scalling model with a parameterization of the differential cross section gives,[4]

$$\frac{d\sigma_{pp}(E_{\pi^o}, E_p)}{dE_{\pi^o}} \simeq \frac{\sigma_{pp}}{E_{\pi^o}} f_{\pi^o}(x)$$
 (2)

where, $x \equiv E_{\pi^o}/E_p$ and $f_{\pi^o}(x)$ is expressed as [3, 4]

$$f_{\pi^{o}}(x) = 8.18x^{1/2} \left(\frac{1 - x^{1/2}}{1 + 1.33x^{1/2}(1 - x^{1/2})} \right)^{4} \times \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^{1/2}} + \frac{1.33(1 - 2x^{1/2})}{1 + 1.33x^{1/2}(1 - x^{1/2})} \right)$$
(3)

Using all those parameterization π^o emissivity can be rewritten as,

$$Q_{\pi^o}^{pp}(E_{\pi^o}) = cn_H \sigma_{pp} \frac{dn(E_p)}{dE_p} \times Z_{p\pi^o}(\alpha) \quad (4)$$

here α is the spectral index of the cosmicray spectrum and $Z_{p\pi^0}(\alpha)$ is the spectrumweighted moment of the inclusive cross section, also named as Z-factor and is given by [4],

$$Z_{p\pi^0}(\alpha) = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-2} f_{\pi^0}(x) dx$$
 (5)

^{*}Electronic address: rs_sabyasachi@nbu.ac.in

PeV neutrino and gamma ray flux

The emissivity for the production of gamma ray from pp interaction is expressed by the following [4] equation,

$$Q_{\gamma}^{pp}(E_{\gamma}) \simeq Z_{\pi^{o}\gamma}(\alpha) Q_{\pi^{o}}^{pp}(E_{\pi^{o}}) \tag{6}$$

here, $Z_{\pi^{\circ}\gamma}(\alpha) = 2/\alpha$, let us term this as Z_0 .

neutrino emissivity for flavours takes these form [4],

$$Q_{\nu_{\mu}}^{pp}(E_{\nu_{\mu}}) \simeq 2[Z_{\pi^{o}\nu_{\mu}}(\alpha) + Z_{\mu\nu_{\mu}}(\alpha)]Q_{\pi^{o}}^{pp}(E_{\pi^{o}})$$
(7)

$$Q_{\nu_e}^{pp}(E_{\nu_e}) \simeq 2Z_{\mu\nu_e}(\alpha)Q_{\pi^o}^{pp}(E_{\pi^o})$$
 (8)

factor 2 in the above equation implies that we are considering the sum of the neutrino and antinutrino emissivity. let us name $Z_{\pi^o\nu_\mu}(\alpha)$ as Z_1 , $Z_{\mu\nu_{\mu}}(\alpha)$ as Z_2 and $Z_{\mu\nu_{e}}(\alpha)$ as Z_3 . These are the Z-factor corresponding to ν_{μ} production from pion decay, muon decay and ν_e production from muon decay. They are evaluated following the equations[4]:

$$Z_1 = \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha}$$
 (9)

$$Z_2 = \frac{4[3 - 2r - r^{\alpha}(3 - 2r + \alpha - \alpha r)]}{\alpha^2 (1 - r)^2 (\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 3)} \quad (10)$$

$$Z_3 = \frac{24[\alpha(1-r) - r(1-r^{\alpha})]}{\alpha^2(1-r)^2(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)}$$
 (11)

where,
$$r = (m_{\mu}/m_{\pi})^2 = 0.467$$

From eq. 4 the total neutrino emissivity for all flavours combined can be written as,

$$Q_{\nu} = c n_H Z \sigma_{pp} \frac{dn(E_p)}{dE_p} \times Z_{p\pi^o}(\alpha) \qquad (12)$$

where, $Z = 2[Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3]$

Now, $N_p = \frac{4\pi}{c} J_p$ is the steady state density = $\frac{dn(E_p)}{dE_p}$ and $R_{eff} \equiv \int dV/(4\pi r^2)$ is the effective radius, which is calculated to be between 1kpc to 10 kpc depending the shape of the halo considered around the galactic center[6]. The observed neutrino flux can be drived using [6].

$$J_{\nu} = J_{\nu}(E_{\nu}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{1} dV \frac{Q_{\nu}}{4\pi r^{2}} = \frac{R_{eff}}{4\pi} \times Q_{\nu}$$
(13)

from equation 12 and 13 we derived the equation for the calculation of the neutrino flux,

$$J_{\nu} = n_H \sigma_{pp} Z R_{eff} J_p \times Z_{p\pi^o}(\alpha) \tag{14}$$

The gamma ray flux is expressed by,

$$J_{\gamma} = n_H \sigma_{pp} Z_0 R_{eff} J_p \times Z_{p\pi^o}(\alpha) \tag{15}$$

Results

 $n_H = 1 cm^{-3} [3, 6]$ is considered. Although in various paper GC region ISM density is cosidered to be much higher $n_H = 120cm^{-3}$ [7]. J_p is calculted from cosmic ray spectra[8] comes $\frac{2\times 10^3}{E^{2.6}}$ in $GeV^{-1}cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}$

TABLE I: Flux calculation for different values of n_H and R_{eff} with spectral index value 3.

$n_H{}^a$	$R_{eff}^{\ \ b}$	$J_{ u}{}^c$	$E_{\nu}^{2}J_{\nu}^{d}$	$E_{\gamma}^{2}J_{\gamma}^{d}$
1				9.3×10^{-14}
120				1.1×10^{-11}
1				9.3×10^{-13}
120	10	3.2×10^{-25}	2.3×10^{-11}	1.1×10^{-10}

bin
$$kpc$$

cin $GeV^{-1}cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}$
din $GeVcm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}$

Conclusions

Higher flux value is observed for lower spectral index values. Sgr A* can be a source of PeV neutrinos. Much higher sensitive detector may detect those neutrinos in near future.

References

- A. Abramowski et al. phys. rev. lett. 117 111301 (2016).
- Z. Osmanov et al. The ApJ 835 164 (2017).
- N. Gupta, Astropart. Phys. 48 75-77 (2013).

- $[4]\,$ L. A. Anchordoqui et al. Phys. Rev. D ${\bf 75}$ 063001 (2007). [5] Rajat K. Dey et al EPL **136** 69001(2021) [6] J. C. Joshi et al. MNRAS, **439** 3414-3419
- (2014).
- [7] R. M. Crocker et al Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **413** 763-788 (2011).
- [8] G. Karagöz ,PhD thesis 2 (2016)