Tutorial 1 1

# MA106 Tutorial 1

# Sabyasachi Samantaray D2-T3 2023

# $\mathbf{Q20}$

### Method 1

Multiplying (I+N) and the equation given simplifies to

$$(I+N)(I-N+N^2\dots(-1)^{n-1}N^{n-1})=I-(-1)^nN^n$$

**How**? **Hint**: Think of  $(1+x)(1-x+x^2...)$ . What if n is odd? What if even? For n=3,  $(1+x)(1-x+x^2) = (1+x^3)$ . For n=4,  $(1+x)(1-x+x^2-x^3) = 1-x^4$ . Do you see it?

Okay, so how do you prove it formally? Again, **Prove By Induction!!**. If you did not understand PBI, Check here [Help]. Now attempt proving the claim for this problem.

Another thing to notice is that (I+N) and the large equation infact commute, because I and N actually commute. Now that we have the product, to prove that this product is equal to I, we need to show  $N^n = 0$ , is it actually? Try checking it manually for matrices of such type for n = 2,3.

Let  $N = [n_{ij}]$ , where  $n_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i \ge j$  and for remaining positions, not all  $n_{ij} = 0$ . Now consider the product  $A = N^2 = NN$ . Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$ . Now,

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{k} n_{ik} n_{kj}$$

#### Case 1: $i \geq j$

 $n_{ik} = 0$  when  $k \le i$  and  $n_{kj} = 0$  when  $k \ge j$ . Hence the product  $n_{ik}n_{kj}$  is 0 for all values of k, as for any value of k, at least one among  $n_{ik}, n_{jk}$  is 0.

## Case 2: i < j

Same as previous, we see  $n_{ik}n_{kj} = 0$  for  $k \leq i$  and  $k \geq j$ . But since i < j. What about the values between i, j. For the values between i and j, the product may be non-zero. Hence we conclude that  $a_{ij}$  is non zero if i < j and there exists any number between i and j.

If i=1, j=2,  $a_{ij} = 0$ , since there is no number between 1 and 2. But for i=1, j=3  $a_{13} = n_{12}n_{23}$  which need not be zero. Hence in  $N^2$ , we find n-1 additional zeros that N. Additional zeros are at positions  $a_{12}, a_{23}, \ldots, a_{(n-1)n}$ .

Similarly, when multiply  $N^2$  by another N, we would notice n-2 additional zeros, and this continues till all positions conquered by zeros in  $N^n$ .

For the second part of the question, this N is a different N. Given a nilpotent matrix N, lets say with index of nilpotency  $\mathbf{p}$ . That means  $p \geq 1$  and  $N^k = 0$  for all  $k \geq p$ . The following holds. Don't be surprised, we already saw this above!

$$(I+N)(I-N+N^2\dots(-1)^{p-1}N^{p-1})=I-(-1)^pN^p)$$

Tutorial 1 2

Now replace N with -N in above equation, and also put  $N^p = 0$ . We observe that (I-N) indeed has an inverse, by definition!

# Method 2(Using Induction)

Let  $e_j$  be the unit vector along  $j^{th}$  axis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  space.  $Ne_j$  is the  $j^{th}$  column of N, which can be rewritten as  $Ne_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} a_{ij}e_i$ . Verify yourself!

## **Proof By Induction:**

Proposition:  $N^k e_j = 0$  for  $j \le k$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ .

**Base Case:** k=1,  $Ne_1 = C_1$  (first column of matrix N), which was all 0, therefore  $Ne_1 = 0$ . Hence, base case satisfies the Proposition.

## **Induction Step:**

**Induction Hypothesis:** Assume proposition holds for  $k \le k_0$ . Now  $A^{k+1}e_i = AA^ke_i = 0$  for  $i \le k$ . For  $k = k_0 + 1$ ,  $A^{k_0+1}e_{k_0+1} = A^{k_0}(Ae_{k_0+1}) = A^{k_0}\sum_{i=1}^{k_0}a_{i,k_0+1}e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0}a_{i,k_0+1}A^{k_0}e_i = 0$ . Therefore, proposition holds for  $k = k_0 + 1$ .

Hence Proved:)

**PS: Revisit this problem, when you learn of eigenvalues**. For any upper triangular matrix, the eigenvalues are the diagonal entries. Why?. And for any  $n \times n$  matrix A, its characteristic equation is given by  $(A - \lambda_1)(A - \lambda_2) \dots (A - \lambda_n) = 0$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  are eigenvalues of A.(Recall Cayley-Hamilton Theorem) For this problem, N has got all diagonal entries zero, hence its characteristic equation simplifies to  $N^n = 0$ . Kaboom!!!