

Name:
Class Period:
Submitting for:

Public Forum Case Outline-Contentions only

Please remove anything in blue letter and anything that is not used prior to submitting.

- I. Introduction
 - A. Stance on Case Negative
 - B. Definitions
 - 1. Word Generative AI
 - a) Source MIT
 - b) Definition A machine-learning model that is trained to create new data, rather than making a prediction about a specific dataset
 - 2. Word Education
 - a) Source Oxford Languages
 - b) Definition A process of teaching, training, and learning, especially in schools, colleges, or universities, to improve knowledge and develop skills
 - C. Framework Impact Calculation
 - D. Observation
- II. Contention 1 Education Departments flag AI
 - A. Claim Many Education Departments flag AI
 - B. Warrant Many Education Departments have flagged AI
 - 1. Card #1
 - a) Tag AI is a serious threat to student privacy
 - b) Summary This source gives an example of a 16-year-old girl on her way to school who wants to hang out with her friends. She texts them, but her Robo-Coach has access to the message. This example shows how AI in education will be dangerous for children since privacy if breached, can lead to dangerous situations for them.
 - c) MLA Buck, Daniel. "AI Is a Serious Threat to Student Privacy." The Thomas B. Fordham Institute, 5 Oct. 2023, fordhaminstitute.org/national/commentary/ai-serious-threat-student-privacy.
 - 2. Card #2
 - a) Tag Securing Student Data in the Age of Generative AI

- b) Summary This card states the Problems with Privacy and if a security breach were to happen many students would be exposed to the loss of sensitive data to unwanted hands, the connection to being flagged is, that if education departments face these problems then they will want to flag AI.
- c) MLA Nambiar, Anjali. Securing Student Data in the Age of Generative AI a Tool for Data Privacy Enhancement in K12 Schools. 2023.

Copy & Paste formatting if you have more than 2 cards

- C. Impact Many education departments have flagged Generative AI, and many will follow suit since our MIT card shows us the high possibility of security breaches of sensitive data. According to impact Calculation, this condition is strong on the negative side of the case, thereby it should be chosen over AFF.

III. Contention 2 Academic Integrity

- A. Claim Academic Integrity will be Violated if opted for the use of generative AI.
- B. Warrant Academic Integrity will be violated
 - 1. Card #1 Academic Integrity and Teaching with(out) AI
 - a) Tag AI can cause a violation of Academic Integrity
 - b) Summary The integration of AI in Academic settings raises concerns regarding Academic Integrity, these tools can undermine the authenticity of student work.
 - c) MLA "Academic Integrity and Teaching With(Out) AI – Office of Academic Integrity and Student Conduct." Harvard.edu, 2025, oaisc.fas.harvard.edu/academic-integrity-and-teaching-without-ai/.
 - 2. Card #2
 - a) Tag ChatGPT, Artificial Intelligence, and Academic Integrity
 - b) Summary Students who use ChatGPT and similar tools on assignments without permission, or who use them in improper ways, are violating the academic integrity rules of the University.
 - c) MLA Trachtenberg, Ben. "ChatGPT, Artificial Intelligence, and Academic Integrity // Office of Academic Integrity." Oai.missouri.edu, 2023, oai.missouri.edu/chatgpt-artificial-intelligence-and-academic-integrity/.

Copy & Paste formatting if you have more than 2 cards

- C. Impact Quality of education is going to be heavily impacted but negatively, with our framework of impact Calculation we provide the information that states Academic integrity is under attack in Affs world, this impact is very important since if students cheat their way through school then they will never be able to learn.

Copy & Paste formatting if you have more than 2 contentions

- IV. Conclusion
 - A. Main Voters
 - B. Ballot Decision