

Shell:

## Interp

Teams reading arguments regarding sensitive topics should read trigger warnings beforehand, including sexual assault.

## violation

Our opponents read a case without a trigger warning.

## standards

**The standard is courtesy.**

Sensitive arguments provoke traumatic responses from people. People can suffer panic attacks and become unable to engage productively with others. She concludes trigger warnings stop this.

## Trigger warnings key to prevent potential bad experiences

Kate Manne, September 19 2015,

<https://www.nytimes.com/2015/09/20/opinion/sunday/why-i-use-trigger-warnings.html>

**Triggered reactions can be intense and unpleasant, and may even overtake our consciousness, as with a flashback experienced by a war veteran.** But **even more common conditions can have this effect.** Think, for example, about the experience of intense nausea. It comes upon a person unbidden, without rational reflection. And you can no more reason your way out of it than you reasoned your way into it. It's also hard, if not impossible, to engage productively with other matters while you are in the grip of it. You might say that such states temporarily eclipse our rational capacities. **For someone who has experienced major trauma, vivid reminders can serve to induce states of body and mind that are rationally eclipsing in much the same manner.** A common symptom of PTSD is panic attacks. Those undergoing these attacks may be flooded with anxiety to the point of struggling to draw breath, and feeling disoriented, dizzy and nauseated. **Under conditions such as these, it's impossible to think straight.** The thought behind trigger warnings isn't just that these states are highly unpleasant (although they certainly are). **It's that they temporarily render people unable to focus, regardless of their desire or determination to do so. Trigger warnings can work to prevent or counteract this.**

This prereqs education because it doesn't matter how educational the round is if the person cannot learn or function because of the argument read against them.

voters

Drop the debater - The only thing that stems from this debate is discourse, dropping them sets a precedent that not reading a trigger is not ok.

Case:

## 1AC---Adv---Final Frontier

**Advantage 1 is the FINAL FRONTIER.**

**Generative AI enables successful exploration and colonization of space.**

**Nakazawa '25** [Rika Nakazawa; January 17th; Chief of Commercial Innovation at NTT, senior technology leader, VC investor, and public speaker on technology-powered industry transformation; “‘Extraterrestrial’ Intelligence”; DGI – Geospatial Intelligence for Defense and Security; <https://dgi.wbresearch.com/blog/extraterrestrial-intelligence>] ejs squad

Engineering and System/Material Design Optimization

GenAI facilitates the creation of innovative satellite and spacecraft designs by analyzing extensive datasets to propose novel configurations - accelerating the design process and enhancing performance and efficiency. According to a Capgemini survey, 41% of aerospace and defense organizations are experimenting with generative AI in 3D modeling to accelerate design processes, optimize parts for aerodynamics, and lower costs. AI has demonstrated its astonishing ability to generate unique structural designs that engineers can then refine to yield optimized spacecraft components.

Meanwhile, AI is a powerful tool to produce space-resilient materials, responding to everything from the vacuum of deep space to the high radiation levels near stars. By analyzing countless combinations of elements and structures, AI can uncover materials with exceptional properties suited for spacecraft, heat shields, or life support systems. The rapid exploration of possibilities within complex simulated environments both shortens development timelines but also enhances the likelihood of successful missions.

Mission Planning and Simulation

Since the 1950s, global Space missions have vaulted more than 25,000 objects into Earth orbit, and by some estimates, this number could triple in the next few years. By leveraging GenAI, space agencies can simulate complex mission scenarios, including orbital mechanics and potential system failures. This predictive capability enables more effective mission planning and risk mitigation, ensuring higher success rates for space missions.

**In the blooming space economy, GenAI is key to catalyze innovation, efficiency, and investor confidence, as well as manage satellites and energy development.**

**Nakazawa '25** [Rika Nakazawa; January 17th; Chief of Commercial Innovation at NTT, senior technology leader, VC investor, and public speaker on technology-powered industry transformation; “‘Extraterrestrial’ Intelligence”; DGI – Geospatial Intelligence for Defense and Security; <https://dgi.wbresearch.com/blog/extraterrestrial-intelligence>] ejs squad

‘Extraterrestrial’ Intelligence

As our civilization's ambitions reach beyond the stars, the intersection of advanced technologies and the modern acceleration of the space economy is a pivotal force in reshaping industries and expanding possibilities. A major movement in this force is, yes, Artificial Intelligence – traditional AI and Generative AI (genAI). While already disrupting domains like content creation and healthcare, the new breed of genAI tools is yielding significant advances in the space and satellite domain.

In our rapidly evolving landscape that spans satellite communications, Earth observation, space tourism, and interplanetary logistics, the ability to analyze massive datasets, generate insights, and optimize resources is paramount. GenAI is a catalyst for the innovation and efficiency that will help us navigate the anticipated exponential growth to a \$1.8 trillion space economy in the next 10 years. AI is reshaping the space economy through multiple facets by unlocking new opportunities and simplifying complexities at speed and scale.

Engineering and System/Material Design Optimization

GenAI facilitates the creation of innovative satellite and spacecraft designs by analyzing extensive datasets to propose novel configurations - accelerating the design process and enhancing performance and efficiency. According to a Capgemini

survey, 41% of aerospace and defense organizations are experimenting with generative AI in 3D modeling to accelerate design processes, optimize parts for aerodynamics, and lower costs. AI has demonstrated its astonishing ability to generate unique structural designs that engineers can then refine to yield optimized spacecraft components.

Meanwhile, AI is a powerful tool to produce space-resilient materials, responding to everything from the vacuum of deep space to the high radiation levels near stars. By analyzing countless combinations of elements and structures, AI can uncover materials with exceptional properties suited for spacecraft heat shields, or life support systems. The rapid exploration of possibilities within complex simulated environments both shortens development timelines but also enhances the likelihood of successful missions.

#### Mission Planning and Simulation

Since the 1950s, global Space missions have vaulted more than 25,000 objects into Earth orbit, and by some estimates, this number could triple in the next few years. By leveraging GenAI, space agencies can simulate complex mission scenarios, including orbital mechanics and potential system failures. This predictive capability enables more effective mission planning and risk mitigation, ensuring higher success rates for space missions.

#### Space Traffic Management

With the increasing number of devices in orbit, managing space traffic is crucial. In 2023, SpaceX launched 1800 new satellites in Orbit and in the first half of 2024 they made 50,000 collision-avoidance maneuvers with the help of AI. AI assists in predicting satellite trajectories and potential collisions, facilitating proactive measures to prevent accidents and maintain sustainable space operations.

#### Autonomous Satellite Operations

With software-defined satellites composing a larger share of spacecraft manufacturing, AI will contribute to the development of autonomous satellite systems capable of making real-time decisions, such as adjusting orbits or managing onboard systems without human intervention. This autonomy enhances operational efficiency and reduces reliance on ground control. The U.S. Space Force is now utilizing AI to advance decision cycles and optimize strategic planning by using space-sourced datasets to assess potential risks and design response strategies.

#### Satellite Data Analysis

The vast amount of data generated by Earth observation satellites can be efficiently processed using GenAI. AI models can identify patterns and anomalies in satellite imagery, providing valuable insights for applications such as environmental monitoring, disaster response, and compliance adherence. The Φsat-2 satellite from ESA that launched in August 2024 features a multispectral camera paired with an advanced AI computer capable of analyzing and processing imagery directly onboard, offering a more intelligent and efficient approach to monitoring Earth's environment. With six AI applications running onboard, the satellite is designed to turn images into maps, detect clouds in the images, classify them and provide insight into cloud distribution, detect and classify vessels, compress images on board and reconstruct them in the ground reducing the download time, spot anomalies in marine ecosystems and detect wildfires.

#### Cosmic Energy Harvesting

What was once a concept confined to the realm of science fiction most notably from Isaac Asimov—space-based solar power stations—is now edging closer to reality. AI plays a critical role in optimizing the design, construction, and operation of these massive structures. It also offers innovative solutions for efficiently transmitting energy back to Earth, ensuring minimal energy loss during the transfer process.

## Education is key:

### 1. INTERDISCIPLINARY LEARNING.

**Singh '23** [Dr. Himanshu Singh; September 15th; Product Manager at Meta Reality Labs, Ph.D. from the Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, M.B.A. from the Darden School of Business at the University of Virginia; "AI and School Space Exploration and Astronomy"; Teachflow; <https://teachflow.ai/ai-and-school-space-exploration-and-astronomy/>] ejs squad

#### Introduction to AI, Space Exploration, and Astronomy in School Education

Space exploration and astronomy have always fascinated students and sparked their curiosity about the vast universe.

However, with the rapid advancements in technology, a new frontier is emerging in these fields – the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in school education. AI is revolutionizing various industries and its applications in space exploration and astronomy are no exception.

In this section, we will provide an introduction to the connection between AI, space exploration, and astronomy in school education. We will explore why these subjects are crucial in fostering curiosity, critical thinking, and scientific inquiry among students. Additionally, we will highlight the importance of incorporating AI into the educational landscape to enhance the learning experience and prepare students for the future.

Space exploration and astronomy offer a unique opportunity for students to engage in interdisciplinary learning. By combining the principles of physics, mathematics, computer science, and more, students can develop a holistic understanding of the universe and the technologies used to explore it. AI, with its ability to process vast amounts of data, analyze complex patterns, and make intelligent decisions, plays a pivotal role in advancing our understanding of space and the celestial bodies within it.

By introducing AI in the context of **space exploration** and astronomy, **educators** can **provide students with a hands-on and immersive learning** experience. Students can explore **real-world challenges** faced by scientists and engineers, **such as analyzing space data, designing missions, and controlling robotic systems**. Through these activities, **students develop critical skills in problem-solving, collaboration, and data interpretation**, all while deepening their understanding of the universe.

## 2. TRAINING.

**Fowler '24** [Gary Fowler; April 4th; CEO, President, and Founder of GSD Venture Studios and Yva.ai, M.A. from the West Chester University of Pennsylvania, B.A. in Business and Psychology from the Commonwealth University-Lock Haven; "Exploring New Frontiers: How Generative AI is Transforming Space Exploration"; GSD Venture Studios; <https://www.gsdvs.com/post/exploring-new-frontiers-how-generative-ai-is-transforming-space-exploration/>] ejs squad

Impact of AI on Astronaut Training and Missions

**Gen**erative AI has a **profound impact on astronaut training** and the execution of **space missions**. **Enhanced training techniques powered by AI simulations prepare astronauts** more **effectively for the challenges** of space. During missions, AI assists in navigation, decision-making, and problem-solving, ensuring safer and more efficient space travel. **The integration of AI into astronaut training and mission operations marks a significant advancement in our spacefaring capabilities**.

### Operations are key to enable future development.

**Singh '23** [Dr. Himanshu Singh; September 15th; Product Manager at Meta Reality Labs, Ph.D. from the Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, M.B.A. from the Darden School of Business at the University of Virginia; "AI and School Space Exploration and Astronomy"; Teachflow; <https://teachflow.ai/ai-and-school-space-exploration-and-astronomy/>] ejs squad

The Role of AI in Modern Space Exploration

**AI is playing a crucial role in modern space exploration**, enabling us to delve deeper into the mysteries of the universe. **One of the key contributions of AI is in data analysis and interpretation**. With the vast amount of data collected from space missions and telescopes, **AI algorithms can process and analyze this data to uncover patterns, identify celestial objects, and make predictions**. This ability to handle large datasets and extract meaningful information is invaluable in advancing our understanding of the universe.

Another significant area where AI is making an impact is in autonomous systems and robotics. **AI-powered robots and rovers are being used in space missions to perform tasks that are too risky or challenging for humans**. These robots can navigate through **hazardous terrains, collect samples, and conduct experiments**, all while being guided by AI algorithms. This **integration of AI and robotics not only expands our capabilities in space exploration but also provides students with opportunities to learn about the design and operation** of such systems.

### Multiplanetary colonization prevents extinction. A.

**Reuter '21** [Timothy Reuter; December 9th; Head of Aerospace and Drones at the World Economic Forum, M.A. in International Relations and Economics from the School of Advanced International Studies at Johns Hopkins University; "Why the human race must become a multiplanetary species"; World Economic Forum; <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2021/12/humans-multiplanetary-species/>] ejs squad

**Becoming a multiplanetary species could protect the future of the human race and help humanity reach its full potential.**

Human habitation across multiple planets will create new hubs of innovation and experimentation leading to advances in science, technology and commerce.

Significantly increasing government and private sector spending on crewed spaceflight is an investment in national and international security against long-tail risks.

In 2021, a new era of space exploration dawned with the first privately organized flights ferrying civilian passengers across the line that separates our planet from the rest of the universe. Much of the media coverage of the three flights launched by Virgin Galactic, Blue Origin and SpaceX has either been of the "isn't this cool" variety or has characterized these endeavours as symbols of inequality. As such, the question of what the value of human spaceflight is has gone largely unanswered.

Supporters of space exploration sometimes suggest that sending robotic probes to the remote corners of the solar system and beyond can teach us what we need to know about the universe at less cost and risk than sending people. Yet, **for the safety of our descendants and to reach humanity's full potential, we must become a multiplanetary species.**

Existential risk

Humans have a one in six chance of going extinct this century according to Oxford Philosopher Toby Ord. In his book, *The Precipice: Existential Risk and the Future of Humanity*, Dr Ord lays out a variety of **long-tail risks** that **are both existential and very difficult to mitigate**. These include nature-based risks like **asteroids, large-scale volcanic eruptions and stellar explosions**. Although we can track many of these phenomena, **we do not have the technology (nor are we likely to develop it anytime soon) to prevent large eruptions or redirect large asteroids**. Initial

efforts to nudge space objects are just beginning. This is to say nothing of the human-created risks of nuclear war or bioweapons intentionally or unintentionally released on the public, a scenario made easier to imagine by the current pandemic.

As long as humanity is grouped together on a single planet there will always be a possibility that all of us can be killed at once. It is equivalent to having everyone in a single building: there is always a risk greater than zero of a collapse or fire that kills everyone. By establishing, at first, small outposts and eventually larger scale settlements on other planets, the risk of our species being destroyed is significantly curtailed.

<<<PARAGRAPH BREAKS PAUSE>>>

Realizing humanity's potential On a more positive note, human habitation in a greater variety of settings will radically expedite science and commerce. While we currently have small-scale experimentation with manufacturing items in micro and zero gravity on the International Space Station, the potential for us to set up large-scale industry in different physics requires us to have a presence on other celestial locations. Large-scale settlements of people are hubs of innovation and human flourishing. Just think of how many more discoveries and marvels could be created by 80 billion people in the future instead of today's 8 billion. Our current planet has a limited carrying capacity but our solar system can accommodate many more people than any single planet can. Just as cultural and geographic variety contributes to the richness of our current society, further expanding the diversity of human settings would continue to expand the creativity of our species. Space travel itself has already been an incredible inspiration to numerous scientists, engineers and artists with many people citing seeing the moon landing as one of the most formative events of their lives. Hastening science and technology development The technologies we develop on our way to becoming a multiplanetary species will also benefit us here on earth. Today, satellites are used to monitor carbon and other greenhouse gas emissions to give us a better picture of the causes of global warming and promote accountability. In her first speech devoted to space, US Vice-President Kamala Harris said: "I truly believe space activity is climate action." In a recent report, the World Economic Forum's Global Future Council on Space laid out the many ways satellite data is being used to address climate change and suggests feeding data from space-based assets into an "Earth Operations Centre" to provide a real-time picture of activities and phenomena that contribute to warming. Less well known are the many other technologies developed on our way to space but used in our daily lives. The CMOS sensor was first invented at NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory in the 1990s. No one could have predicted that this technology would eventually be part of all our phones, enabling high-quality digital images and affecting everything from how we document human rights abuses to how we present ourselves to potential mates on dating apps. The limits of space settlement It is important to note that becoming a multiplanetary species will not address what are commonly understood to be our most pressing problems here on earth. Increasingly, people see global warming and social inequality as twin crises that are the most urgent issues of our time. Although space-based assets can help us better understand global warming, investing in technologies or instituting policies to prevent an ecological catastrophe on earth will always be more efficient ways to address ecological degradation than terraforming another planet. We can also easily replicate the social inequities of our planet out in space, as has been illustrated by numerous works of science fiction. Spaceflight is not an escape from those important challenges.

<<<PARAGRAPH BREAKS RESUME>>>

However, for certain classes of long-tail risk that add up to significant existential danger, diversifying our planetary footprint is the most effective mitigation strategy and one that provides a path for human flourishing that exceeds what could possibly be accomplished on one planet. For these reasons, significantly increasing government and private sector spending on crewed spaceflight is an investment in our national and international security.

## **Natural adaptation and novel gene editing tools prevent biological breakdown post colonization.**

**Learn '21** [Joshua R. Learn; October 8th; award-winning science journalist; citing Dr. Scott Solomon; Associate Teaching Professor of Ecology, Evolutionary Biology, and Behavior at Rice University, Research Associate at the Smithsonian Institution's National Museum of Natural History, Ph.D. in Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior from the University of Texas at Austin; "Colonizing Mars could speed up human evolution"; Astronomy Magazine; <https://www.astronomy.com/science/colonizing-mars-could-speed-up-human-evolution/>] ejs squad

As it turns out, human colonization of the harsh and exotic atmosphere on Mars (if we can achieve it) might accelerate our species' evolution. "Given how different the martian environment is, you'd expect strong natural selection," says Scott Solomon, an evolutionary biologist at Rice University in Houston, Texas.

Mars sits about 34 million miles (55 million kilometers) away from Earth, depending on the orbital position of both planets, and us Earthlings still face a number of obstacles before we could even reach it. But if we get to Mars and establish a colony of permanent residents, factors like comparatively higher radiation, lower gravity and a vast change in lifestyle could prompt significant evolutionary changes in human bodies — much quicker than those that have transpired on our native planet.

Lost in space

Solomon first began pondering how humans might further evolve while teaching introductory biology. He asked students to imagine the ways in which humans may continue to evolve — this question led him down a rabbit hole that inspired his 2016 book, *Future Humans*.

Solomon's research touches on the hypothetical evolutionary outcomes of humanity's colonization of Mars. A determining factor in this venture: the precise level of isolation, which would vastly influence how quickly humans would adapt to new conditions. If humans moved back and forth from Earth and Mars as quickly as every generation or two, new influxes of Earth-born human genes moving to the martian colony could slow the effects of certain genetic mutations. Meanwhile, remaining within the vastly different conditions on Mars might speed up the pace of human evolution. "It could be hundreds of years in the right circumstances," Solomon says.

Here on Earth, evolution often requires a species to experience complete isolation for thousands of years from other populations of the same species. When modern humans and our evolutionary ancestors began to spread across the Earth tens of thousands of years ago, populations were isolated in various places for generations — sometimes for thousands of years.

Meanwhile, certain populations have developed characteristics to help them deal with local conditions. For example, high-altitude dwelling groups of humans may have adapted traits that helped them live in such extreme environments. Still, much of this is just plasticity, or the ability of a species to adapt to specific surroundings by taking on various sizes, behaviors and shapes, rather than true evolution. "We can adjust our physiology to different circumstances to a pretty large extent," Solomon said, as evidenced by the fact that modern humans never evolved into new species despite experiencing vast environmental differences.

But it's possible that martian humans could live completely isolated from Earth due to a number of conditions, whether economic, political, or otherwise. For one, diseases unique to either Earth or Mars could emerge and invoke travel embargoes.

Mutant martians

More intense radiation on Mars could also provoke elevated rates of genetic mutations in humans born there. And any favorable mutations that help humans better cope with conditions on Mars may be inherited by future generations. "Increasing the mutation rate gives natural selection more material to operate with," Solomon says.

But these mutations could also be random. If a number of people happen to develop the same chance mutations, either among those living on Mars or their ancestors on Earth, it could cause something like a founding effect. A Mars settlement is likely to consist of a relatively small community in the beginning, and any traits that these founders may share could have a disproportionate effect on the future human development there: This could be as simple as a relatively high number of redheads.

But patterns in hair (or even skin) color wouldn't technically qualify Martians as a new species, Solomon cautions. Yet it's possible that even the first generation of Martians may develop notable physical differences from Earthlings due to gravity changes in a foreign atmosphere, among other adjustments. "They might look different, they might act different," he says. "They might have physical changes that might be obvious to people that compare them."

### **Natural selection**

Survival of the fittest is a key concept in evolution. But it doesn't mean the environment will primarily dictate the type of traits that render people well-suited for Martian life. Height seems like an obvious factor in these differences, since **Mars has three-eighths of Earth's gravity**.

"Science fiction has often portrayed martians or aliens coming from Mars as being tall and lanky and thin," Solomon says. But the effect could actually be the opposite. The problem is that these body types might pose certain hazards during childbirth — weakened skeletons could fracture people's pelvises while giving birth. So, natural selection **may** actually **favor shorter people with denser bones**.

Also, **Mars' high radiation levels could directly affect characteristics like skin color or eyesight** over generations of evolution. This has **already happened on Earth — melanin is more resistant to ultraviolet rays**, for example.

"Perhaps **in the face of this high radiation, we might evolve some new type of skin pigment to help us deal with that radiation**," Solomon says, adding that **we could potentially evolve cancer-resistant genes**. "Maybe we get our own green men."

He cautions also that these specific ideas of how we might change are mere speculation. We still need to learn plenty, like how childbirth or an infant's survival and development may be affected by space living.

Writing our own evolutionary destiny

Unique cultural traditions that develop on Mars could also have a long-term effect on evolution. Distinctive eating practices, for example, if maintained over generations, may affect the evolution of our digestive system.

Epigenetics, or the influence of behavior and environment on gene expression, could also play a factor in human evolution on the Red Planet. But this science isn't very well understood yet, Solomon notes. He points to the research on the astronaut twins Scott and Mark Kelly performed by NASA. The former spent a year in space while the latter remained on Earth. Analysis detected changes in Scott's genes, though it's still unclear how these shifts may affect him in the long term.

But based on **significant advances in gene editing tools like CRISPR**, it's possible that humans on Mars **may not need to leave evolution up to natural selection**. Solomon says we currently have the tools to work with potential Mars residents — we just don't necessarily know what specifically to tweak (or how to do it). Nevertheless, **scientists could modify people's genes before or after a Mars journey**. "It could potentially be a **powerful tool to go ahead and make those changes so people could survive** and be adapted to the martian environment," Solomon says.

### **Space exploration is inevitable, but doing it sooner rather than later is key to unlock exploration, resource mining, and asteroid deflection.**

**Saletta '18** [Dr. Morgan Saletta; February; Academic Specialist at the University of Melbourne, Ph.D. in Science and Technology Studies from the University of Melbourne; Dr. Kevin Orrman-Rossiter; Ph.D. from the University of Salford; "Can space mining benefit all of humanity?: The resource fund and citizen's dividend model of Alaska, the 'last frontier'"; Space Policy; Vol. 43; pp. 1-6; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spacepol.2018.02.002>; brackets original] ejs squad

1. The 'final frontier', utopian ideals and pragmatic governance: is it possible to balance commercial space exploitation, profit motives, and benefits to all of humanity?

In 1903, Konstantin Tsiolkovsky suggested that exploiting asteroid resources [1] would be key to conquering what Gene Roddenberry and his fellow producers later called the 'final frontier' [2]. While the idea of asteroid mining thus predates the space age, the prospect of a space economy fuelled in part by the exploitation of asteroids, the moon and other celestial bodies is increasingly technologically and financially feasible.

In situ **resource exploitation is often cited as a crucial part of ambitious plans underway by the steadily increasing number of spacefaring nations and commercial, entrepreneurial ventures in space-plans that include orbital outposts** and hotels, **space based solar power stations** [3] **and** manned and/or robotic **missions** to the Moon and Mars in the coming decades. **Resources from the Moon, Mars and asteroids have been proposed for use in human space exploration to produce propellants, water for life support, structural materials, radiation shielding and heat shields** [1,4]. **While competing and evolving visions for space exploration and political, budgetary and economic realities make the future of such plans uncertain, there are many indications that resource exploitation of near Earth objects (NEOs), the moon or other celestial bodies is rapidly becoming feasible** [5].

Additionally, the **mining of celestial bodies, including NEOs, has frequently been presented**, both in specialist literature and in popular media **as a way to solve terrestrial shortages of precious metals, semiconducting materials and rare elements such as Helium-3**. Because of its proximity and composition, **the moon is an attractive starting point** for resource prospecting [6]. On the other hand, **NEOs have received particular attention because they may be richer in some desired raw materials**, especially metals, than the surface of the moon, while also having a much weaker gravity well [7–10]. Public scientists and science communicators such as Neil deGrasse Tyson have promoted **asteroid mining** both **as a way to solve conflicts over terrestrial resources** while enriching humanity as well as providing the **technologies necessary to detect and deflect asteroids that threaten Earth** [11]. The popular founding manifesto for asteroid mining, John S. Lewis' Mining the Sky (1997)



estimated that mining asteroids for valuable metals could provide trillions of dollars of precious metals [12], though such claims, oft repeated, should be regarded sceptically in absence of detailed and sophisticated economic modelling.

Some studies have optimistically suggested that profit making 'space mining' ventures could be undertaken with little or no government funding [8]. This does not, however, reflect that which has already been invested in research, surveys and mapping of celestial bodies and the development of space technology by governments (including public/private partnerships), or the necessary technological developments likely to emerge from future missions by NASA and other Space Agencies. NASA's use of Space Act Agreements to engage in public-private partnerships such as that with SpaceX and Orbital ATK is part of a broad reshaping of the aerospace industry that will see increased privatization and commercial activities in space and new forms of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) which may also play a role in space resource exploitation [13]. As greater numbers of actors, with complex relationships to stakeholders, including national governments, become active in outer space, the need to insure peaceful interactions, one of the primary goals of the OST, will be increasingly important.

**That solves terrestrial shortages by unlocking a whole universe of unlimited AND highly concentrated resources.**

**Knapp '23** [Alex Knapp; October 18th; Senior Editor covering healthcare, science, and cutting edge technology for Forbes, J.D. from the University of Kansas School of Law, B.S. in Biochemistry from the Worcester Polytechnic Institute; "This Asteroid Mining Startup Is Ready To Launch The First-Ever Commercial Deep Space Mission"; Forbes; <https://www.forbes.com/sites/alexknapp/2023/10/18/this-asteroid-mining-startup-is-ready-to-launch-the-first-ever-commercial-deep-space-mission/?sh=48e6e61f674a>] ejs squad

Early next year, a SpaceX rocket will be launching a drill to the surface of the Moon as part of its Artemis program. And hitching a ride on that rocket will be a smaller spacecraft, with a much more distant destination: an asteroid that will take about nine months to reach. That ship, called the Brokkr-2, is being built by California-based Astroforge as part of its journey to commercialize mining in space.

Last month, the company successfully test fired the rockets that will enable the spacecraft to make it to the distant object, a crucial last step before the spacecraft is integrated with the rocket it will launch on next year. If successful, it would mark the first time a private company sent a mission into space beyond Earth and Moon.

That test was the last major milestone the company needed to hit before sending its ship off-world, Astroforge cofounder and CEO Matt Gialich told Forbes. "The fact that that went smoothly and we didn't show you a picture of a fireball means that we got through that gate. We're in a really good position to make an attempt at the world's first commercial deep space mission."

Mining asteroids sounds like science fiction but has a very practical force behind it: as the world transitions away from fossil fuels and begins to rely even more heavily on electricity, it's going to need more metals. But Earth's resources are limited and many of the best places to mine for important metals are already being tapped. On Monday, an analysis published in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Science found that the metals needed for batteries and renewable energy infrastructure could start to go up in price, "potentially delaying the clean energy transition." The analysis goes on to suggest that demand for metals will force mining companies to dig in places where such metals aren't as readily available, which comes with significant economic and environmental costs.

Many of the asteroids in our solar system, however, are chock full of the metals that are needed for the industries of the future, such as cobalt, nickel and platinum-group metals. Plus, those metals can be found at higher concentrations than on Earth, meaning smaller amounts of material can be mined to produce more metals. The analysis suggests that over time, it would be possible to transition away from mining on Earth for the most part, instead relying on space resources to supply the planet's needs. That's exactly the market that Astroforge hopes to tap.

But it isn't the first company to try its hand at space mining. In the early 2010s, two major efforts — Deep Space Industries and Planetary Resources — launched to a lot of fanfare and collectively attracted over \$60 million in venture capital from investment firms like Bryan Johnson's OS Fund. But both companies eventually closed their doors before the decade was out. Gialich and Acain are keenly aware of this history and say they've had lots of conversations with former employees of those two companies to learn from their experiences. But one major difference between then and now, they say, is the fact that SpaceX and other companies have radically lowered the costs involved in doing business in space. One example cofounder Jose Acain cites is that a decade ago, a deep space mission would have required reserving a rocket at a cost of hundreds of millions. But today smaller spacecraft routinely share space with larger spacecraft on one rocket, which dramatically lowers the costs involved. The company estimates the total cost of its Brokkr-2 mission will be less than \$10 million.

With just \$13 million in seed funding, Astroforge is certainly putting the cost issue to the test. But another advantage of the current market is the fact that, unlike 10 years ago, there's now a thriving ecosystem of space companies offering off-the-shelf components, meaning the company doesn't have to design its systems from the ground up. Astroforge already launched a spacecraft earlier this year, which is currently in orbit testing the company's mineral refining technology.

"It's certainly not out of the question" that lower launch costs could make a big difference for Astroforge's chances of success, space industry analyst Chris Quilty told Forbes in an email. Although he hasn't studied the company's specific economic model, he said the fact that launch costs have been "reduced by an order of magnitude" opens up a "whole universe" of opportunities for business in space.

**Autino et al '21** [Adriano V. Autino; June 26th; Founder and former President of Space Renaissance International; Amalie Sinclair; Director of the Leeward Space Foundation; Kevin Myrick; Enterprise Collaboration Engineer at CDW; Corrinne Graham; strategic advisor at CRYPSA; Manuel Perez; President of Space Renaissance USA; Susan Jewell; CEO of the Space Medicine Clinical Scientist at DoD; Jim Crisafulli; Executive Director at ACES Worldwide; Joe Pelton; Former Dean of the International Space University and Former Director of Strategic Policy at INTELSAT; Jerry Stone; Professor at the Space Renaissance International; "Status of Civilization and perspective of expansion into outer space"; Space Renaissance International; 3rd World Congress; <https://2021.spacerenaissance.space/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/PAPER-SRIC3-SCT-4.1.01-007.pdf>] //ejs squad

The energy sources of our planet are not sufficient for the development of 8 billion citizens. Replacing fossil energy sources with renewable sources, though it could at least downsize the issue of pollution, will not solve the future energy needs problem (as better argued in further chapter of this document).

Employment is in deep crisis over many decades, due to several concurrent threads:

- What it was called, already in 1996 by Viviane Forrester “the redundancy of the workers”<sup>2</sup> poses the urgent and still overdue need for new social organization models, while the inertia of the older rationales still prevents the needed evolution.

### 1.2 The risk of extensive cultural damage in the philosophical cage of the closed world

The high risk of an economical endemic crisis and involution, with dramatic falling employment, will feed the social fear, this is the apparent social dividend of the multicrisis. Societies will feel powerless to help themselves, while their aspirations as citizens, and subjects of any government, will shipwreck in the general unavailability of decent jobs.

<<<PARAGRAPH BREAKS PAUSE>>>

<<<PARAGRAPH BREAKS RESUME>>>



To say that we humans need to learn to live sustainably in the closed system of our mother planet before to start moving to outer space is like to say that a bird should "learn" to clean its nest before learning to fly, and taking its own wastes elsewhere.

The reality is the exact reverse: none of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals of the UN 2030 agenda will be achievable under these terms, Humankind in fact **does not have any possibility to achieve sustainability on Earth if we don't start immediately expanding into space. To start expanding into space is a necessary condition for any sustainable development.**

What else will we "learn", remaining confined within the limits of our mother planet? Maybe we will have to learn again how to light a fire in a cavern, in a time shorter than we expect...

**We must begin moving** the burden of our industrial development outside our planet. This is the key, as Jeff Bezos wisely understood, making his cislunar development plans accordingly, with the goal of perpetuating human development and making of Earth a beautiful garden. Is Bezos's plan unrealistic and untimely? Are Elon Musk's plans to travel to the Moon and Mars unrealistic and untimely?

Such plans can be considered unrealistic and untimely only in the frame of a redundant 'old space' vision, that is perfectly in tune with the use of space only for Earth. The priority goal of the planetsavers is to mitigate climate change and pollution in the closed Earth, using outer space for such goals. This is exactly the UN strategy that we saw in Wien in 2018, at UNISPACE+50. Even so we have to admit that UN, at least, in their 17 SDG's include many social issues, totally neglected in the narration of the planet-savers.

1.7.2 Degrowth will only lead to more degrowth and, finally, to premature death of civilization

If humanity has to remain closed within the limit of Earth atmosphere, the only sustainable strategy is the one indicated by Serge Latouche<sup>8</sup> : degrowth.

The demographic issue is all but simple, and it was so far managed with some superficiality by the supporters of a green transition within the closed world. Demographic stabilization is given and discounted as a desirable goal, without analyzing (i) its real feasibility and (ii) the outcomes, that will be worse than the problem it tries to resolve.

**Stabilization is not a feasible** possibility, since there are only two options: growth or degrowth, followed by extinction. Working towards stabilization in the closed world **will lead to implosion of civilization**.

Demographic stabilization is not a good goal, for humankind<sup>9</sup> . Cultural growth cannot move ahead alone, without demographic growth, new **growing markets are essential** for social opportunity and improvement. The nursery of ideas can grow up only in a growing population<sup>10</sup>. Adriano V. Autino wrote that "Actually we could certainly state that: the circulating money is not wealth itself. Money is just one of the measuring instruments of wealth. Real wealth is uniquely made up of natural resources and human culture, including scientific and technological knowledge and the working potential."<sup>11</sup> Therefore humanity was never rich as it is now, with 8 billion human beings. The only missing part on Earth are now our depleting natural resources, even so there's great abundance in the solar system! That's why we need to expand. If we are not humanist, we don't need space.

It is a matter of growth versus multiplication<sup>12</sup>. Both the forces are concurring: qualitative growth of science and technology and population multiplication, that will keep alive the market opportunities, working against depression, devolution, and degrowth. We might say that the multi- crises and the consequent increasing pressure is the way that nature pushes evolution to make giant leaps ahead.

**Any "new" social model, oriented to decrease the consumption of energy, will be worse than the problem.** In times of pandemics, such concepts were evinced by several indicators.

Working and communicating mainly from home is an introspective yet consuming lifestyle. Moreover, the continuous use of webcams in our virtual meetings consumes a lot of energy. Therefore we will be constrained to renounce even to this slim means of keeping us alive, as social beings. On other fronts, electric cars need big batteries, difficult to dispose and consuming rare earths. Leaving alone the fact that their efficiency is far from the combustion engine, and that is another limitation to our freedom of movement.

<<<PARAGRAPH BREAKS PAUSE>>>

1.8 The energy dilemma But let us have a deeper look at the 'energy dilemma', as it is called by Arthur Woods and Marco C. Bernasconi in their elaboration of the "Space Energy Option"<sup>13</sup> "Humanity is facing an imminent Energy Dilemma in that the limited proven reserves of fossil fuels could reach exhaustion levels at mid-century and none of the alternative terrestrial energy options – nuclear – wind – ground solar (PV) – can be sufficiently scaled to achieve the goal of divesting from fossil fuels by the year 2050 as is being called for by the United Nations, the European Union, many governments and numerous organizations to address the Climate Emergency." From their article we see that, in 2019, total World Primary Energy consumption was 162.194 TWh. Of that amount: • Fossil Fuels: 136.761 TWh (84.3%) • Hydroelectricity, 10.461 TWh (6.5%) • Nuclear power, 6.922 TWh (4.3%) • Renewables and other energy sources 8.050 TWh (5%) With current world population of 7.7 billion expected to increase by 25% to 9.7 billion between now and 2050, at current energy consumption levels a very minimum of 23 TW (+25%) of power will be necessary to sustain civilization. However, based on the current average energy consumption increase of 1.5% per year, more likely humanity will require more than 30 TW of continuous power by mid-century. Using nuclear power as an example, to replace current fossil fuel usage of 136,761 TWh with nuclear power (assuming a 90% availability) would require the deployment of up to 17,347 new 1 GW nuclear reactors. This means, for the next 30 years, 578 nuclear power plants would have to go online each year. Fusion nuclear technology is still in the research stage and far from being a useful and scalable solution by the year 2050. Wind and solar photovoltaic (PV) generators have significantly lower availability: the inherent intermittency and storage aspects, make it necessary to deploy multiples of their equivalent rated (peak) power levels to equal the output, e.g., of nuclear power systems. For wind, the generating capacity needs to be some 3.35 times higher and for PV, 6-7 times higher. Thus, to replace 2019 use of fossil fuels with wind and solar, no less than 65 TW (depending on the assumed wind/ PV mix) of power generating capacity from these two renewable sources would need to be installed. This translates into 2 TW of electrical generating capacity from wind and solar to be installed every year from now until the year 2050 – i.e., 5 GW per day – and this, as with nuclear power, would have to start immediately. Additionally, both photovoltaic and wind technologies pose many environmental issues that are similar to the problem of asbestos disposal. Wind turbines deliver a huge quantity of a blade material composed by glass fibers and resins that cannot be recycled and are difficult to dispose of<sup>14</sup>. Photovoltaic technology poses huge environmental problems related to both waste disposal<sup>15</sup> and their production which uses nitrogen trifluoride, a common byproduct of electronics manufacture, and is a greenhouse gas 17,000 times more potent than carbon dioxide. 16 Both photovoltaic and wind technologies have a low efficiency, high intermittency and use extensive land. Unlocking Earth's vast energy reserves enabled our species to embark on an industrial revolution leading to a technological civilization that is on the threshold of expanding permanently into the near cosmos. Earth's energy reserves are finite and inadequate for this next stage of a cultural and societal evolution which would enable humanity to become a spacefaring species. Indeed, all terrestrial energy alternatives have serious economic issues that make them totally unsustainable as well, as was analyzed by Woods & Bernasconi in their article: a clear counter argument to the claimed sustainability of the terrestrial green transition. Any energy strategy limited within the atmosphere of planet Earth: • will not solve the energy dilemma and the climate emergency, • will accelerate the many environmental problems, • will destroy the industrial development model without replacing it with a more efficient alternative, • will not satisfy any of the 17 UN 2030 Sustainable Development Goals 1.9 The risk of a Civilization Implosion The sum of all the mentioned risks, and related crises, could lead to a civilization implosion, as Stephen Hawking also had predicted. And the breaking point of such an event is closer than it was expected. The implosion of civilization was discussed by astrophysicist Stephen Hawking<sup>17</sup> and other thinkers of different orientations, but Hawkins was strangely consonant with James Lovelock<sup>18</sup> . These two authors were in agreement, when looking at the possibility that the world system will remain physically and philosophically closed for much longer than the already three-quarters of a century since humans' first rocket reached space.

<<<PARAGRAPH BREAKS RESUME>>>

According to many scholars of ancient civilizations, civilization **collapses have occurred many times in history**, and in the large part of cases, the collapse was not due to a single factor, but to several concurrent factors<sup>19</sup> . Exactly as in our current situation, as we discussed in 1.1 - The risks created by the tremendous conjunction of the multi-crisis.

Yet **the main problem, even before** finite planetary **resources are exhausted, is** the very strong **psychological** depression that this critical situation determines: humans extensively feel, on a biological level even more than rationally, the limited nature of Earth environment. Many people are now embarking on involuntal and retrograde directions – such as through the adaptation of radical environmentalism and de-growthism or even the uptake of animalism and animality as ethical models. When people will realize that a future under these conditions only construes as misery and deprivation, the hour of anger will come; this way, and **the process of the implosion of civilization will be** further **sped up**. This will happen, as matter of course, **if the system is kept stubbornly closed by** the joint action of several **concurrent** stolidly **retrograde forces**.

Modern Western society was once compared to a stable bicycle whose wheels are kept spinning by economic growth. Should that forward-propelling motion stop, the pillars supporting our society – democracy, individual liberties, social tolerance and more – would start staggering. When the wheels remain steady for too long, a total civilization collapse could be looming.

Safa Motesharrei, a mathematician conducting studies on societal dynamics, wrote: "If we make rational choices to reduce factors such as inequality, explosive population growth, the rate at which we deplete natural resources and the rate of pollution – all perfectly doable things – then we can avoid collapse and stabilize onto a sustainable

trajectory, but we cannot wait forever to make those decisions.”<sup>20</sup> As many other thinkers, Motesharrei seems to be sure that reducing factors of inequality and human environmental footprint is perfectly doable. Such concept – the claimed simplicity of the solutions – is part of the problem, not of the solutions.

The society of 8 billion humans is not simple at all, rather it is quite complex, and its wheels are moving only thanks to an immense neural network of commercial connections, all of them based on cascade debts, called investments. People keep investing until when there's a prevailing hope that the debts will be remitted. In other words, investments will generate a return.

The multi-crises were already braking the economy before pandemics, under the impact of the leading crises: environmental issues and climate change.

But it was not enough: another powerful civilization-collapser came up: COVID19. The global economy is now almost frozen, with the sole exception of China, that has attempted to restart moderate growth.

The situation generates and perpetuates a planetary psychological depression<sup>21</sup>, the hope to have a decent return on investment is falling more and more.

Many bearers of simplistic solutions are showing up everywhere, with recipes based on the mantra of "Simple: it is enough that everybody..." In that is-enough-that-everybody stands the totalitarian threat to freedom of our complex society.

There's no such thing as something that everybody can do without being forced.

The multi-crises can be reverted, and civilization avoid the collapse, only if people will see true reasons to expect that they can go back to their social life, meeting, working together, loving, having children. These humane essentials come before everything else.

The above circumstance means that we are in dramatic need of a vaccine against the pandemic. But growth can restart only if a valid development vector raises the scenery. Only the combined effect of immunity from Covid19 and the inspiring locus of an industrial space development can avoid the forthcoming civilization implosion.

## Resource wars cause extinction.

**Klare '21** [Dr. Michael T. Klare; May 31st; Professor Emeritus of Peace and World Security Studies at Five College Consortium, Director of the Five College Program in Peace and World Security Studies, Ph.D. from the Graduate School of the Union Institute, B.A. and M.A. from Columbia University; "Will there be resource wars in our renewable energy future?"; Salon; [https://www.salon.com/2021/05/31/will-there-be-resource-wars-in-our-renewable-energy-future\\_partner/](https://www.salon.com/2021/05/31/will-there-be-resource-wars-in-our-renewable-energy-future_partner/)] ejs squad

With other nations moving in a similar direction, it's tempting to conclude that the days when competition over finite supplies of energy was a recurring source of conflict will soon draw to a close. Unfortunately, think again: while the sun and wind are indeed infinitely renewable, the materials needed to convert those resources into electricity — minerals like cobalt, copper, lithium, nickel, and the rare-earth elements, or REEs — are anything but. Some of them, in fact, are far scarcer than petroleum, suggesting that global strife over vital resources may not in fact, disappear in the Age of Renewables.

To appreciate this unexpected paradox, it's necessary to explore how wind and solar power are converted into usable forms of electricity and propulsion. Solar power is largely collected by photovoltaic cells, often deployed in vast arrays, while the wind is harvested by giant turbines, typically deployed in extensive wind farms. To use electricity in transportation, cars and trucks must be equipped with advanced batteries capable of holding a charge over long distances. Each one of these devices uses substantial amounts of copper for electrical transmission, as well as a variety of other non-renewable minerals. Those wind turbines, for instance, require manganese, molybdenum, nickel, zinc, and rare-earth elements for their electrical generators, while electric vehicles (EVs) need cobalt, graphite, lithium, manganese, and rare earths for their engines and batteries.

At present, with wind and solar power accounting for only about 7% of global electricity generation and electric vehicles making up less than 1% of the cars on the road, the production of those minerals is roughly adequate to meet global demand. If, however, the U.S. and other countries really do move toward a green-energy future of the kind envisioned by President Biden, the demand for them will skyrocket and global output will fall far short of anticipated needs.

According to a recent study by the International Energy Agency (IEA), "The Role of Critical Minerals in Clean Energy Transitions," the demand for lithium in 2040 could be 50 times greater than today and for cobalt and graphite 30 times greater if the world moves swiftly to replace oil-driven vehicles with EVs. Such rising demand will, of course, incentivize industry to develop new supplies of such minerals, but potential sources of them are limited and the process of bringing them online will be costly and complicated. In other words, the world could face significant shortages of critical materials. ("As clean energy transitions accelerate globally," the IEA report noted ominously, "and solar panels, wind turbines, and electric cars are deployed on a growing scale, these rapidly growing markets for key minerals could be subject to price volatility, geopolitical influence, and even disruptions to supply.")

And here's a further complication: for a number of the most critical materials, including lithium, cobalt, and those rare-earth elements, production is highly concentrated in just a few countries, a reality that could lead to the sort of geopolitical struggles that accompanied the world's dependence on a few major sources of oil. According to the IEA, just one country, the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), currently supplies more than 80% of the world's cobalt, and another — China — 70% of its rare-earth elements. Similarly, lithium production is largely in two countries, Argentina and Chile, which jointly account for nearly 80% of world supply, while four countries — Argentina, Chile, the DRC, and Peru — provide most of our copper. In other words, such future supplies are far more concentrated in far fewer lands than petroleum and natural gas, leading IEA analysts to worry about future struggles over the world's access to them.

From Oil to Lithium: the Geopolitical Implications of the Electric-Car Revolution

The role of petroleum in shaping global geopolitics is well understood. Ever since oil became essential to world transportation — and so to the effective functioning of the world's economy — it has been viewed for obvious reasons as a "strategic" resource. Because the largest concentrations of petroleum were located in the Middle East, an area historically far removed from the principal centers of industrial activity in Europe and North America and regularly subject to political convulsions, the major importing nations long sought to exercise some control over that region's oil production and export. This, of course, led to resource imperialism of a high order, beginning after World War I when Britain and the other European powers contended for colonial control of the oil-producing parts of the Persian Gulf region. It continued after World War II, when the United States entered that competition in a big way.

For the United States, ensuring access to Middle Eastern oil became a strategic priority after the "oil shocks" of 1973 and 1979 — the first caused by an Arab oil embargo that was a reprisal for Washington's support of Israel in that year's October War; the second by a disruption of supplies caused by the Islamic Revolution in Iran. In response to endless lines at American gas stations and the subsequent recessions, successive presidents pledged to protect oil imports by "any means necessary," including the use of armed force. And that very stance led President George H.W. Bush to wage the first Gulf War against Saddam Hussein's Iraq in 1991 and his son to invade that same country in 2003.

In 2021, the United States is no longer as dependent on Middle Eastern oil, given how extensively domestic deposits of petroleum-laden shale and other sedimentary rocks are being exploited by fracking technology. Still, the connection between oil use and geopolitical conflict has hardly disappeared. Most analysts believe that petroleum will continue to supply a major share of global energy for decades to come, and that's certain to generate political and military struggles over the remaining supplies. Already, for instance, conflict has broken out over disputed offshore supplies in the South and East China Seas, and some analysts predict a struggle for the control of untapped oil and mineral deposits in the Arctic region as well.

Here, then, is the question of the hour: Will an explosion in electric-car ownership change all this? EV market share is already growing rapidly and projected to reach 15% of worldwide sales by 2030. The major automakers are investing heavily in such vehicles, anticipating a surge in demand. There were around 370 EV models available for sale worldwide in 2020 — a 40% increase from 2019 — and major automakers have revealed plans to make an additional 450 models available by 2022. In addition, General Motors has announced its intention to completely phase out conventional gasoline and diesel vehicles by 2035, while Volvo's CEO has indicated that the company would only sell EVs by 2030.

It's reasonable to assume that this shift will only gain momentum, with profound consequences for the global trade in resources. According to the IEA, a typical electric car requires six times the mineral inputs of a conventional oil-powered vehicle. These include the copper for electrical wiring plus the cobalt, graphite, lithium, and nickel needed to ensure battery performance, longevity, and energy density (the energy output per unit of weight). In addition, rare-earth elements will be essential for the permanent magnets installed in EV motors.

**Lithium**, a primary component of lithium-ion batteries used in most EVs, is the lightest known metal. Although present both in clay deposits and ore composites, it's rarely found in easily mineable concentrations, though it can also be extracted from brine in areas like Bolivia's Salar de Uyuni, the world's largest salt flat. At present, approximately 58% of the world's lithium comes from Australia, another 20% from Chile, 11% from China, 6% from Argentina, and smaller percentages from elsewhere. A U.S. firm, Lithium Americas, is about to undertake the extraction of significant amounts of lithium from a clay deposit in northern Nevada, but is meeting resistance from local ranchers and Native Americans, who fear the contamination of their water supplies.

**Cobalt** is another key component of lithium-ion batteries. It's rarely found in unique deposits and most often acquired as a byproduct of copper and nickel mining. Today, it's almost entirely produced thanks to copper mining in the violent, chaotic **D**emocratic **R**epublic of the **C**ongo, mostly in what's known as the copper belt of Katanga Province, a region which once sought to break away from the rest of the country and still harbors secessionist impulses.

Rare-earth elements encompass a group of 17 metallic substances scattered across the Earth's surface but rarely found in mineable concentrations. Among them, several are essential for future green-energy solutions, including dysprosium, lanthanum, neodymium, and terbium. When used as alloys with other minerals, they help perpetuate the magnetization of electrical motors under high-temperature conditions, a key requirement for electric vehicles and wind turbines. At present, approximately 70% of REEs come from China, perhaps 12% from Australia, and 8% from the U.S.

A mere glance at the location of such concentrations suggests that the green-energy transition envisioned by President Biden and other world leaders may encounter severe geopolitical problems, not unlike those generated in the past by reliance on oil. As a start, the most militarily powerful nation on the planet, the United States, can supply itself with only tiny percentages of REEs, as well as other critical minerals like nickel and zinc needed for advanced green technologies. While Australia, a close ally, will undoubtedly be an important supplier of some of them, China, already increasingly viewed as an adversary, is crucial when it comes to REEs, and the Congo, one of the most conflict-plagued nations on the planet, is the leading producer of cobalt. So don't for a second imagine that the transition to a renewable-energy future will either be easy or conflict-free.

The Crunch to Come

Faced with the prospect of inadequate or hard-to-access supplies of such critical materials, energy strategists are already calling for major efforts to develop new sources in as many locations as possible. "Today's supply and investment plans for many critical minerals fall well short of what is needed to support an accelerated deployment of solar panels, wind turbines and electric vehicles," said Fath Birol, executive director of the International Energy Agency. "These hazards are real, but they are surmountable. The response from policymakers and companies will determine whether critical minerals remain a vital enabler for clean energy transitions or become a bottleneck in the process."

As Birol and his associates at the IEA have made all too clear, however, surmounting the obstacles to increased mineral production will be anything but easy. To begin with, launching new mining ventures can be extraordinarily expensive and entail numerous risks. Mining firms may be willing to invest billions of dollars in a country like Australia, where the legal framework is welcoming and where they can expect protection against future expropriation or war, but many promising ore sources lie in countries like the DRC, Myanmar, Peru, and Russia where such conditions hardly apply. For example, the current turmoil in Myanmar, a major producer of certain rare-earth elements, has already led to worries about their future availability and sparked a rise in prices.

Declining ore quality is also a concern. When it comes to mineral sites, this planet has been thoroughly scavenged for them, sometimes since the early Bronze Age, and many of the best deposits have long since been discovered and exploited. "In recent years, ore quality has continued to fall across a range of commodities," the IEA noted in its report on critical minerals and green technology. "For example, the average copper ore grade in Chile declined by 30% over the past 15 years. Extracting metal content from lower-grade ores requires more energy, exerting upward pressure on production costs, greenhouse gas emissions, and waste volumes."

In addition, extracting minerals from underground rock formations often entails the use of acids and other toxic substances and typically requires vast amounts of water, which are contaminated after use. This has become ever more of a problem since the enactment of environmental-protection legislation and the mobilization of local communities. In many parts of the world, as in Nevada when it comes to lithium, new mining and ore-processing efforts are going to encounter increasingly fierce local opposition. When, for example, the Lynas Corporation, an Australian firm, sought to evade Australia's environmental laws by shipping ores from its Mount Weld rare-earths mine to Malaysia for processing, local activists there mounted a protracted campaign to prevent it from doing so.

For Washington, perhaps no problem is more challenging, when it comes to the availability of critical materials for a green revolution, than this country's deteriorating relationship with Beijing. After all, China currently provides 70% of the world's rare-earth supplies and harbors significant deposits of other key minerals as well. No less significant, that country is responsible for the refining and processing of many key materials mined elsewhere. In fact, when it comes to mineral processing, the figures are astonishing. China may not produce significant amounts of cobalt or nickel, but it does account for approximately 65% of the world's processed cobalt and 35% of its processed nickel. And while China produces 11% of the world's lithium, it's responsible for nearly 60% of processed lithium. When it comes to rare-earth elements, however, China is dominant in a staggering way. Not only does it provide 60% of the world's raw materials, but nearly 90% of processed REEs.

To put the matter simply, there is no way the United States or other countries can undertake a massive transition from fossil fuels to a renewables-based economy without engaging economically with China. Undoubtedly, efforts will be made to reduce the degree of that reliance, but there's no realistic prospect of eliminating dependence on China for rare earths, lithium, and other key materials in the foreseeable future. If, in other words, the U.S. were to move from a modestly Cold-War-like stance toward Beijing to an even more hostile one, and if it were to engage in further Trumpian-style attempts to "decouple" its economy from that of the People's Republic, as advocated by many "China hawks" in Congress, there's no question about it: the Biden administration would have to abandon its plans for a green-energy future.

It's possible, of course, to imagine a future in which nations begin fighting over the world's supplies of critical minerals, just as they once fought over oil. At the same time, it's perfectly possible to conceive of a world in which countries like ours simply abandoned their plans for a green-energy future for lack of adequate raw materials and reverted to the oil wars of the past. On an already overheating planet, however, that would lead to a civilizational fate worse than death.

## C2: Avian Flu aka H5N1

### Over reliance on ineffective stockpiles makes H5N1 existential - Carr '21

Carr, Teresa (Teresa Carr is a Colorado-based investigative journalist and a senior contributor for Undark). "Could an Old Drug Protect against a New Pandemic? | Published by Journal of Health Economics and Outcomes Research." *Jheor.org*, 1 Oct. 2024, jheor.org/post/2706-could-an-old-drug-protect-against-a-new-pandemic. Accessed 26 Feb. 2025.\*ejs squad

Since reports emerged earlier this year that dairy cows across the country had been infected with H5N1 bird flu, the prospect that the virus could evolve to spark another pandemic has stoked serious concern. But unlike Covid-19, the flu is an old, well-known foe. And health authorities have reassured the public that the U.S. has squirreled away millions of doses of the flu medication oseltamivir, known under the brand name Tamiflu. As

health policy expert Leana S. Wen wrote in a Washington Post opinion piece, the drug “works against seasonal flu and is expected to work well against H5N1.” While **oseltamivir may help in cases of severe flu, some experts are concerned that the U.S. is banking far too much on a so-so drug while failing to prioritize research on new treatments**, Relatively few people have been **infected with bird flu**, so scientists must rely, in part, on **oseltamivir’s track record against seasonal flu to make educated guesses about how well the drug would work against H5N1**. But research shows that it **doesn’t work** particularly well **for** most people with **garden-variety flu** and **doesn’t keep people out of the hospital**. In fact, for standard-risk patients the **drug’s effectiveness has proved “kind of crappy,”** said Shira Doron, an infectious disease physician at Tufts Medical Center. And even if it is effective against H5N1, **“influenza strains are unpredictable in when they develop resistance,”** said infectious disease clinician and researcher Andrew Pavia, who advises government and professional organizations on **influenza and pandemic preparedness**. In other words, **what works today might not work tomorrow**. But promising alternatives are scarce, said Pavia. And largely because **antiviral flu drugs haven’t been terribly profitable for drug companies**, he said, there are **few treatments in the pipeline**. For standard-risk patients the drug’s effectiveness has proved “kind of crappy.” Both Doron and Pavia emphasized that the current risk to Americans — excluding farmworkers — from bird flu is low. At least so far, the disease appears to be hard to catch and rarely spreads from person to person. Since 2022, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has recorded only 15 probable cases. All but one were mild and involved farmworkers who were in direct contact with infected animals. However, **with a couple genetic shifts, the U.S. variant could evolve into a more virulent and widespread virus**. “We are vulnerable,” said Pavia. “And we should **have a deeper bench in reserve**.” Oseltamivir has a controversial past. Governments around the world have spent billions of dollars to stockpile the drug since the early 2000s based on evidence that it reduced the risk of serious complications such as pneumonia for people with seasonal flu. But as revealed in The BMJ’s open data campaign, and by reporting by The Guardian, and other outlets, scientists asserted that the drug’s manufacturer, Roche, had withheld unfavorable data. **Evidence of the drug’s benefit, they say, hinged on cherry-picked, mostly unpublished trials funded by Roche**. According to the BMJ, in 2013, after years of pressure from the journal and Cochrane, a not-for-profit organization that conducts systematic reviews of medical treatments and devices, Roche finally released the full set of data it had on oseltamivir. Findings from Cochrane’s updated review incorporating the tranche of new data did not inspire much confidence: **The drug shortened seasonal flu symptoms by about half a day for adults and a day for children, but didn’t reduce complications or keep people out of the hospital**. It also **increased the risk of nausea and vomiting**. “We are vulnerable. And we should have a deeper bench in reserve.” Canadian researchers also concluded that oseltamivir did not reduce hospitalizations in an analysis of 15 clinical trials published in JAMA Internal Medicine last year. Today, most experts agree that **the best use of the drug is for people who are seriously ill or are at higher risk for complications**. While there is little data from randomized clinical trials focused exclusively on that group, said Pavia, the overall weight of the evidence supports a significant benefit for high-risk or hospitalized patients. **There’s also no randomized clinical trial data on antivirals and H5N1**. “In the **absence of a randomized controlled clinical trial, I would never claim to guess what the effectiveness of that Tamiflu would be at preventing severe disease**, hospitalization, and death,” said Doron, who along with Pavia is a member of a working group dedicated to avian flu at the Infectious Diseases Society of America. But, she said, evidence from some observational studies suggests the drug could be lifesaving for people hospitalized with severe bird flu. In a 2010 study, for example, researchers used a global H5N1 registry to look at outcomes for 308 patients, about half of which got oseltamivir. The dataset wasn’t ideal — some information was missing, and treatment regimens varied. Still, after statistically adjusting for uncertainties, the researchers concluded that the drug cut the mortality rate by about half. **But over the last 14 years, the virus has continued to evolve**. One way to predict if oseltamivir will work against current variants is to look for specific **genomic sequences that indicate susceptibility to antiviral drugs**, said Benjamin Anderson, an assistant professor of environmental and global health at the University of Florida. It’s reassuring, he said, that a recent study of viruses isolated from cows and cats infected in the U.S. outbreak found no genetic mutations that would confer antiviral resistance. However, he added in an email, **“the influenza virus can mutate and we need to constantly [monitor] those changes to make sure resistance does not develop.”** In addition, to date **avian flu viruses have proved susceptible to oseltamivir and the same is true of the most recent strains**, according to research by St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital. Based on those laboratory findings, scientists have a **“pretty good” sense that oseltamivir would have some effectiveness against the infections caused by the current H5N1 strains**, said Anderson. Exactly how effective is an open question. If oseltamivir worked about as well against H5N1 as it does seasonal flu, it could potentially benefit high-risk, very ill patients; for others, the **drug wouldn’t necessarily do much to ease symptoms or keep them out of the hospital**. So far, the **U.S. has only seen mild H5N1 infections, which oseltamivir may not help**, said Doron. Still, oseltamivir is a safe drug, she said, and given the uncertainties over how severe a case of H5N1 could become, use of the antiviral makes sense. Public health authorities agree. The CDC advises **treating known and suspected cases with oseltamivir as soon as possible**. (The drug works best against seasonal flu when started within 48 hours of symptom onset.) As a preventive measure, the **agency also recommends treating close contacts of those who get infected**. Those recommendations come out of abundance of caution, said Pavia. “You **have a drug that is active in the test tube that makes a difference with other strains of influenza**,” he said. “So, it’s been given to patients who probably would recover well without it.” **If H5N1 were to evolve into a pandemic virus, public health recommendations would probably echo those for seasonal flu, prioritizing use of oseltamivir for patients at highest risk**, said Pavia. “Which, of course,” he said, “brings up the question of ‘Why don’t we have something better?’” **Oseltamivir is one of the best-studied antiviral flu treatments, but not the only one**. First approved as the brand name drug Tamiflu in 1999, oseltamivir belongs to a class of medications called neuraminidase inhibitors, which keep the virus from escaping infected cells and navigating to other cells. **The drugs target only one of the two types of proteins in Type A flus such as H5N1 and the seasonal flu, so they can’t completely neutralize the virus**, said Hui-Ling Yen, an influenza researcher in the School of Public Health at the University of Hong Kong. Two other neuraminidase inhibitors exist — an inhaled drug and an intravenous one — but neither has been proven to work against severe seasonal flu, so the CDC doesn’t typically recommend them for H5N1. **“Why don’t we have something better?” The newest flu drug on the market, baloxavir marboxil (also known as Xofluza), was approved in the U.S. in 2018 and works by blocking an enzyme that the virus uses to make copies of itself**. In terms of how quickly they alleviate symptoms, **oseltamivir and baloxavir are on par**, said Yen. But baloxavir is better at reducing the viral load — that is, the amount of virus circulating in the blood — she said, potentially shortening how long an infected person is contagious. **One of the biggest concerns with antivirals is that as flu viruses multiply, they can evolve to become resistant to treatments**. While such mutations have been rare with oseltamivir, studies show that they happen more often in people taking baloxavir, said Pavia. That **doesn’t have much of an effect on most people whose immune systems will clear the virus**, said Pavia. But it could pose a **problem for those with compromised immunity** such as **cancer patients** who could **harbor the resistant virus longer and possibly pass it on to others**. Should seasonal flu, H5N1, or any other variant develop resistance to oseltamivir and baloxavir, **providers and their patients would have extremely limited options**. “Suffice it to say that we’re vulnerable,” said Pavia. When it comes to H5N1, so far, the **analyses of U.S. strains have been reassuring**. “The prevalence of resistance mutations was very, very low,” he said. “But,” he added, **“it’s not zero.”** And Pavia said it’s not clear **what it would take for one of those mutations to catch hold**. Pavia pointed to the example of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes Covid-19, which developed **resistance to treatment with monoclonal (laboratory-made) antibodies within a matter of months each time researchers developed a new version**. One reason for the lack of alternatives is that **antivirals are tricky to develop**, said Anderson. Viruses **can’t replicate on their own but rely on hijacking a living cell’s inner workings to make copies of themselves**. That makes it hard to target a virus without harming the host cell. “Some **antivirals are pretty harsh**,” said Anderson. The goal is to **find something that works without really severe side effects**, he said. “For antivirals, it’s a much **harder thing to come up with**.” One of the biggest concerns with antivirals is that as **flu viruses multiply, they can evolve to**

**become resistant to treatments.** By comparison, it's easier to develop antibiotics to treat bacterial infections, he said. Bacteria are single-celled organisms that live on their own, and there are several approaches for taking them out that don't damage healthy cells. It would be **great to have a better flu drug**, said Pavia. **One that helps someone recover quickly, that still works well even if started after they've been sick for several days.** But **antivirals — expensive to develop and taken for a short time — aren't big money makers**, he said. Several factors **disincentivize** drug **companies from developing antivirals for future pandemics**, according to a 2023 Government Accountability Office report: It's **difficult to predict the timing and scale of demand for the drugs** and **developers prefer to focus on the existing market.** **Once a pandemic hits, political and social pressure to keep prices low limits profits.** And so, **devoting years and possibly billions of dollars to finding a new option hasn't been a priority for drug companies.** "Would it make enough money to justify that investment by a large pharma company that's doing very well, thank you, in other areas of the market?" asked Pavia. "Probably not." When it comes to preparing for the next pandemic, **public health authorities tend to focus what's available, rather than what's ideal**, said Anderson. "There's a **balance between what exists and then what needs to be developed.**" he said. "It's always easier to default to what exists." Currently, oseltamivir exists and is available. Although the exact contents of the U.S. Strategic National Stockpile are not publicly disclosed, federal officials said that **we have tens of millions of courses of oseltamivir as well as hundreds of thousands of courses of baloxavir.** Even if oseltamivir is not a sure-fire antiviral, it will likely have some effect, said Anderson. In a pandemic preparedness plan, he said, **"we're going to include that as part of one of our tools, as well as vaccination, as well as other things, other strategies that would be a part of that plan."** "There's a balance between what exists and then what needs to be developed. It's **always easier to default to what exists.**" As things stand, **Americans** could be caught **flatfooted in the face of pandemic of H5N1 or other form of flu**, said Pavia, who has consulted for the CDC on seasonal and pandemic influenza issues. What the country needs is more and better flu treatments that the government could stockpile before the next pandemic. But that **would require people to take the threat seriously**, he said, and "Congress being willing to invest in things that we might need but might never use." It would also, he added, require better incentives for pharmaceutical companies to develop novel antiviral treatments. Meanwhile, on Sept. 6, the CDC reported the first case in the U.S. outbreak without a known exposure to sick or infected animals. The Missouri patient, who had underlying medical conditions, was hospitalized and has since recovered according to the agency. "We don't know if the Missouri case is evidence of human to human transmission, Pavia wrote in response to a follow-up email on the development. "If it is, it could suggest that there is more undetected transmission out there." The big unknown is whether this case is an anomaly or portends wider spread of the disease. If America, and possibly the world, is on the cusp of a major H5N1 outbreak, then certainly society would be better served with a more robust arsenal of antivirals, said Pavia — "perhaps sooner rather than later."

## Gen AI is assisting drug discovery for H5N1 - Pasquini '24

Pasquini, Nina (Nina Pasquini is a metro intern for The News & Observer. She is a graduate of Harvard University). "Using Generative AI to Predict Viral Mutations and Develop Vaccines | Harvard Magazine." *Harvard Magazine*, 8 Oct. 2024, www.harvardmagazine.com/2024/11/ai-medicine-predicting-viral-evolution-vaccines. Accessed 26 Feb. 2025.//ejs squad

In medicine, **"There's a quiet revolution happening at the moment,"** says professor of systems biology Debora Marks. Most **people** have **become familiar with artificial intelligence through chatbots such as ChatGPT**, which **function by predicting the next word in a sequence based on patterns learned from vast amounts of Internet text.** But **researchers at Harvard Medical School** are **applying generative AI's predictive capabilities to biological and evolutionary data, creating models that can predict viral evolution, design never-before-seen proteins, and anticipate the effects of genetic mutations.** "The **coming together** of these **new AI methods** with the **power of evolutionary information and biological data.**" Marks says, "is giving us an opportunity to do things that were really closed doors before." Researchers in Marks's lab made a breakthrough in the use of AI to study biological data in 2021, **when they developed EVE, short for Evolutionary model of Variant Effect. They trained EVE to detect patterns of genetic variation across the genomes of hundreds of thousands of nonhuman species—then to predict, based on that data, whether similar human genetic mutations would cause disease.** This addressed a longstanding challenge in biological research: though scientists have developed increasingly advanced technology for sequencing human genomes, they have struggled to discern the significance of many of the genetic variations they identified. Which are benign, and which are disease-causing? In a 2021 paper, Marks and colleagues found that EVE could make that distinction in genes related to conditions such as cancer and heart rhythm disorders. During the COVID pandemic, Marks and her lab colleagues realized this technology could also help them respond to the quickly evolving virus. They adapted EVE to create EVEscape, a tool designed to predict viral variants before they emerge. EVEscape consists of two parts: an AI model trained on evolutionary sequences—which reveal how similar viruses have evolved in the past—and biological and structural information about the current virus. Had EVEscape been used at the beginning of the pandemic, lab members reported in a 2023 paper, it **would have anticipated the most frequent mutations and the most consequential variants of the COVID virus that actually developed and spread.** **This work is a major break from traditional vaccine and therapeutic design, which relies on either costly and slow experiments based on animal testing, or data generated during a disease outbreak in humans.** The limitations of the traditional approach became evident during the pandemic, says Noor Youssef, a researcher who works with Marks. "We've **had to resort to these annual boosters, where every year we're getting a new vaccine that matches the current strain,**" she says. "What these **generative models allow us to do** is see ahead of time where the virus is going to evolve, so you can **make a vaccine that is future-proof—responsive to both current and potential future variants.**" Marks and her colleagues have modified EVEscape to create EVEvax, which designs vaccines tailored to predicted mutations, and **are using this technology to develop a vaccine for sarbecovirus**, the subgenus that includes SARS-Cov-2. The new vaccine would be effective against COVID and other commonly circulating coronaviruses that cause the common cold. **They have also received funding** from Project CEPI (the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations) **to develop a long-lasting vaccine for bird flu.** That disease hasn't yet spread widely in humans—but **when it does, it will likely evolve rapidly to overcome human immunity.** The scientists aim to develop a vaccine responsive to **those future changes as early as next spring.** "There are already FDA-approved vaccines in the freezer, **based on the strains from a few years ago.**" Youssef says. But with the help of EVEscape, "You can have something in the freezer that's going to work for the strains that are around now—but **also work against things that might arise in the future.**" Generative AI has also enabled researchers to **design new proteins, such as antibodies that attack certain viral mutations.** Using the AI technology from EVE and EVEscape, the Marks lab **developed AI models that are trained on protein sequences.** These models **generate new sequences tailored to designated goals—and also assess whether those predicted sequences will result in functional proteins.** Similarly, when ChatGPT is trained on text data, it **not only learns what words are associated with each other, but also the structure of language: how grammar rules constrain the shape of its outputs.** Like **large language models, AI protein design models are "going to try to understand the biochemical constraints that underpin the function of those proteins."** says Pascal Notin, a machine-learning specialist in the Marks lab. In addition to creating new virus-specific antibodies, these protein-design models can be used to combat genetic diseases that cause a loss or malfunction of enzymes, proteins that catalyze biochemical reactions and enable the body to break down biological waste. Patients with such conditions are typically treated with enzyme replacement therapy (ERT); the **AI tools can help design more stable, effective enzymes for such treatment.** Marks says these models signal a fundamental shift in how research is conducted because, for the first time, "we've **been able to make predictions without [the**



preliminary experimentation] process”—predictions that can then be tested and refined by more focused experiments. Researchers have long had access to the data on which such models are trained: the billions of DNA and RNA sequences that make up the genomes of hundreds of thousands of species and viral strains. But this trove of data was simply too large for individuals to fully parse. By detecting patterns and making predictions, generative AI has enabled scientists to unlock that data's value. “Evolutionary information, human population sequencing, and viral sequencing.” Marks emphasizes, “are much more powerful than anybody thought they would be.”

## And, drug discovery happens at universities - Dror ‘22

Dror, Ron (Computer Science and, by courtesy, Molecular and Cellular Physiology and Structural Biology) “About.” AI for Structure-Based Drug Discovery, Stanford University, 2024, aisbdd.stanford.edu/about. Accessed 22 Feb. 2025 //ejs squad

Dramatic recent progress in both artificial intelligence and structural biology has created tremendous opportunities for using machine learning methods not only to predict three-dimensional structures of drug targets but also to design safer, more effective drugs. Since 2015, multiple research groups at Stanford have been developing machine learning methods to leverage structure for the design of both biologics and small-molecule therapeutics. Current research directions include prediction of ligand binding poses, affinities, functional effects, and off-target properties; virtual screening; generative models for drug candidates; methods to achieve selectivity; and design of antibodies to optimize their developability. The Artificial Intelligence for Structure-Based Drug

Discovery program provides opportunities for exchange of ideas between Stanford researchers. Developing groundbreaking machine learning methods that leverage molecular structure and industry scientists who wish to apply such methods to bring better drugs to the market efficiently. In order to maximize the real-world impact of their research, Stanford researchers welcome input from industry partners—for example, on which problems to tackle or which software features to add. Industry partners also benefit through exposure to cutting-edge research, a forum to ask questions about deployment of algorithms and software, and opportunities to network with both Stanford researchers and other industry partners.

## And, the next pandemic will come from the U.S. - Weintraub ‘23

Weintraub 23 [Karen Weintraub (National Editor that covers a wide range of medical issues, including infectious diseases, genetics, neuroscience and cancer), 22-7-2023, The next pandemic could spring from the US meat supply, new report finds, <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/health/2023/07/22/deadly-covid-style-pandemic-could-easily-start-in-us-report-finds/70442786007/>] ejs squad

The next global pandemic could come from the United States. That's the sobering message of a report from Harvard Law School and New York University, examining how humans, livestock and wild animals interact here. Many familiar – and terrifying diseases – originated in animals, including HIV/AIDS, Ebola, Zika, pandemic flu and COVID-19. Some started in other countries, typically on the African or Asian continents. These so-called zoonotic diseases are often blamed on poor hygiene, lack of government oversight, or unsafe practices in those places. While Americans often think “it couldn't happen here,” regulations are so loose and interactions so frequent, researchers found, that a virus or another contagious bug could easily jump from animals to people in the U.S., sparking a deadly outbreak. “There really is this false sense of security and unfounded belief that zoonotic disease is something that happens elsewhere,” said Ann Linder, one of the report's lead authors and associate director of policy and research with the Brooks McCormick Jr. Animal Law & Policy Program at Harvard Law School. “In fact, I think we're more vulnerable than ever in many ways.” The report, also led by NYU's Center for Environmental and Animal Protection, highlights several areas of vulnerability, including commercial farms where millions of livestock come into close contact with each other and their handlers; the wild animal trade in which animals are imported with few or no health checks; and the fur trade in which minks and other animals are bred for their coats, with little safety oversight. “Through globalization, we've erased seas and mountains and other natural boundaries of disease,” said Linder, an expert in law and animal policy. “We're mixing animals and pathogens across different continents and circulating at a dizzying and ever-increasing pace.” About 10 billion land animals are raised in the U.S., a number which is increasing by about 200 million a year, according to the report. Pigs and poultry, for instance, are raised in higher numbers in the United States than almost anywhere else in the world, the report found, and are the most likely vectors for a particularly lethal outbreak of the flu. Industry representatives were quick to defend the safety of their practices. “According to the CDC, the likelihood of spreading an avian disease to a human in the United States is extremely rare,” Ashley Peterson, National Chicken Council senior vice president of scientific and regulatory affairs, said in an emailed statement. A pork industry group did not immediately return a request for comment. Workers on pig and poultry farms are particularly vulnerable because of a lack of regulations protecting them, said Delcianna Winders, an associate professor of law and director of the Animal Law and Policy Institute at Vermont Law and Graduate School in Royalton. “There is virtually no regulation of on-farm raising of animals. There's limited regulation of the slaughterhouse but it is extremely inadequate and it's getting worse,” said Winders, who was not involved in the report, but researches a similar area. “Right now, the federal government is deregulating slaughter, rather than increasing oversight.” Because the mink and larger fur industry does not produce food, it is even less regulated, Linder said. A different study published last week in “Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,” found “that mink, more so than any other farmed species, pose a risk for the emergence of future disease outbreaks and the evolution of future pandemics.” Other studies have shown that mink are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, and outbreaks were detected on 18 American mink farms during the pandemic's first two years. At least four Americans, two of whom worked on mink farms, were believed to have been infected by the animals. Challis Hobbs, Executive Director of the Fur Commission USA, a trade group, said “we unequivocally assert our commitment to the health and safety of our animals, our workforce, and the communities in which we operate.” The industry, working with the federal government and state agencies, vaccinated 95% of the U.S. mink population beginning summer 2021, he said. The cost was entirely covered by the mink farmers, who also are helping to fund a SARS-CoV-2 surveillance project on mink farms. “Despite claims from animal rights advocates,” he said, “there is no significant threat to the general public from U.S. farmed mink. About 220 million live wild animals are imported into the United States every year for pets and other purposes, many without health or safety checks, Linder said. If someone wants to bring a dog or cat into this country, there's a process, Linder said. “But if I'm a wildlife importer and I want to bring in 100 wild mammals from South America, I can do that with very little regulation of any kind.” Perhaps the earliest Ebola case, which sparked the outbreak in West Africa from 2013 to 2016, was blamed on bush meat. It's illegal to import bush meat to the United States, but it's not illegal to import the same live animals that bush meat comes from, she said. “There are wide gaps.” Both Linder and Winders also highlighted the lack of industry transparency. “So much of this is hidden from public view,” Winders said. “There's so much we don't know because we're not monitoring.” Winders said she's concerned about how much money the government spends subsidizing and protecting industries she believes put the American public at risk. She hopes Congress will take advantage of this year's reenactment of the Farm Bill to limit subsidies and impose new safety regulations on animal industries. “Don't we see the writing on the wall?” Winders asked. “Scientists are telling us there's a looming threat of a zoonotic outbreak that could make COVID look like a cakewalk, and we're still just ignoring it, even after what we've gone through over the last couple of years.”

## And, pandemics happen every 5 years - 2025 is the brink - COVID started EXACTLY 5 YEARS AGO. - Davies ‘20

[Sally Davies (Dame Sally Davies is master of Trinity College, Cambridge, and a former chief medical officer for England), former chief medical officer for England and master of Trinity College, Cambridge, 9-26-2020, “The next pandemic is on its way. Coronavirus must help us prepare for it”, <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/sep/26/next-pandemic-coronavirus-prepare/>] // ejs squad  
We are at a crossroads. As the impacts of Covid-19 continue the world over and the second wave moves through Europe, we have a choice to make. Will we simply respond to the here and now, or do we take a moment to stop, look up and see beyond the horizon of this pandemic towards the next one? Because there will be a next one. Covid-19 is neither the first nor the last health emergency we

will face. My fellow **scientists estimate that we will face a pandemic** or health emergency **at least once every five years from here on. There is a chance that this is the optimistic scenario. The reality could be far worse.** Recognising this, **we can, and must, say “never again”**. We must do better to identify the next health threat, respond to that threat before it becomes an epidemic or pandemic, and if it does, recover in a way that does not exacerbate health, economic and social inequalities.

## These developments prevent extinction - Suzuki ‘21

**Suzuki 21** [Tatsujiro Suzuki 21, Director and Professor at the Research Center for Nuclear Weapons Abolition, Nagasaki University, Former Vice Chairman of Japan Atomic Energy Commission, et al, Nagasaki University, 1-20-2021, Pandemic Futures and Nuclear Weapon Risks: The Nagasaki 75th Anniversary pandemic-nuclear nexus scenarios final report, Taylor & Francis, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/25751654.2021.1890867>] //ejs squad

The Challenge: Multiple Existential Threats **The relationship between pandemics and war is as long as human history.** Past **pandemics have set the scene for wars by weakening societies**, undermining resilience, **and exacerbating civil and inter-state conflict**. Other disease outbreaks have erupted during wars, in part due to the appalling public health and battlefield conditions resulting from war, in turn sowing the seeds for new conflicts. In the post-Cold War era, **pandemics have spread with unprecedented speed due to increased mobility created by globalization**, especially between urbanized areas. Although there are positive signs that scientific advances and rapid innovation can help us manage pandemics, it is likely that deadly infectious viruses will be a challenge for years to come. The **COVID-19** is the most demonic pandemic threat in modern history. It has erupted at a juncture of other existential global threats, most importantly, accelerating climate change and resurgent nuclear threat-making. The most important issue, therefore, is how the coronavirus (and future pandemics) will **increase** or decrease **the risks** associated with these twin threats, climate change effects, and the next use of nuclear weapons in war.<sup>5</sup> **Today, the nine nuclear weapons arsenals not only can annihilate hundreds of cities, but also cause nuclear winter and mass starvation of a billion or more people, if not the entire human species.** Concurrently, climate change is enveloping the planet with more frequent and intense storms, accelerating sea level rise, and advancing rapid ecological change, expressed in unprecedented forest fires across the world. Already stretched to a breaking point in many countries, the current pandemic may overcome resilience to the point of near or actual collapse of social, economic, and political order. In this extraordinary moment, it is timely to reflect on the existence and possible uses of weapons of mass destruction under pandemic conditions – most importantly, nuclear weapons, but also chemical and biological weapons. Moments of **extreme crisis** and vulnerability can **prompt aggressive** and counterintuitive **actions that** in turn may **destabilize** already **precariously balanced threat systems**, underpinned by **conventional and nuclear weapons**, as well as the **threat of weaponized chemical and biological technologies**. Consequently, **the risk of** the use of weapons of mass destruction (WMD), especially **nuclear weapons, increases** at such times, possibly **sharply**. The COVID-19 pandemic is clearly driving massive, rapid, and unpredictable changes that will redefine every aspect of the human condition, including WMD – just as the world wars of the first half of the 20th century led to a revolution in international affairs and entirely new ways of organizing societies, economies, and international relations, in part based on nuclear weapons and their threatened use. In a world reshaped by pandemics, nuclear weapons – as well as correlated non-nuclear WMD, nuclear alliances, “deterrence” doctrines, operational and declaratory policies, nuclear extended deterrence, organizational practices, and the existential risks posed by retaining these capabilities – are all up for redefinition. **A pandemic** has potential to **destabilize a nuclear-prone conflict by incapacitating** the supreme **nuclear** commander or **commanders who** have to **issue nuclear strike orders, creating uncertainty** as to who is in charge, how to handle nuclear mistakes (such as errors, accidents, technological failures, and entanglement with conventional operations gone awry), **and opening a brief opportunity for a first strike** at a time when the COVID-infected state may not be able to retaliate efficiently – or at all – due to leadership confusion. In some nuclear-laden conflicts, **a state might use a pandemic as a cover for** political or military **provocation**s in the belief that the adversary is **distracted** and partly **disabled by the pandemic, increasing the risk of war in a nuclear-prone conflict**. At the same time, a pandemic may lead nuclear armed states to increase the isolation and sanctions against a nuclear adversary, making it even harder to stop the spread of the disease, in turn creating a pandemic reservoir and transmission risk back to the nuclear armed state or its allies. In principle, the common threat of the pandemic might induce nuclear-armed states to reduce the tension in a nuclear-prone conflict and thereby the risk of nuclear war. It may cause nuclear adversaries or their umbrella states to seek to resolve conflicts in a cooperative and collaborative manner by creating habits of communication, engagement, and mutual learning that come into play in the nuclear-military sphere. For example, militaries may cooperate to control pandemic transmission, including by working together against criminal-terrorist non-state actors that are trafficking people or by joining forces to ensure that a new pathogen is not developed as a bioweapon. **To date, however, the COVID-19 pandemic has increased the isolation of some nuclear-armed states and provided a textbook case of the failure of states to cooperate to overcome the pandemic. Borders have slammed shut, trade shut down, and budgets blown out,** creating enormous pressure to focus on immediate domestic priorities. **Foreign policies have become markedly more nationalistic.** Dependence on nuclear weapons may increase as states seek to buttress a global re-spatialization<sup>6</sup> of all dimensions of human interaction at all levels to manage pandemics. The effect of nuclear threats on leaders may make it less likely – or even impossible – to achieve the kind of concert at a global level needed to respond to and administer an effective vaccine, making it harder and even impossible to revert to pre-pandemic international relations. The result is that some **states may proliferate** their own **nuclear weapons**, further reinforcing the spiral of conflicts contained by nuclear threat, **with cascading effects on the risk of nuclear war**.

## Extinction. - Starr ‘15

Steven **Starr 15**, 2/28/2015, (Steven Starr is an Associate member of the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation and has been published by the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists). Starr is also an expert on the environmental consequences of nuclear war, Nuclear War: An Unrecognized Mass Extinction Event Waiting to Happen, Symposium: The Dynamics of Possible Nuclear Extinction, <https://rational.org/radiation/NuclearExtinction/StevenStarr022815.html>) //ejs squad

A war fought with 21st century strategic **nuclear weapons would be more than just a great catastrophe in human history. If we allow it to happen, such a war would be a mass extinction event that ends human history.** There is a profound difference between extinction and “an unprecedented disaster,” or even “the end of civilization,” because even after such an immense catastrophe, human life would go on.

But extinction, by definition, is an event of utter finality, and a nuclear war that could cause human extinction should really be considered as the ultimate criminal act. It certainly would be the crime to end all crimes.

The world’s leading climatologists now tell us that nuclear war threatens our continued existence as a species. Their studies predict that **a large nuclear war, especially one fought with strategic nuclear weapons, would create a post-war environment in** which for many years it would be **too cold** and dark **to**

even **grow food**. Their findings make it clear that not only **humans**, but most large animals and many other forms of complex life **would likely vanish forever** in a nuclear darkness of our own making.

The environmental consequences of nuclear war would attack the ecological support systems of life at every level. Radioactive fallout

## **Independently, Vaccines facilitate diplomacy---otherwise extinction.**

**Hotez 21**, MD, PhD, is dean of the National School of Tropical Medicine and professor of pediatrics, molecular virology, and microbiology at Baylor College of Medicine, where he is also the codirector of the Texas Children's Center for Vaccine Development, also university professor of biology at Baylor University, faculty fellow at the Hagler Institute for Advanced Study at Texas A&M University, fellow in disease and poverty at the Baker Institute for Public Policy at Rice University, and senior fellow at the Scowcroft Institute of International Affairs at Texas A&M University (Peter J., 2021, "1. A New Post-2015 Urgency," and "2. A Cold War Legacy," Preventing the next Pandemic: Vaccine Diplomacy in a Time of Anti-Science, Johns Hopkins University Press, pp. 12–33)//e/s squad

### Global Health Diplomacy

Each visit with Heloisa reinforced my conviction that vaccine diplomacy could one day hold a special place in modern society. In our post-2015 world, **we need vaccine diplomacy more than ever**. Global infectious **diseases have taken an unexpected turn for the worse**. Owing to breakdowns in health infrastructure from **war and instability**, together with other modern twenty-first-century forces, infectious diseases **once thought** to be on their way out, or even **gone, are now back**. The **COVID-19 pandemic is testing international relations** on an unprecedented level. **Solving these and future infectious disease public health crises will require us to integrate** the science of tackling global infections with these new **social and physical determinants**: poverty, **war, political instability, human migrations, urbanization**, and anti-science. In turn, navigating such troubled waters will require new approaches **linking biomedical and social sciences**, including **political science and foreign policy**.

In my two years in the Obama administration as US science envoy, I came to realize that understanding the biomedical science, the vaccinology, was essential but not always sufficient to solve issues related to building vaccine infrastructures across nations. This was especially true in a complicated space like the Middle East, where deep-seated tribal and Sunni-Shia rivalries continuously threw up roadblocks—often in interesting and unexpected ways. It became apparent that building vaccines, expanding vaccine coverage, and tackling NTDs requires integrating new types of knowledge, including skills related to diplomacy. In some ways, this might bear some resemblance to what Sabin achieved in Cuba and the USSR (okay, maybe not Brazil!) in the 1960s, but widening the tent to include both scientists and nonscientists. To achieve this, I suggested a new framework of vaccine diplomacy that connects political science, philosophy, and foreign policy to the most powerful life science technology ever invented—vaccines.

Before describing and defining vaccine diplomacy, I think it is helpful to first provide a broader understanding of how global health in general is linked to international relations and solving disease problems on a large scale [2]. Some might say it began as an early version of quarantine during the 1300s, when laws were implemented to prevent plague originating in Asia Minor from entering Dubrovnik on Croatia's Adriatic coast—or much later, starting in the 1850s, when international sanitary conferences were held in Europe to prevent cholera, plague, and other pandemic infectious disease threats from spreading [2]. Then, in the early twentieth century, the Office International d'Hygiène Publique was created in Paris, as well as a health organization linked to the League of Nations [3]. In parallel, the nations in the Western Hemisphere also established a Pan American Sanitary Bureau, later named the Pan American Health Organization, which became the regional office of WHO in the Americas. The actual World Health Organization itself was established in the aftermath of World War II, following the formation of the UN. The WHO's constitution was enacted on April 7, 1948, now designated as World Health Day. Almost twenty years later, the WHO embarked on the eradication of smallpox through a global vaccination campaign.

Global health diplomacy rapidly accelerated after promulgation of the UN's Millennium Development Goals, first in 2005, with a revised set of International Health Regulations (IHR), and then in 2007, after the ministers of health of seven nations connected global health to foreign policy through an Oslo Ministerial Declaration [2]. IHR, also known as IHR (2005), is an agreement between all WHO member states focused on global health security, especially for the detection and assessment of major public health events and for strengthening disease control efforts at national entry points, such as seaports and airports. A key driver of the IHR (2005) was the 2003 pandemic of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) that resulted in more than 8,000 cases, with roughly 10% mortality [4]. The SARS pandemic also severely affected the economies of Hong Kong and Toronto, Canada, and were a wake-up call for the disruptive power of lethal epidemics. These initiatives were later strengthened in 2019 following the Ebola epidemic in DR Congo and ultimately were called on to respond to COVID-19 the following year. In this context, my former Yale colleague, Ilona Kickbusch, defines global health diplomacy as a system of global governance in health, while Rebecca Katz, a colleague and former student now at Georgetown University, provided an operational definition. She refers to it as a framework to include treaties between nations—such as IHR, or recognized international partnerships with UN international organizations, Gavi, or global partnerships involving the Gates Foundation or other non-state actors [2].

### Vaccine Diplomacy

Throughout modern history, **vaccines have surpassed all other biotechnologies in terms of their impact on global public health**. Because of vaccines, smallpox was eradicated, and polio has been driven to near global elimination, while measles deaths have declined more than 90%, and Haemophilus influenzae type b meningitis is now a disease of the past in the United States and elsewhere.

I define one part of vaccine diplomacy as a subset or specific aspect of global health diplomacy in which large-scale vaccine delivery is employed as a humanitarian intervention, often led by one or more of the UN agencies, most notably Gavi, UNICEF, and WHO, or potentially a nongovernmental development organization [2]. Examples might include emergency cholera or Ebola vaccinations during outbreaks in Africa, measles vaccination

campaigns linked to the Venezuelan diaspora in Brazil or Colombia, or polio eradication campaigns in the conflict areas of Afghanistan, Pakistan, or the Middle East. Other aspects of vaccine diplomacy relate to vaccine access during pandemics, such as efforts to ensure equitable delivery of a vaccine to combat influenza, especially during an epidemic or even a pandemic situation.

Another critical element of vaccine diplomacy includes the development or refinement of new vaccines achieved jointly between scientists of at least two nations. Rather than a UN agency or nongovernmental development organization, the actual scientists lead both the vaccine science and diplomacy [2]. It is especially relevant that scientists from nations in opposition or even outright conflict can work in research organizations, or that they are able to work together and engage in collaborations under conditions of political instability or stress. Under this definition, vaccine diplomacy reached its full expression during a 20-year period of the Cold War between the United States and Soviet Union that began around the time of the Sputnik satellite launch and mostly ended in 1977 with the eradication of smallpox [5]. In my role as US science envoy, I worked to resurrect this vaccine science diplomacy while collaborating with scientists from Muslim-majority countries of the Middle East and North Africa [6].

Do vaccines really deserve their own designation for a special type of diplomacy? Yes, I believe so, especially when we consider that between the past century and this one vaccines have saved hundreds of millions of lives [2]. In this sense, the technology of vaccines and their widespread delivery represent our most potent counterforce to war and political instability in modern times. Vaccines represent not only life-saving technologies and unparalleled instruments for reducing human suffering, but they also serve as potent vehicles for promoting international peace and prosperity. They are humankind's single greatest invention.

#### A Brief History of Vaccine Diplomacy

The history of vaccine diplomacy traces an interesting narrative parallel to the history of the vaccines themselves. It started with the British physician Edward Jenner, who in the late 1700s developed the first and original smallpox vaccine. Indeed the word vacca, Latin for “cow,” refers to the fact that the attenuated virus used in the vaccine derived from cows infected with the cowpox virus. However, a more recent analysis questions the true origins of the virus that Jenner actually used, which might have been horse pox, or even another virus entirely, designated simply as “vaccinia” [7]. Jenner's smallpox vaccine had an immediate impact on public health in England, and it was transported across the Atlantic Ocean to America, where Thomas Jefferson himself conducted vaccine trials in and around Virginia. When he commissioned Meriwether Lewis and William Clark for their expedition a year after the Louisiana Purchase in 1803, Jefferson either encouraged or arranged for them to carry the vaccine into the frontier [2]. Back then, smallpox was devastating Native American populations in the Northern Plains, so the vaccine was potentially a gesture of peace or goodwill. Unfortunately, some historians report that the vaccine preparation degraded to a point where it was never actually used.

In Europe, both England and France celebrated and honored Jenner's achievements despite increasing hostilities between the two nations. In the period following the French Revolution and after Napoleon became military dictator of France in 1799, Britain had become increasingly concerned about his armies expanding across Europe and his efforts to stop European nations from trading with England. Finally, in 1803, Britain declared war on France, beginning with a naval blockade of the country. Historic battles at Austerlitz and Trafalgar ensued. However, Jenner's reputation and veneration as the first vaccine scientist had grown to such a point that he was asked to write letters (and possibly engage in other activities) mediating the releases or exchanges of prisoners [2]. For example, in a letter to the French National Institute of Health, he asserted that “the sciences are never at war.” In turn, Napoleon (or some say Empress Josephine) declared, “Jenner—we can't refuse that man anything” [2]. Ultimately, the Napoleonic wars ended with Napoleon's defeat at Waterloo, the last time France and England went to war.

These vignettes highlight a future paradigm that subsequently held for the next 200 years—namely, (1) the immediate recognition of the impact of a vaccine as a highly valued technology, and (2) the enormous scientific and professional stature of vaccinologists—vaccine scientists and vaccine developers. That is, until the modern-day anti-vaccine movement began to target us beginning in the early 2000s. An elusive third element, although one not as straightforward and tangible, also attaches to vaccines: the potential for vaccines to both prevent diseases arising out of conflict or twenty-first-century forces, and in some cases, to directly address the actual social determinants. For example, Jenner's vaccine was itself employed as an instrument of peace during the Napoleonic wars, creating a novel thread through modern history. When another renowned Frenchman, Louis Pasteur, developed the next few vaccines in the mid-1800s, he also used his stature to launch a network of Pasteur Institutes across the Francophone world, including North Africa and Southeast Asia, which initially focused on reproducing Pasteur's method to prepare and deliver the first rabies vaccine. Echoing Jenner's comments, Pasteur in an 1888 speech at the founding of the Institut Pasteur in Paris remarked that “science has no country, because knowledge belongs to humanity and is the torch which illuminates the world” [2].

The Cold War was a 45-year period of political hostilities between the United States and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics that began after World War II and divided much of the globe into two major spheres of influence. Ironically, it became the signature period that generated the fullest expression of vaccine diplomacy. Two enemies put aside their animosities in order to collaborate on the development and testing of the oral polio vaccine, which is now leading to its global elimination or eradication. This is an extraordinary story that few people outside the vaccine world know about. The 1957 launch of the Sputnik satellite was a key moment in American history, when the nation feared falling behind the Soviets in mastery of both space and missile technology. It became a dark chapter in US history when—following on the heels of the “red scare” that resulted from the Soviet annexation of eastern Europe, the Berlin blockade, and our proxy war with China in Korea—we became vigilant, even hyper-vigilant, for any signs of Communist presence on our soil.

Rebuttal:

A2 C1:

## Legal Consequences Deter Crimes

**Johnson 19** [Ben Johnson a legislative analyst for Minnesota House Research, "Do Criminal Laws Deter Crime? Deterrence Theory in Criminal Justice Policy: A Primer", January 2019, MN House Research, <https://www.house.mn.gov/hrd/pubs/deterrence.pdf>, Accessed 03/25/2025] //beta squad

"Governments seek to protect citizens in a variety of ways. Regulations like health inspections, license requirements, and building codes exist to assure the general public that businesses, professionals, and buildings meet a basic level of expertise or safety. Criminal laws, in part, exist to create some assurance that people are unlikely to harm one another, or take property that belongs to someone else. When people believe they will be caught and punished, they are less likely to commit crimes. That is, **an appropriate level of punishment coupled with a high likelihood of being caught** is likely to **deter** some potential criminals. Legislators can consider those two prongs, certainty and severity of punishment, in crafting legislation to deter **crime**. Deterrence is not the only reason for significant penalties. Criminal laws indicate a community's morals and values, restrain potentially violent offenders, and impose punishment for actions that society finds reprehensible. There may be strong policy justifications for increasing penalties based on those considerations. But, if the goal of a policy change is to deter crime, **research suggests that an increase in the likelihood of being caught has a greater deterrent effect** than an increase in the potential penalty."

## Deepfake Detectors Improving

**Lyu 24** [Siwei Lyu, Siwei Lyu is an Empire Innovation Professor at the Department of Computer Science and Engineering and the founding Director of UB Media Forensic Lab (UB MDFL) of University at Buffalo, State University of New York "Deepfake detection improves when using algorithms that are more aware of demographic diversity", 04/16/2024, The Conversation, <https://theconversation.com/deepfake-detection-improves-when-using-algorithms-that-are-more-aware-of-demographic-diversity-226061>, Accessed 03/26/2025] //beta squad

"To do so, we used a large dataset of facial forgeries that lets researchers like us train our deep-learning approaches. We built our work around the state-of-the-art Xception detection algorithm, which is a **widely used foundation for deepfake detection systems and can detect deepfakes with an accuracy of 91.5%**. We **created two separate deepfake detection methods intended to encourage fairness**. One was focused on making the algorithm more aware of demographic diversity by labeling datasets by gender and race to minimize errors among underrepresented groups. The other aimed to improve fairness without relying on demographic labels by focusing instead on features not visible to the human eye. It turns out the **first method** worked best. It **increased accuracy rates from the 91.5% baseline to 94.17%**, which was a bigger increase than our second method as well as several others we tested. Moreover, it increased accuracy while enhancing fairness, which was our main focus. We believe fairness and accuracy are crucial if the public is to accept artificial intelligence technology. When large language models like ChatGPT "hallucinate," they can perpetuate erroneous information. This affects public trust and safety. Likewise, deepfake images and videos can undermine the adoption of AI if they cannot be quickly and accurately detected. Improving the fairness of these detection algorithms so that certain demographic groups aren't disproportionately harmed by them is a key aspect to this.

## AI literacy in Education

**Bozkurt 24** [Aras Bozkurt is a researcher and faculty member at Anadolu University, Türkiye, 8-28-2024, "Why Generative AI Literacy, Why Now and Why it Matters in the Educational Landscape? Kings, Queens and GenAI Dragons", Open Praxis, <https://openpraxis.org/articles/10.55982/openpraxis.16.3.739>] DOA: 03-05-2025] //beta squad

**The rapid emergence of Generative Artificial Intelligence** (Generative AI) has fundamentally **transformed the educational landscape, presenting both profound opportunities and significant challenges. As a powerful and evolving digital creature, generative AI requires a literacy** (GenAI literacy) **that goes beyond mere basic understanding**, requiring a comprehensive approach that integrates theoretical knowledge, practical skills, and deep critical reflection. This paper argues that **GenAI literacy is crucial for surviving the complexities of human-machine interaction and properly leveraging this technology, especially in educational settings.** The proposed 3wAI Framework—encompassing the dimensions of Know What, Know How, and Know Why—**provides a structured yet adaptable model for cultivating GenAI literacy.** Know What focuses on the foundational knowledge of AI, including its definitions, capacities, and decision-making



processes. Know How emphasizes the practical application of AI, guiding users in leveraging AI to solve problems, innovate, and drive positive societal change. Know Why addresses the critical ethical and philosophical considerations, urging users to prioritize responsible AI use, advocate for equity and social justice, and critically assess the implications of AI technologies.

Introduction: Dracarys

**Generative AI** (GenAI) can be seen as a mythical creature, reminiscent of the dragons of ancient tales, which has only recently hatched with the emergence of generative AI at the end of 2022. This powerful digital creature, like a dragon, possesses a body forged from computer processors and a soul woven from intricate algorithms. However, as with any newborn creature, we do not yet know whether its nature will be good or bad. As a human-made technology, its character and nature will be shaped not only by the features it possesses but also by the competences and skills with which we use it. Thus, our concern should not only be with the potential power of generative AI but also with the intentions and purposes of those who control it—those who ride and guide this digital dragon.

From this perspective, the concept of GenAI literacy becomes utmost critical and crucial in the broader context of human-machine interaction, particularly in the interaction between humans and generative AI. GenAI literacy encompasses a set of competencies and skills that determine how effectively, efficiently, competently, and responsibly the “kings and queens”—the drivers of these dragons—will ride their digital creatures. Just as dragon fire, or Dracarys, can be used to maintain peace or cause destruction, GenAI literacy involves being a critical and responsible user or driver of this powerful digital creature.

Ultimately, unlike other technologies, generative AI represents a form of technology that can learn, unlearn, and relearn. Its algorithms will be shaped by observing and imitating human behavior—by learning how we, the dragon riders, think and act. Therefore, GenAI literacy is not merely a concept to be defined but should be regarded as a living, evolving notion that continually updates itself in response to new developments.

Current state of the art in the generative AI landscape

The pervasive integration of both generic AI and generative AI into our daily lives has highlighted the need for effective utilization of these technologies (Chiu et al., 2024; Kong et al., 2024; Laupichler et al., 2022; Sperling et al., 2024; Tili et al., 2023). The way we integrate these technologies into our lives, and the incidence and intensity with which we use them, has proven the significance of GenAI literacy (Bozkurt, 2023a, 2023b; Bozkurt & Bae, 2024; Bozkurt & Sharma, 2024; Casal-Otero et al., 2023; Haesol & Bozkurt, 2024; Ng et al., 2021a; Shiri, 2024; Su et al., 2023). To cultivate responsible citizens who can use AI in a reliable, trustworthy, and fair manner, it is essential to broaden participation in AI across all demographics and ensure inclusive AI learning designs (Ng et al., 2021b). Rather than merely being consumers of this technology, it is crucial to encourage users to engage with it thoughtfully and critically (Gupta et al., 2024). Eventually, AI literacy is increasingly necessary in our technology-dominated era.

AI literacy is needed because it prevents deep fakes

**Merod 24** [Anna Merod, Anna worked for three years as a local education reporter in Virginia. She graduated from Syracuse University with a degree in journalism "Deepfakes heighten the need for media literacy in the age of AI", 02/14/2024, K-12 Dive, <https://www.k12dive.com/news/how-spot-deepfakes-AI-schools-media-literacy/707438/>, Accessed 03/26/2025] //beta squad

“Tierney pointed to an example in Slovakia, where a fake audio recording generated by AI was released days before a major election. The viral recording recreated the voice of a top candidate to make it sound like he was bragging that he rigged the election, CNN reported. In September, the candidate was defeated, sparking fears that deepfakes could manipulate U.S. elections. These examples show why media literacy should be taught at a young age, said Erin McNeill, founder and CEO of Media Literacy Now. The nonprofit advocates for K-12 media literacy education nationwide. For McNeill, the deepfake incident in New Jersey is one of the worst-case scenarios for schools. Media literacy education is one tool that could have prevented the situation from unfolding in the first place, she said. But schools and teachers need help and resources in teaching these skills. Young people “don’t necessarily understand the consequences of their actions,” McNeill said. “We’re leaving them just completely exposed to these consequences with no guidance, and the risk is

just huge.”

## A2 Environment:

### AI’s contribution is negligible AND can reduce emissions.

**Fife 25** [Jason Fife, writer with expertise on AI and education, 1-29-2025, Is AI Really Bad for the Environment?, Medium,

<https://medium.com/@jasonfife/is-ai-really-bad-for-the-environment-3f8f9566134e>] //ejs squad

Training Large AI Models: A One-Time Carbon Hit

Training a massive AI model like GPT-3 required an estimated 1,287 megawatt-hours (MWh) of electricity, leading to 552 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. That’s roughly equal to the annual emissions of 60 average U.S. homes.

However, this is a one-time energy cost. Once trained, the model is used millions of times over without needing retraining.

Using AI Daily: What Does One Person’s Usage Look Like?

Once an AI model is trained, the energy cost shifts to inference—the process of responding to your queries. Here’s an estimate based on ChatGPT usage:

Each ChatGPT response consumes about 3.5 watt-hours (Wh) of electricity.

An average user sends about 25 messages per day.

This means daily energy use =  $25 \times 3.5 \text{ Wh} = 87.5 \text{ Wh}$  (or 0.0875 kWh).

Over a year:

$0.0875 \text{ kWh/day} \times 365 = 31.9 \text{ kWh/year}$

Using the global electricity carbon intensity average (0.4 kg CO<sub>2</sub> per kWh):

$31.9 \text{ kWh} \times 0.4 \text{ kg CO}_2/\text{kWh} = 12.8 \text{ kg CO}_2/\text{year}$

So, an average ChatGPT user emits ~13 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> per year, roughly comparable to:

Charging a smartphone daily (3–4 kg/year).

Watching Netflix in HD for ~30 minutes per day (13–15 kg/year).

Even heavy ChatGPT users (100 messages/day) only generate about 50 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> per year, which is: Less than using a laptop for 4 hours per day (~53 kg).

A mere fraction of driving a car for a year (~4,000 kg).

How AI’s CO<sub>2</sub> Footprint Compares to Other Tech

<<Table Omitted>>

If 1 billion people used ChatGPT at an average rate:

$1,000,000,000 \times 12.8 \text{ kg CO}_2 = 12.8 \text{ million metric tons CO}_2/\text{year}$

That's less than half a percent of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (~35 billion tons) and comparable to the annual emissions of New York City (~13 million tons).

Meanwhile, the global aviation industry emits nearly 900 million tons per year—70 times AI's potential footprint at scale.

The Bigger Picture: AI's Role in Reducing Emissions

While AI does require energy, it's also helping reduce carbon footprints in key areas:

Optimizing Energy Use: AI can reduce electricity waste in buildings by up to 30% using smart HVAC and lighting control. (Time)

Improving Climate Modeling: AI-powered climate models help scientists predict extreme weather and optimize disaster responses. (Columbia Climate School)

Cutting Emissions in Agriculture: AI helps optimize irrigation and fertilizer use, reducing waste and lowering CO<sub>2</sub> output. (Financial Times)

Reducing Unnecessary Travel: AI-driven remote work tools reduce the need for business travel, lowering emissions from transportation.

So, Is AI Really the Environmental Villain?

AI's environmental impact is real but relatively small—especially when compared to industries like transportation, energy, and manufacturing.

Yes, training models requires large amounts of electricity. But once trained, AI's daily emissions are on par with using a laptop or streaming Netflix—not a major contributor to climate change.

More importantly, AI has the potential to help cut emissions in ways that few other technologies can. Instead of treating it as the problem, we should be asking: How can we use AI to reduce waste, optimize resources, and accelerate sustainability?

Because if we're serious about tackling climate change, we need to focus on the biggest culprits—not just the newest ones.

## Efficient searches in school make up for energy cost

**Drover 24** [Drover, Rob (Rob Drover is principal and vice president of Business Solutions with Marcum Technology LLC. Rob leads Marcum Techs' Digital Advisory practice and is based in Philadelphia, PA. With over 30 years of experience in accounting and finance, sales and marketing, and information technology, Rob offers expertise in project management, operations support and technology transformation. His industry experience includes more than 10 years in the chemical, agricultural, and pharmaceutical business units at the DuPont Company.) (July 25, 2024), "Do The Benefits Of Generative AI Outweigh Its Environmental Impact?", Marcum LLP, <https://www.marcumllp.com/insights/do-the-benefits-of-generative-ai-outweigh-its-environmental-impact>. Accessed on February 28, 2025.] //ejs squad

The narrative surrounding energy consumption in relation to AI often focuses on doom and gloom predictions, concerns about capacity and availability of data centers, as well as doubts about whether there will be enough energy for the projected rise in reliance on AI tools. The debate raises questions about the impact of AI on the energy grid and the amount of energy consumed by AI processes. On the surface, it may appear that these concerns have merit. Generative AI searches require 15 times more power than a standard search engine query, and can be up to 100 times more power hungry than email.

Yet, while generative AI may initially seem less efficient compared to traditional search engines in terms of power consumption, the time saved and increase in productivity it provides makes it a worthwhile alternative. Specifically, generative AI can save energy and time by providing efficient responses, reducing the need for extensive searches. Further, improvements in AI model design and algorithmic optimization are expected to help mitigate energy consumption growth. For instance, quantum computing's ability to exploit the principles of superposition allows it to tackle complex problems with fewer calculations, paving the way for energy savings in AI applications. Already, the explosive growth in data center and AI-based electricity consumption has led to a resurgence in interest in nuclear power with a focus on smaller localized nuclear power plants designed to support data centers. In addition to nuclear, the promise of a breakthrough in fusion power<sup>2</sup> offers an almost limitless source of clean energy. There are extensive worldwide efforts (some even aided by AI research) and investment in fusion.

new models solving in squo

**Marshall**, Christa (Christa was previously a reporter at Greenwire, covering the Department of Energy, energy technologies and science policy and was a reporter and assistant deputy editor at Climatewire. Since joining E&E News in 2008, she has interviewed leaders like Microsoft Corp. co-founder Bill Gates and former Vice President Al Gore and has covered everything from climate adaptation to the Keystone XL pipeline fight. A graduate of Columbia University's Graduate School of Journalism, she formerly worked for The Denver Post and NPR.). "“Game changer”? What ‘DeepSeek’ AI means for electricity.” E&E News by POLITICO, January 29, 2025,

<https://www.eenews.net/articles/game-changer-what-deepseek-ai-means-for-electricity/#:~:text=It%20appeared%20to%20have%20similar,for%20energy%2Dgobbling%20data%20centers..> Accessed February 14, 2025.//ejs squad

**DeepSeek**, which is owned by the Chinese stock trading firm High-Flyer, upended the tech world after releasing an app that rose to the top of the download charts of the Apple store. It appeared to have similar functionality as OpenAI's ChatGPT chatbot, which can do things like write poetry when queried. DeepSeek says its **model uses** roughly 10 to **40 times less energy** than similar U.S. AI technology — a **reduction** that seemingly would **sharply cut the need for energy-gobbling data centers**. A Nature paper this month also reported that DeepSeek required about **11 times less computing resources** than a similar one from Meta. That indicates “it may be an order of magnitude more efficient,” said Jenkins.

## **New training models to reduce AI energy consumption thump Shim**

**25** [Shim, Christina (February 10, 2025), "The Future Of AI And Energy Efficiency", IBM, , Chief Sustainability Officer, IBM

<https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/future-ai-energy-efficiency>. Accessed on February 28, 2025.] //ejs squad **Addressing AI's energy consumption challenges. Fortunately, numerous industry experts are working on a range of solutions.** Those solutions include: Hardware improvements Smaller models Smarter model training Use of clean and renewable energy Open source and collaboration Hardware improvements

Power-capping hardware has been shown to decrease energy consumption by up to 15%, while only increasing the time it takes to return a result by a barely noticeable 3%. AI energy use can also be reduced by using carbon-efficient hardware (link resides outside ibm.com), which “matches a model with the most carbon-efficient mix of hardware,” according to MIT. New and improved chips are another solution for energy issues. **IBM recently released architecture details for its upcoming IBM**

**Telum® II Processor and IBM Spyre Accelerator, which are designed to reduce AI-based energy consumption and data center footprint when released in 2025.** Smaller models In general, larger

models—such as generalist large language models (LLMs) used by ChatGPT and Google Gemini—require more energy (link resides outside ibm.com) than smaller ones. Such generalist models can be useful for wide-ranging consumer-facing needs, but for businesses with specific use cases (link resides outside ibm.com), IBM and other companies recommend smaller, more

efficient, more affordable and less energy-hungry models. Smarter model training **Existing methods of training models require significant**

**energy because AI developers often use several previous models** (link resides outside ibm.com) **as a starting point to train new models.** Running all these models increases the power required. However,

**researchers are attempting to better predict which models are outperforming and underperforming expectations, stopping the underperforming models early to save energy**

This is all part of the burgeoning “designing for sustainability” movement that defines workload parameters to better use energy efficiently. Use of clean and renewable energy All companies should look to build or use data centers that are close to areas (link resides outside ibm.com) where renewable energy is in abundance. Sourcing from green data centers, which use renewable and sustainable energy, is a great way to reduce environmental impact. Open source and collaboratiog Companies operating in the AI space should not let excessive competition

stand in the way of sharing tips and tools (link resides outside ibm.com) that can help society reap the benefits of AI models with fewer energy demands. **IBM has collaborated with Columbia University (link resides outside ibm.com) to produce meaningful solutions to the energy crisis, including modeling how AI behaves on different hardware, developing lower-power chips, eliminating software bloat and optimizing AI systems.**

## **Water scarcity is driven by agriculture, not AI.**

**United Nations ND**[United Nations, ND, “Water, Food and Energy”]//ejs squad, UN-Water’s role is to ensure that the United Nations ‘delivers as one’ in response to water-related challenges. We do this through three lines of work: informing policies, monitoring and reporting, and inspiring action. Over 30 United Nations organizations carry out water and sanitation programmes. The main purpose of UN-Water is to coordinate these activities, thereby creating synergies and maximizing efficiency., UN Water

<https://www.unwater.org/water-facts/water-food-and-energy> ]//ejs squad

**72% of all freshwater withdrawals are used by agriculture,** 16% by industries, and 12% by municipalities. (UN-Water, 2023)

# A2 C3:

Juli Sardi, January, 10, 2025, Universitas Negeri Padang, Sumatera Barat, Indonesia

<https://online-journals.org/index.php/i-jep/article/view/53379> //ejs squad

**Generative artificial intelligence** (AI), particularly tools such as ChatGPT, **is transforming education by enhancing**

self-regulated learning (SRL) and **critical thinking skills**, two essential competencies in the digital era. This study systematically analyzes the impact of generative AI on these skills using the PRISMA

(Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and **Meta-Analyses**) framework to identify, evaluate, and synthesize relevant studies. Document searches were conducted in Scopus, Web of Science, and

ScienceDirect, focusing on publications from 2022 to 2024, when ChatGPT was first widely adopted. Of the 3,214 documents identified, 557 met the initial screening criteria, and **38 studies** were selected for detailed

analysis. The findings reveal that **71.4% of studies reported AI's positive role in SRL**, mainly through personalized learning, metacognitive support,

and adaptive feedback. Likewise, **62.5% of studies reported its significant role in critical thinking**, supporting the process of analysis, evaluation, and reflection. However, researchers cautioned against an overreliance on technology, which one said could take away some students' ability to think for themselves. Such findings indicate that educational institutions need to change their ways and include generative AI in a model that focuses on areas that foster learner independence. This approach will assist teachers and decision-makers in harnessing the distinctive kitsch of AI technology by creating new learning spaces that are creative and future-oriented.

**AI improves fact-checking and reduces disinformation. Booth 24** [Harry Booth, October 30, 2024, "AI Seer Facticity.AI", Time, Booth is an editorial fellow at TIME. He covers tech, with a focus on AI. He is a Tarbell fellow, <https://time.com/7094922/ai-seer-facticity-ai/>] //ejs squad

**"In a world of disinformation, Facticity.AI, an artificially intelligent**

**fact-checking tool** developed by Singapore start-up AI Seer, seeks to tip the scales in the truth's favor. Facticity's

online tool, released in beta in June, checks claims in text and video, and provides references and links to reliable sources. **The**

**company claims 92% accuracy, beating competitor tools like Bing"**

**Banning schools from accessing AI destroys their ability to train against it. Liv Rooth.**

10-01-2024. "Battling Disinformation and Misinformation in the Age of AI." Gray DI. Gray DI provides data, software and facilitated processes that power higher-education decisions. Our data and AI insights inform program choices, optimize finances, and fuel growth in a challenging market – one data-informed decision at a time. <https://www.graydi.us/blog/graydata/battling-disinformation-and-misinformation-in-the-age-of-ai> //ejs squad

**Institutions have begun homing in on degree and certificate programs focused on digital media literacy/disinformation studies as the need for more skilled professionals with expertise in these areas continues to grow.** Since the approach to combating disinformation is necessarily diverse

depending upon the sector to which it applies, **many schools have begun incorporating courses, concentrations, or degrees in digital media literacy related to a broad range of fields: public policy, computer science, cybersecurity, sociology, ethics, political science, national security, healthcare, communications, and more.** There's been **very significant progress. Arizona State University now offers a BA in Digital Media Literacy;** previously, only a minor in the subject was offered. The

**University of Florida College of Education offers a specialization in media literacy** within its MAE and Ed.S degree programs. **Appalachian State University, Boise State University and St. Thomas University all offer certificate programs.** Southern Illinois University Edwardsville offers a post-baccalaureate certificate in digital media

literacy; one required course is called "Propaganda in the Digital Age." **At UCLA, a minor in Information and Media Studies** has required courses called "Information and Power" and "Internet and Society." **"Disinformation and Narrative Warfare" is offered at NYU,** associated with degrees in Global Studies and Global Security, Conflict, and Cybercrime. At The New



School: “Digital Disinformation: Truth, Lies, and the Rise of AI”. One particularly interesting degree offering stands out in its specificity: American University’s MA in Media, Technology and Democracy. The program description is laser-focused: